

*(doc to move to nodejs/ctc repository after meeting)*

# # Node Foundation CTC Meeting

2017-03-22

## ## Links

- \* **Audio recording**: <>
- \* **GitHub Issue**: [CTC#82] (<https://github.com/nodejs/CTC/issues/82>)
- \* **Minutes Google Doc**:  
<<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1nIXl2UMQs1n-yPencn53MYlfu3RnUltX3MfuaStPzx8>>
- \* **Previous Minutes Google Doc**:  
<[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZbzN6qO9AqmGjOMR-wfWTmdeE\\_69rr1hcfhk2oTUGpA](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZbzN6qO9AqmGjOMR-wfWTmdeE_69rr1hcfhk2oTUGpA)>\_

## ## Present

- \* Anna Henningsen @addaleax (CTC)
- \* Ben Noordhuis @bnoordhuis (CTC)
- \* Сковорода Никита Андреевич @ChALkeR (CTC)
- \* James M Snell @jasnell (CTC)
- \* Josh Gavant @joshgav (observer/TSC)
- \* Brian White @mscdex (CTC)
- \* Myles Borins @MylesBorins (CTC)
- \* Rod Vagg @rvagg (CTC)
- \* Sakthipriyan Vairamani @thefourtheye (CTC)
- \* Trevor Norris @trevnorris (CTC)
- \* Rich Trott @Trott (CTC)
- \* Arunesh Chandra (observer/Microsoft)
- \* Hitesh Kanwathirtha (observer/Microsoft)

## ## Standup

- \* Anna Henningsen @addaleax (CTC)
  - \* not much besides Issue/PR review
- \* Ben Noordhuis @bnoordhuis (CTC)
  - \* the usual

- \* Сковорода Никита Андреевич @ChALkeR (CTC)
  - \* nothing significant
- \* James M Snell @jasnell (CTC)
  - \* Mostly nearForm onboarding
  - \* PR landing
  - \* Buffer PR splitting
- \* Josh Gavant @joshgav (observer/TSC)
  - \* diag meeting Thursday
  - \* typical issue triage
- \* Brian White @mscdex (CTC)
  - \* Continued work on various optimizations in core
  - \* Reviewed PRs, commented on issues
- \* Myles Borins @MylesBorins (CTC)
  - \* Released v4.8.1 & v6.10.1
  - \* 100th release of citgm
  - \* attending TC39 this week
- \* Rod Vagg @rvagg (CTC)
  - \* Minor infra maintenance
- \* Sakthipriyan Vairamani @thefourtheye (CTC)
  - \* Not much
- \* Trevor Norris @trevnnorris (CTC)
  - \* Submitted AsyncHooks PR
- \* Rich Trott @Trott (CTC)
  - \* Fix some flaky tests
  - \* Increase test coverage
  - \* Helped some first-time contributors via NodeTodo

## **## Agenda**

- \* buffer: discuss future direction of Buffer constructor API  
[node#9531] (<https://github.com/nodejs/node/issues/9531>)
- \* module: add support for abi stable module API  
[node#11975] (<https://github.com/nodejs/node/issues/11975>)

## **## Previous Meeting Review**

- \* Symbol key props visible in inspection by default  
[node#9726] (<https://github.com/nodejs/node/pull/9726>)
  - \* Landed!
- \* Updating the Copyright  
[TSC#174] (<https://github.com/nodejs/TSC/issues/174>)
  - \* Moved to ctc-review, no new updates.

\* TC39 + Node.js Summit / Dinner  
[CTC#67] (<https://github.com/nodejs/CTC/issues/67>)  
\* Awareness.

---

## ## Minutes

### buffer: discuss future direction of Buffer constructor API  
[node#9531] (<https://github.com/nodejs/node/issues/9531>)

\* should we ever schedule a runtime deprecation and when for `new Buffer()`.  
\* should we precede that with a `--pending-deprecation` flag  
\* use zero-filling or random-filling. Why random-fill? So that people wouldn't realize buffers were being zero-filled and rely on that behavior. @trevnorris: but random filling doesn't fit pattern of Uint8Array.

@rvagg: Can we deprecate just one signature of the constructor, i.e. the one that takes an int?

@trevnorris: Once we bump a minor version, we don't support previous minor versions, so why are we concerned with those using older minors?

@bnoordhuis: Those who depend on releases from Linux distros don't get minor updates for a while.

@mylesborins: That's true of any security patches, if you use unpatched earlier versions you don't have the patch...

@nikita: Later modules may come to rely on zero-filled buffers, and those modules may be used with out-of-date earlier versions.

@rvagg: This is a massive ship to be turning, we should opt for greater patience, encourage people through docs and other channels.

@jasnell: This is one of the reasons for --pending-deprecation. Also other tools and linters are warning more.

@nikita: I can support optin deprecate and plan for later actual deprecation.

Two PRs from @jasnell:

- \* Instead of zero-filling buffers, fill with random byte.
- \* Introduce --pending-deprecation runtime flag and use for buffer deprecation.

@jasnell: If we will land with filling it should be decided before 8.0 RCs (4/4).

@rvagg: May not be semver-major, especially if random-fill.

**\*\*Next steps\*\***

- \* Discuss within issues in GitHub.

---

**### module: add support for abi stable module API**

[node#11975] (<https://github.com/nodejs/node/issues/11975>)

Export an API and ABI stable C and C++ interface for native module developers.

@arunesh: Experimental, guarded by runtime flag. Goal is more feedback from community and module authors. V8 APIs used in 5+ of top 30 native modules are covered by a N-API equivalent.

@jasnell: Goal is to get into 8.0.0 as experimental.

@trevnorris: Converting a lot to void\*, how does it ensure the safety of what's being passed?

@digitalinfinity: Type checking is done within implementation. (?)

@jasnell: Does anyone object to this going into 8.0.0?

@bnoordhuis: Pretty big PR, will be hard to review by 4/4.

@jasnell: set a target a week before final 8.0.0 release? It's not semver-major.

@rvagg: Can NAN be backed by this API?

@digitalinfinity: C++ header-only wrapper similar to NAN. Some discussion of considering that NAN 3.0. Haven't considered backing NAN, worth considering. Hope to provide tools to aid migration after some adoption and feedback.

---

### ### **async\_hooks initial implementation**

[#11883] (<https://github.com/nodejs/node/pull/11883>)

@trevnorris: rewrote more cleanly, would like more feedback. Tried to be as least invasive as possible. Comes with a whole bunch of tests.

There are `async_hooks` in the `async_hooks` tests to check for stuck previous tasks.

Touches many places in Node, hence want a lot of review.

@mylesborins: thoughts about using PromiseHooks from V8? @trevnorris: won't be in V8 in 8.0.0, but as soon as it is I'll integrate.

@rvagg: not a semver-major, and anyway still experimental, but still would be nice to have in 8.

@trevnorris: need to add docs, move around some tests.

---

### ## **Q&A, Other**

---

### ## **Upcoming Meetings**

\* \*\*Node.js Foundation Calendar\*\*:

[https://calendar.google.com/calendar/embed?src=kap.co\\_i17i575te0aes6k\\_aanfjr2e4hs%40group.calendar.google.com](https://calendar.google.com/calendar/embed?src=kap.co_i17i575te0aes6k_aanfjr2e4hs%40group.calendar.google.com)

Click `+GoogleCalendar` at the bottom right to add to your own Google calendar.

- \* **\*\*Collaborators Summit\*\***: May 4-5,  
[summit#39] (<https://github.com/nodejs/summit/issues/39>)
- \* **\*\*TC39 Meetup\*\***: May 22,  
[CTC#67] (<https://github.com/nodejs/CTC/issues/67>)
  
- \* **\*\*CTC\*\***: March 29, 1600 UTC
- \* **\*\*TSC\*\***: March 23, 2000 UTC
- \* **\*\*Diag\*\***: March 23, 2100 UTC