

Since the Holocaust, the Jews have used two major strategies:

1. Push their host countries to the left. Make their host countries more liberal. With less racism, xenophobia, etc., they're safer as a minority—that much is undeniably true. For example, in America the Jews were in the vanguard against [white supremacy](#), against the whites being the rulers and the blacks being the ruled. They helped get rid of race-[segregation](#) in schools. Etc. Ultimately, with less power in the hands of the white Christian majority, and with more of an egalitarian zeitgeist, the Jews are safer.
2. Do the opposite for Israel. Go to the right. Despite fighting *against* white supremacy in the diaspora, fight *for* Jewish supremacy in and around the Jewish [nation-state](#). Despite fighting *against* segregation "abroad," fight *for* segregation at "home." Etc. The Jews have been overwhelmingly leftist-liberal in their influence on America and the rest of their host countries, but they've also been overwhelmingly pro-Israel,¹ which is hypocritical because Israel, the Jewish [ethnostate](#), is on the right in much of what it does. In effect: "Egalitarianism for you; no egalitarianism for us. No ethnostate for you; ethnostate for us." Hypocritical, yes. But useful.

To consolidate: The Jews don't want another Holocaust, which is understandable. Their two major strategies:

1. Make their host countries tolerant, even weak, which makes them safe for the Jews.²
2. Make Israel powerful,³ so there's somewhere to escape to (unlike how cornered the Jews were during the Second World War). Do that opportunistically, without principle, because of how desperately important it is to be ready for the next Holocaust-like catastrophe.

That mental compartmentalization, which puts how the Jews think, feel, and act vis-à-vis their host countries in a different mental compartment than how they think, feel, and act vis-à-vis Israel,⁴ is the understandable result of life in the diaspora.

For example, imagine an [ethnic](#) Frenchman born and raised in France and married to a French woman. He goes to work as a Frenchman, and then he goes home to his French wife as a Frenchman. *No compartmentalization*. But a French Jew?⁵ Many such people go to work as Frenchmen—they blend in—but then they go home to their Jewish wives as Jews. *Compartmentalization*.

¹ Fundamental to post-Holocaust Jewish identity is [Zionism](#), which is the Jewish nationalism of Israel.

² There's also the strategy of influencing the Gentiles into no longer keeping track of who's Jewish. Making the distinction between Gentiles and Jews is a prerequisite for Gentiles to be intolerant of Jews.

³ Since the Holocaust, the American government has given more money to Israel than to any other country.

⁴ Most Jews are probably not especially conscious of their "dual loyalty." They're probably just usefully compartmentalized. "The diaspora is the diaspora, and Israel is Israel. Apples and oranges!"

⁵ If despite being a Jew, he's the same as the typical ethnic Frenchman in that he not only goes to work as a Frenchman, but he also goes home to his *French* wife as a *Frenchman*, then he's an exception, yes. But he'll probably make his progeny irrelevant to the present analysis because he probably either doesn't or won't have Jewish children.