

Transcript of interview with Alexander Acosta

([-https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=prdiL7EHeXM&t=185s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=prdiL7EHeXM&t=185s))

Q: >>I'm curious, who at main justice - Neal McKay One American News - who at main justice reviewed this case or your decision and did you have any interaction with Robert Mueller at the time.<<

A: >>So so I shared a letter that I wrote to one of Epstein's attorneys and shared the letter in part because it shows that much of the timeline, it shows how initially the the meetings that took place were between the - in July - between the first assistant, the criminal chief to Palm Beach, the Palm Beach office chief and a line attorney and two FBI agents with Epstein's attorneys.

You'll notice the the initial meeting as outlined in this letter - who are all career attorneys - how they presented the terms, how Epstein's attorneys were dissatisfied and asked for a meeting with me, how I subsequently returned with their attorneys along with all the career officials, how at that meeting we then invited the chief of the Child Exploitation Obscenity section from the Department of Justice to travel down.

Because one of the... you know one of the things we wanted to make sure of was that we had - going back to the earlier question about the ABA rule - that we had sufficient evidence to proceed ethically, and then it details a little bit on how Epstein's counsels appealed the decision to Washington.

I'd refer you to the record, you know one of the really disturbing things about this case is: there's a record here, the documents that I shared today we've shared previously with media, yet I've seen no reference to any of these documents in the perspective of some of these prosecutors.

There is a record, all these documents are publicly available and could have been pulled up by anyone in this room, and so and and so you know there there is a record that will you know...

I wasn't at main justice, I do not have a full list of the individuals that reviewed this matter at main justice. I can tell you the individuals in this letter and and I would refer you to the record because I... This was 12 years ago. I do not have a full list of the individuals that reviewed this at main justice.

Well as the records make clear individuals from main justice were involved fairly early on and were certainly aware of it and and I think if you look at the record it will become clear that decisions were appealed again and again to main justice.<<