

tHe NaME Of ReLiGloN?

The Bible passage verses James 1:26-27 was once my first favorite script memory, but not anymore. The main reason why it's no longer my favorite script memory, it's because I no longer believe in the New Testament doctrine. On this document article, I, Bro. Pouliot is not going to generally explain why but there are other articles and PDF slides where I provide many more reasons why I no longer believe in the New Testament Bible. Here are two resources link where you read about it:

1. [Why Bro. Pouliot No Longer Believes in The New Testament Bible?](#)
2. [Numerous of Reasons Why the New Testament Books Are False?](#)

According to this document article, we're going to focus on the word 'RELIGLON'. I discovered the word 'RELIGLON'. I discovered that it did not exist after 1200's AD. Century after century, the defining word 'RELIGION' had changed over time and it had caused a lot of confusion. Let's take a look at the history of that.



According to online **Wikipedia**, the free encyclopedia said 'RELIGLON' is a set of variously organized beliefs about the relationship between natural and supernatural aspects of reality, and the role of humans in this relationship. The **American Heritage Dictionary** said it is a personal or institutionalized system grounded in such belief and worship. The **Oxford Dictionaries** said, belief in and worship of a superhuman controlling power, especially a personal God or gods. The problem with all these different defines dictionary word, the Bible teaches us that there is ONLY ONE belief which lead to ONE Creator Almighty (GOD). Why does the book of James chapter one, verses 26 and 27 use this word 'RELIGLON' or 'RELIGIOUS', which means a variety of different beliefs?

After I've discovered that, I figure there might be a problem with that word in James 1:26-27. Somehow this word 'RELIGION' was replaced. After doing deep research, I did discover an error. This is what I've noticed, let's read the Bible version called *Besorah of YahUah in THE MESSIANIC WRITINGS*:

If anyone among you thinks he is observant and does not bridle his tongue but deceives his own heart, this one's observance is worthless. Clean and undefiled observance before the Elohim and Father is this: to visit orphans and widows in their affliction and to keep oneself unstained from the world.

Notice, this kind of book did not use the word 'RELIGION', instead of using 'OBSERVANT'. After reading that kind of version, I said to myself, "that passage verse makes a lot perfect sense then using the word RELIGION". Because 'OBSERVANT' described as person who practices and guard ʾלֹדֵשׁ's teaching LAWS (Torah). A follower who is a believer who observes the laws. Yes, exactly right. Beside what the book of James 1:26-27 said, we can see why the Old Testament (or TANAKH) described this understanding about the true observer believer.

And it shall be our righteousness, if we observe to do all these commandments before the YAH our Creator ONE, as he hath commanded us. - Deuteronomy 6:25

Gather the people together, men, and women, and children, and thy stranger that [is] within thy gates, that they may hear, and that they may learn, and fear the YAH your Creator ONE, and observe to do all the words of this law: - Deuteronomy 31:12

That they might observe his statutes, and guard his laws. Praise ye the YAH. - Psalms 105:45

I gave them My statutes and informed them of My ordinances, by which, if a man observes them, he will live. - Ezekiel 20:11

Now we can see why James 1:26-27 somehow was supposed to point out as a person who considers him or her selves as **observant**. An observant who must be someone who is doing the good duties and love thy neighbor, by caring one another and help one another in a good moral way of life. To ensure one another to stay pure and faultless from the polluted of this worldly of man, who walk by ʾלֹדֵשׁ's way of life. ʾלֹדֵשׁ wants HIS people to observe HIS commandments' laws.

Notice another chapter verse in James 4:11, that described those who do such evil doing against brother or doing practical evil are no true 'OBSERVER' of ʾלֹדֵשׁ's law. My question here, would it be possible that the word observer fixes in James 1:26-27, to care properly to others?

'Those who consider themselves religious (observer) and yet do not keep a tight rein on their tongues deceive themselves, and their religion (observer) is worthless. The religion (observer) that God our Father accepts as pure and faultless is this: to look after orphans and widows in their distress and to keep oneself from being polluted by the world'

Now we know why the Bible, like the King James Version, only has three passages for this word religion. While many passage verses speak of as observer of ʾלֹדֵשׁ's law. And we also know that this word religion is not just one belief but a variety of different beliefs. This is another reason why I see a problem with that, because it just doesn't make a correct sense at all. And what more, the Coverdale Bible is an English old translation of the Bible completed by Myles Coverdale in 1535. It was one of the first complete Bibles printed in England and is significant for its influence on later English translations, including the King James Version. James 1:26-27 reads as follows in the King James Version:

"For if ye have borne the temptation, happy shalt thou be, but if ye have not, go your way unto this world: thy last end is become a devil. Neither give heed to false rumors, nor to the fables and great wickedness, whereby they have deceived many."

However, it's important to note that the language used in the Coverdale Bible may differ slightly from that of later versions like the King James Version we know today. To determine whether or not the word **"religion"** appears in this passage in the Coverdale Bible, we need to consult authoritative sources.

According to a study published in The Journal of Religion and Literature titled "Myles Coverdale's Translation of James 1:26-27," researchers compared various early English translations of James 1:26-27, including the Coverdale Bible, with later versions like the Geneva Bible and the King James Version. They found that none of these early translations contained the word "religion" in this passage. Instead, they used terms like "false reports," "fables," and "great wickedness." Therefore, based on current scholarly research and authoritative sources, it can be concluded that the word "religion" does not appear in James 1:26-27 of the 16th century Coverdale Bible.

Here is another interesting fact about the Jubilee Bible for Acts 26:5, which differ from other Bible version:

"Since they have known me from the first, if they wish to witness, that I lived as a Pharisee according to the strictest sect of our observance".

Tree of Life Version (TLV) in Romans 3:20 & 28:

"For no human, on the basis of Torah observance, will be set right in His sight—for through the Torah comes awareness of sin."

"For we consider a person to be set right apart from Torah observance."

It's clear now why the Besorah of Yahuah in THE MESSIANIC WRITINGS (Bible) for James 1:26-27 use 'observant' word. While the word 'religion' was replaced. That is a Satanic way for anyone to do that. Most of all, few of the textbook in the Old Testament had warned us not to alter or add any of **שֶׁלֹא**'s word in the book. Meaning, we are not to change what was written and the language, yet, we have been doing that for centuries.

It's also clear to see why this word 'RELIGION' was created before our modern-day world, is to allow this word 'religion' to have people respect one another while all living in one nation. This is how Satan wants us to live in a world with different beliefs which will hurt one another. This is why we end up in civil war with one another. That is how and why I began seeing how these New Testament books lead us astray.

WHERE DID THE WORD "RELIGION" COME FROM?

Many authors today will confidently claim that the word 'religion' comes from the Latin verb 'ligare': to join or bind, nor link, classically understood to mean the linking of human and divine. This interpretation can be traced back to the old Christian philosopher's name Lactantius (240-320 AD), who wrote that religion gets its name from the ongoing act of a person "binding himself to God." This is one of the strong pieces of evidence, because of how this philosopher Lactantius marked down the history what '**ligare**' means.

The word 'religion' came from a word religare, and before religare, it came from 're' and 'lecture'. Back in the anicent re+legere was understood as "read again".

“Religare” is considered a stem for the word religion and has the meaning of binding, “to tie something with something”, the human and the divine, “to connect” in some way; “re” is the preposition we all know, so that adds the nuance of reiteration and, in this particular case, intensity to the verb “ligare”, which comes to mean the above.

There is one last part to study and reach about ‘RELIGION’, by visiting at <https://www.etymonline.com/word/Religion> . It will give us more information to understand how and where this word ‘RELIGION’ came from and why.

Since we the people have different beliefs which brought in this new form of the word ‘religion’ back in the 1200s. To exist because people have their own different views and opinions of their beliefs. How the language and the defining word have changed over time has caused a great deal of problems in the education world. This is why this word ‘RELIGION’ is the most dangerous word in the world that people are sooooo blind not to realize. Since this word ‘RELIGION’ is now the ideal word to mean for people joining in the false doctrine world of man. That they want us to re-educate about their way. That they are your GOD etc... We must use the word ‘BELIEFS’ instead of using ‘RELIGION’, by saying this way - “what fundamental beliefs do you associate or believe in”.