You are strictly prohibited from using Generative AI (GenAI) or Large Language Models (LLMs), such as ChatGPT, Gemini, Claude, Copilot, and Grok, for research or writing assignments. Any submitted work that has obviously used such tools will be given a failing grade (0). You are also prohibited from submitting (or inputting) any course material to a GenAI or LLM service, especially an online one.

There are several reasons for this policy:

- The assignments for this course are designed to teach you how to think critically. This includes learning to do your own research, developing your own writing style, and being able to communicate through writing and speaking. The assignments are also designed to help you use and become more familiar with the language. Research, writing, and communication are thinking. You aren't thinking and you aren't learning to think critically if you aren't doing the research, writing and communicating. The point of education is to learn; using GenAl or an LLM harms learning. (Perfors 2024, Barshay 2024, Bastani et al. 2024, Chiang 2024, Lee et al. 2025)
- Do not submit any course material to an LLM you do not have permission from the
  authors/creators of this material to do so. LLMs, such as ChatGPT, will use the material submitted
  to them to train their models. LLMs essentially take ownership of this material at that point. This
  course includes material that has been created by me, my colleagues and others. You do not
  have permission to submit my material to an LLM. You do not know if you have permission to
  submit material created by others to an LLM and so it is unethical to do so. (OpenAl n.d.)
- LLMs are not search engines, databases or indexes. They are systems for generating sequences of words and phrases from a massive corpus of data that have a statistical probability to appear comprehensible and coherent, given the inputs (prompts). Instead of using GenAl or an LLM, please use the readings provided in the course, and learn to use the library and its many databases and resources. You can always ask me to help you find (re)sources or to evaluate ones that you have already found. Be aware that using Al as a search engine is bad for information verification, information literacy, and serendipity. Al does not return "answers" based on research, but rather a string of words that it guesses are most plausible based on a training corpus. It also corrupts our information ecosystem by putting this synthetic language back in (Bender 2024b, Shah & Bender 2022, Shah & Bender 2024). Be careful that the search engine(s) you use are not giving you material produced by GenAl / LLMs. Google's main search does this and it will not help you. If you need help finding sources, ask me. (It's my job and I like doing it!)
- Part of my job is to grade and give feedback on your work. I cannot express how little I care about an LLM's output to a prompt on the assignments for this course. And I cannot think of anything I would rather do less than read, grade and give feedback on an LLM's output. On the other hand, I am very interested in your writing, your thoughts, and in how you answer the assignments for this course. So do not turn in the output of an LLM in this course. Turn in your own work instead. To paraphrase m. r. sauter, if you make me read and grade and give feedback on bullshit spit out by an LLM, you will be making me do something that I don't want to do and which is not my job to do.
- LLMs are not "intelligent." They have no reasoning capability or understanding. As purely stochastic systems, they are unable to differentiate between facts and falsehoods, reality and unrealities, truth and lies. LLMs are not agents. If their output is factual, real or true, it is by statistical chance. In fact, the seemingly intelligent output of an LLM might be more accurately described as an automated form of the psychic's con, aka a cold reading. An LLM could produce a passing piece of work for the assignments in this course. But an LLM could also (and this is more likely) produce a piece of bullshit with nonsensical arguments, made-up references, and plagiarized material. I have seen it do each of these. Al assistants cannot be relied upon because they are both inaccurate and misleading. If you haven't done the research and the reading, you will have no way of knowing what you are handing in. (BBC RAI Research 2025, Birhane & McGann 2024, Bjarnason 2023, Chiang 2024, Herrman 2024, Hicks et al. 2024, Low 2023, McQuillan 2023, Salvaggio 2024, Xu et al. 2024, Zhou et al. 2024)
- LLMs are not reliable at summarizing documents and data. These machines will emphasize the wrong points, include incorrect information and leave out important information, disregard or

misunderstand context, and – most importantly – cause more work for you than if you had just summarized the document in the first place. **Therefore, the idea that LLMs will boost productivity is a myth**. In fact, some studies have shown that the use of Al chatbots have increased work for people. If that wasn't enough, using Al will cause your co-workers to think you are less competent and less motivated (Reif et al. 2025). (Humlum & Vestergaard 2025, Mensik 2024, Salvaggio 2024, Wilson 2024, Zhou et al. 2024)

- GenAl / LLMs are prejudiced in just about every way that they have been tested. They have been shown to be racist, sexist, homophobic and more. They reproduce the biases from their training data, in some cases covertly. In other cases, Al/LLMs advise women to ask for significantly lower salaries than men (Sorokovikova et al. 2025). Al also creates and perpetuates biased depictions of people with already marginalized identities and genders (HAI 2024, Sheih et al. 2024, Vassel et al. 2024). They also intensify the biases that they have learned from their training data, a fact that has been known for several years (Caliskan et al. 2017). LLMs do not understand varieties of English outside of written Standard American English and even classify texts as hateful when they are written in African American English (Joshi et al. 2025). These LLMs will also tell you to harm yourself and/or poison yourself (CCDH 2025, Eichenberger et al. 2025). (Bender 2024a, Gilbert 2024, Hofmann et al. 2024, O'Hagan 2024, Sayers et al. 2021)
- The economic model of GenAl / LLMs is to make profit for private capitalist corporations from plagiarized and stolen intellectual property and ideas therefore, I would argue that the use of LLMs is de facto benefitting from academic fraud. You would be given a failing grade for doing what the companies behind ChatGPT, Gemini, etc. have done: submitting plagiarized material. Al companies act unethically in crawling internet pages that they are not supposed to (Corral et al. 2025). (Al-Sibai 2024, Hermann 2024, Marcus & Southern 2024)
- The economic model of GenAl involves many exploited, low-paid workers, often in the global south, who do much of the background work, particularly work on data quality, that is supposedly magically done by GenAl. This exploitation maps onto the history of colonialism and perpetuates problems associated with it. (Beltran 2024, Bender 2024a, Hao & Seetharaman 2023, Perrigo 2024, Stahl 2024, Williams et al. 2024)
- GenAl / LLMs are polluting the internet and scientific research. They are being used to produce papers with incorrect or misleading arguments and findings. These papers are then indexed by services such as Google Scholar and are presented alongside reputable sources, lending them legitimacy that they do not deserve. Some of these GenAl / LLM papers are about controversial topics, which threatens to manipulate opinions on the issues. The material produced by GenAl / LLMs has also polluted the internet, which was a useful data source for scholars and researchers. Researchers who use internet data can no longer ensure that the data has been created by humans. This has caused the closure of valuable data sources and projects. (Haider et al. 2024, Koebler 2024, Speer 2024, Roswell 2025)
- GenAl / LLMs are contributing in outsize ways to the intensification of the climate crisis through massive drains on energy and resources. By its own admission, Google's LLM costs 10 times more than its search page. There are reports that the Al industry is revitalizing the fossil fuels industry. Currently Al data centers are consuming water from areas where it is already scarce, making life worse for the people that live there. This drain on resources is increasingly getting worse (Taft 2025). (Kimball 2024, Marx 2024, Merchant 2024, Nicoletti et al. 2024, Nicoletti et al. 2025, O'Brien 2024, Verma 2024)
- Al companies have not acted transparently and used information contained without authors' or creators' consent or renumeration. Al companies have made multi-billion dollar deals using information that they scraped (some would say stole) from the internet and from documents uploaded to them. Al exploits student labor by using it to train and sell their product without compensating students for their work. The student papers which are uploaded to these systems are used to train the algorithms and these services are then sold to universities and schools. I recommend that you do not upload your own material to an LLM. (Hermann 2024, Low 2023, Marcus & Southern 2024, Weinberg 2024)

Remember, in almost all cases, your writing will only ever be read by exactly two people: you and me. Even in the case of group work, your group members may not read your writing. Is it really worth it to risk using an LLM and failing the assignment when you could just as easily produce some text that I will be happy to give feedback on?

Consider also your place in this situation. If I were to accept assignments composed by an LLM, I don't really need any students for that. I could have the LLM produce the text (and grade it for that matter, and give feedback on it) and then randomly assign these grades to students. By turning in your own work in this course, you show that you have met the requirements of the course. You show that you are able to research, read, write and communicate your thoughts on the course's topics.

This document was compiled by me, <u>Joe McVeigh</u>. Much of the text has been adapted from David Murakami Wood's <u>policy</u>, to which I have added my own reasons and sources. Get in touch with me if you cannot access any of the sources below and would like to.

## Sources

Al-Sibai, N. (2024, January 8). *OpenAl Pleads That It Can't Make Money Without Using Copyrighted Materials for Free*. Futurism. <a href="https://futurism.com/the-byte/openai-copyrighted-material-parliament">https://futurism.com/the-byte/openai-copyrighted-material-parliament</a>

Barshay, J. (2024, September 2). *Kids who use ChatGPT as a study assistant do worse on tests*. The Hechinger Report. <a href="http://hechingerreport.org/kids-chatgpt-worse-on-tests/">http://hechingerreport.org/kids-chatgpt-worse-on-tests/</a>

Bastani, H., Bastani, O., Sungu, A., Ge, H., Kabakcı, Ö., & Mariman, R. (2024). *Generative AI Can Harm Learning*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4895486

BBC RAI Research. (2025). *Representation of BBC News content in AI Assistants*. BBC News. <a href="https://www.bbc.co.uk/aboutthebbc/documents/bbc-research-into-ai-assistants.pdf">https://www.bbc.co.uk/aboutthebbc/documents/bbc-research-into-ai-assistants.pdf</a>

Beltran, M. (2024, November 26). *Al is making Philippine call center work more efficient, for better and worse.* Rest of World. <a href="https://restofworld.org/2024/ai-reshaping-call-center-work-philippines/">https://restofworld.org/2024/ai-reshaping-call-center-work-philippines/</a>

Bender, E. M. (2024a). Resisting Dehumanization in the Age of "AI." *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 33(2), 114–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/09637214231217286

Bender, E. M. (2024b, November 5). *Information literacy and chatbots as search*. https://buttondown.com/maiht3k/archive/information-literacy-and-chatbots-as-search/

Birhane, A., & McGann, M. (2024). Large models of what? Mistaking engineering achievements for human linguistic agency. *Language Sciences*, *106*, 101672. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langsci.2024.101672">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langsci.2024.101672</a>

Bjarnason, B. (2023a, May 2). *Beware of AI pseudoscience and snake oil*. https://www.baldurbjarnason.com/2023/beware-of-ai-snake-oil/

Bjarnason, B. (2023b, July 4). *The LLMentalist Effect: How chat-based Large Language Models rep....* Out of the Software Crisis. <a href="https://softwarecrisis.dev/letters/llmentalist/">https://softwarecrisis.dev/letters/llmentalist/</a>

Caliskan, A., Bryson, J. J., & Narayanan, A. (2017). Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases. *Science*, *356*(6334), 183–186. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4230">https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4230</a>

Center for Countering Digital Hate | CCDH. (2025). *Fake Friend: How ChatGPT is betraying teenagers*. <a href="https://counterhate.com/research/fake-friend-chatgpt/">https://counterhate.com/research/fake-friend-chatgpt/</a>

Chiang, T. (2024, August 31). Why A.I. Isn't Going to Make Art. *The New Yorker*. <a href="https://www.newyorker.com/culture/the-weekend-essay/why-ai-isnt-going-to-make-art">https://www.newyorker.com/culture/the-weekend-essay/why-ai-isnt-going-to-make-art</a>

Corral, G., Singhal, V., Mitchell, B., & Tatoris, R. (2025, August 4). *Perplexity is using stealth, undeclared crawlers to evade website no-crawl directives*. The Cloudflare Blog.

https://blog.cloudflare.com/perplexity-is-using-stealth-undeclared-crawlers-to-evade-website-no-crawl-directives/

Edwards, B. (2025, May 1). *Time saved by AI offset by new work created, study suggests*. Ars Technica. <a href="https://arstechnica.com/ai/2025/05/time-saved-by-ai-offset-by-new-work-created-study-suggests/">https://arstechnica.com/ai/2025/05/time-saved-by-ai-offset-by-new-work-created-study-suggests/</a>

Eichenberger, A., Thielke, S., & Van Buskirk, A. (2025). A Case of Bromism Influenced by Use of Artificial Intelligence. *Annals of Internal Medicine: Clinical Cases*, 4(8), e241260. https://doi.org/10.7326/aimcc.2024.1260

Gilbert, D. (2024, October 24). Google, Microsoft, and Perplexity Are Promoting Scientific Racism in Search Results. *Wired*. <a href="https://www.wired.com/story/google-microsoft-perplexity-scientific-racism-search-results-ai/">https://www.wired.com/story/google-microsoft-perplexity-scientific-racism-search-results-ai/</a>

HAI. (2024). How Harmful Are Al's Biases on Diverse Student Populations? | Stanford HAI. Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI (HAI).

https://hai.stanford.edu/news/how-harmful-are-ais-biases-on-diverse-student-populations

Haider, J., Söderström, K. R., Ekström, B., & Rödl, M. (2024). GPT-fabricated scientific papers on Google Scholar: Key features, spread, and implications for preempting evidence manipulation. *Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review*. https://doi.org/10.37016/mr-2020-156

Hao, K., & Seetharaman, D. (2023, July 24). Cleaning Up ChatGPT Takes Heavy Toll on Human Workers. *Wall Street Journal*.

https://www.wsj.com/articles/chatgpt-openai-content-abusive-sexually-explicit-harassment-kenya-workers-on-human-workers-cf191483

Herrman, J. (2024, June 14). *The Other Big Problem With AI Search*. Intelligencer. <a href="https://nymag.com/intelligencer/article/perplexity-demonstrates-the-other-big-problem-with-ai-search.html">https://nymag.com/intelligencer/article/perplexity-demonstrates-the-other-big-problem-with-ai-search.html</a>

Hicks, M. T., Humphries, J., & Slater, J. (2024). ChatGPT is bullshit. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 26(2), 38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-024-09775-5

Hofmann, V., Kalluri, P. R., Jurafsky, D., & King, S. (2024). Al generates covertly racist decisions about people based on their dialect. *Nature*. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07856-5">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07856-5</a>

Humlum, A., & Vestergaard, E. (2025). *Large Language Models, Small Labor Market Effects*. SSRN. <a href="https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5219933">https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5219933</a>

Joshi, A., Dabre, R., Kanojia, D., Li, Z., Zhan, H., Haffari, G., & Dippold, D. (2025). Natural Language Processing for Dialects of a Language: A Survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, *57*(6), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1145/3712060

Kimball, S. (2024, November 23). Data centers powering artificial intelligence could use more electricity than entire cities. CNBC.

https://www.cnbc.com/2024/11/23/data-centers-powering-ai-could-use-more-electricity-than-entire-cities.html

Koebler ·, J. (2024, September 19). *Project Analyzing Human Language Usage Shuts Down Because 'Generative AI Has Polluted the Data.'* 404 Media.

https://www.404media.co/project-analyzing-human-language-usage-shuts-down-because-generative-ai-has-pollute d-the-data/

Lee, H.-P., Sarkar, A., Tankelevitch, L., Drosos, I., Rintel, S., Banks, R., & Wilson, N. (2025). The Impact of Generative AI on Critical Thinking: Self-Reported Reductions in Cognitive Effort and Confidence Effects From a Survey of Knowledge Workers. *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '25)*. <a href="https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/uploads/prod/2025/01/lee\_2025\_ai\_critical\_thinking\_survey.pdf">https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/uploads/prod/2025/01/lee\_2025\_ai\_critical\_thinking\_survey.pdf</a>

Low, E. (2023, February 18). *TV Writers Found 139,000 of Their Scripts Trained AI. Hell Broke Loose*. <a href="https://theankler.com/p/tv-writers-scripts-ai-training-controversy-chatbot">https://theankler.com/p/tv-writers-scripts-ai-training-controversy-chatbot</a>

Maiberg, E. (2025, April 10). Facebook Pushes Its Llama 4 Al Model to the Right, Wants to Present "Both Sides." 404 Media.

https://www.404media.co/facebook-pushes-its-llama-4-ai-model-to-the-right-wants-to-present-both-sides/

Marcus, G., & Southen, R. (2024). *Generative AI Has a Visual Plagiarism Problem—IEEE Spectrum*. <a href="https://spectrum.ieee.org/midjourney-copyright">https://spectrum.ieee.org/midjourney-copyright</a>

Marx, P. (2024, February 9). *Al is fueling a data center boom. It must be stopped.* Disconnect. https://web.archive.org/web/20240318115424/https://disconnect.blog/ai-is-fueling-a-data-center-boom/

McQuillan, D. (2023, February 10). *ChatGPT: The world's largest bullshit machine*. Transforming Society. <a href="https://www.transformingsociety.co.uk/2023/02/10/chatgpt-the-worlds-largest-bullshit-machine/">https://www.transformingsociety.co.uk/2023/02/10/chatgpt-the-worlds-largest-bullshit-machine/</a>

Mensik, H. (2024, July 24). Al is actually making workers less productive. *WorkLife*. <a href="https://www.worklife.news/technology/ai-is-actually-making-workers-less-productive/">https://www.worklife.news/technology/ai-is-actually-making-workers-less-productive/</a>

Merchant, B. (2024, August 6). *Al is revitalizing the fossil fuels industry, and big tech has nothing to say for itself*. <a href="https://www.bloodinthemachine.com/p/ai-is-revitalizing-the-fossil-fuels">https://www.bloodinthemachine.com/p/ai-is-revitalizing-the-fossil-fuels</a>

Murakami Wood, D. (2024, September 1). LLMs and the Social Science Classroom. *Ubisurv*. https://ubisurv.net/2024/09/01/llms-and-the-social-science-classroom/

Nicoletti, L., Ma, M., & Bass, D. (2025, May 8). The Al Boom Is Draining Water From the Areas That Need It Most. *Bloomberg.Com.* <a href="https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2025-ai-impacts-data-centers-water-data/">https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2025-ai-impacts-data-centers-water-data/</a>

Nicoletti, L., Malik, N., & Tartar, A. (2024, December 27). Al Needs So Much Power, It's Making Yours Worse. *Bloomberg.Com.* https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2024-ai-power-home-appliances/

O'Brien, I. (2024, September 15). Data center emissions probably 662% higher than big tech claims. Can it keep up the ruse? *The Guardian*. <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2024/sep/15/data-center-gas-emissions-tech">https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2024/sep/15/data-center-gas-emissions-tech</a>

O'Hagan, C. (2024). Generative AI: UNESCO study reveals alarming evidence of regressive gender stereotypes | UNESCO. UNESCO.

https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/generative-ai-unesco-study-reveals-alarming-evidence-regressive-gender-stere otypes

OpenAl. (n.d.). Terms of use. Retrieved January 2, 2025, from <a href="https://openai.com/policies/row-terms-of-use/">https://openai.com/policies/row-terms-of-use/</a>

Perfors, A. (2024, February 14). *The work of creation in the age of AI*. Andrew Perfors. <a href="http://perfors.net/blog/creation-ai/">http://perfors.net/blog/creation-ai/</a>

Perrigo, B. (2023, January 18). *Exclusive: The \$2 Per Hour Workers Who Made ChatGPT Safer*. TIME. <a href="https://time.com/6247678/openai-chatgpt-kenva-workers/">https://time.com/6247678/openai-chatgpt-kenva-workers/</a>

Quinn, B., & Milmo, D. (2024, November 26). How the far right is weaponising Al-generated content in Europe. *The Guardian*. https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2024/nov/26/far-right-weaponising-ai-generated-content-europe

Reif, J. A., Larrick, R. P., & Soll, J. B. (2025). Evidence of a social evaluation penalty for using Al. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 122(19), e2426766122. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2426766122

Roswell, J. (2025, May 12). Flood of Al-assisted research 'weakening quality of science.' Times Higher Education (THE). <a href="https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/flood-ai-assisted-research-weakening-quality-science">https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/flood-ai-assisted-research-weakening-quality-science</a>

Salvaggio, E. (2024, August 29). *Challenging The Myths of Generative AI* | *TechPolicy.Press*. Tech Policy Press. https://techpolicy.press/challenging-the-myths-of-generative-ai

Sauter, M. R. (2025). m. r. sauter: "update: here is the AI policy i wrote for my doc seminar this term (i have already located the typo and fixed it, which this like 60% of the point of posting it in public)" — Bluesky. Bluesky Social. https://bsky.app/profile/oddletters.bsky.social/post/3lew7lnx2jc2c

Sayers, D., Sousa-Silva, R., Höhn, S., Ahmedi, L., Allkivi-Metsoja, K., Anastasiou, D., Beňuš, Š., Bowker, L., Bytyçi, E., Catala, A., Çepani, A., Chacón-Beltrán, R., Dadi, S., Dalipi, F., Despotovic, V., Doczekalska, A., Drude, S., Fort, K., Fuchs, R., ... Yildirim Yayilgan, S. (2021). *The Dawn of the Human-Machine Era: A forecast of new and emerging language technologies*. Open Science Centre, University of Jyväskylä. <a href="https://doi.org/10.17011/jyx/reports/20210518/1">https://doi.org/10.17011/jyx/reports/20210518/1</a>

Shah, C., & Bender, E. M. (2022). Situating Search. *ACM SIGIR Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval*, 221–232. https://doi.org/10.1145/3498366.3505816

Shah, C., & Bender, E. M. (2024). Envisioning Information Access Systems: What Makes for Good Tools and a Healthy Web? *ACM Transactions on the Web*, *18*(3), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1145/3649468

Shieh, E., Vassel, F.-M., Sugimoto, C., & Monroe-White, T. (2024). *Laissez-Faire Harms: Algorithmic Biases in Generative Language Models* (No. arXiv:2404.07475). arXiv. <a href="https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2404.07475">https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2404.07475</a>

Sorokovikova, A., Chizhov, P., Eremenko, I., & Yamshchikov, I. P. (2025). *Surface Fairness, Deep Bias: A Comparative Study of Bias in Language Models* (No. arXiv:2506.10491). arXiv. <a href="https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2506.10491">https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2506.10491</a>

Speer, R. (2024). *Wordfreq/SUNSET.md at master · rspeer/wordfreq*. GitHub. https://github.com/rspeer/wordfreq/blob/master/SUNSET.md

Stahl, L. (2024, November 24). Labelers training AI say they're overworked, underpaid and exploited by big American tech companies—CBS News.

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/labelers-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-and-exploited-60-minutes-training-ai-say-theyre-overworked-underpaid-ai-say-theyre-overwork

Suchak, T., Aliu, A. E., Harrison, C., Zwiggelaar, R., Geifman, N., & Spick, M. (2025). Explosion of formulaic research articles, including inappropriate study designs and false discoveries, based on the NHANES US national health database. *PLOS Biology*, 23(5), e3003152. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3003152">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3003152</a>

Taft, M. (2025, May 22). Al Is Eating Data Center Power Demand—And It's Only Getting Worse. *Wired*. <a href="https://www.wired.com/story/new-research-energy-electricity-artificial-intelligence-ai/">https://www.wired.com/story/new-research-energy-electricity-artificial-intelligence-ai/</a>

Upton-Clark, E. (2024, December 27). Air pollution from AI could surpass that of all the cars in California. Fast Company.

https://www.fastcompany.com/91253026/air-pollution-from-ai-could-surpass-that-of-all-the-cars-in-california

Vassel, F.-M., Shieh, E., Sugimoto, C. R., & Monroe-White, T. (2024). The Psychosocial Impacts of Generative AI Harms. *Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium Series*, 3(1), Article 1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1609/aaaiss.v3i1.31251">https://doi.org/10.1609/aaaiss.v3i1.31251</a>

Verma, P. (2024, December 23). In the shadows of Arizona's data center boom, thousands live without power. *Washington Post*.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2024/12/23/arizona-data-centers-navajo-power-aps-srp/

Watkins, G. (n.d.). *Al: The New Aesthetics of Fascism*. New Socialist. Retrieved July 19, 2025, from <a href="https://newsocialist.org.uk/transmissions/ai-the-new-aesthetics-of-fascism/">https://newsocialist.org.uk/transmissions/ai-the-new-aesthetics-of-fascism/</a>

Weinberg, L. (2024). *Breaking the AI Fever*. Inside Higher Ed.

https://www.insidehighered.com/opinion/views/2024/11/06/ai-consolidating-corporate-power-higher-ed-opinion

Wiggers, K. (2025, January 9). Mark Zuckerberg gave Meta's Llama team the OK to train on copyrighted works, filing claims. *TechCrunch*.

https://techcrunch.com/2025/01/09/mark-zuckerberg-gave-metas-llama-team-the-ok-to-train-on-copyrighted-works-filing-claims/

Wilkins, J. (2025, January 18). Schools Using Al Emulation of Anne Frank That Urges Kids Not to Blame Anyone for Holocaust. Futurism. https://futurism.com/the-byte/ai-anne-frank-blame-holocaust

Williams, A., Miceli, M., & Gebru, T. (2022). *The Exploited Labor Behind Artificial Intelligence*. <a href="https://www.noemamag.com/the-exploited-labor-behind-artificial-intelligence">https://www.noemamag.com/the-exploited-labor-behind-artificial-intelligence</a>

Wilson, C. (2024, September 3). *AI worse than humans in every way at summarising information, government trial finds*. Crikey. <a href="https://www.crikey.com.au/2024/09/03/ai-worse-summarising-information-humans-government-trial/">https://www.crikey.com.au/2024/09/03/ai-worse-summarising-information-humans-government-trial/</a>

Xu, Z., Jain, S., & Kankanhalli, M. (2024). *Hallucination is Inevitable: An Innate Limitation of Large Language Models* (No. arXiv:2401.11817). arXiv. <a href="http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11817">http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11817</a>

Zhou, L., Schellaert, W., Martínez-Plumed, F., Moros-Daval, Y., Ferri, C., & Hernández-Orallo, J. (2024). Larger and more instructable language models become less reliable. *Nature*, *634*(8032), 61–68. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07930-v">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07930-v</a>

This policy is made available under a CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license: feel free to use it, share it, adapt it, improve it, but please give me credit if you do, and if you share it further, it must be under the same terms. <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</a>

