

MANUSCRIPT REVIEW SHEET
A Double-Blinded Review Process

Title:

A. EVALUATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF MANUSCRIPT

No.	Question	Answer		
		YES	NO	REASON
1.	Does the title describe the content of the manuscript?			
2.	Does the abstract reflect the overall content of the manuscript?			
3.	Are the problem(s) and objective(s) presented in the introduction adequately addressed in the discussion?			
4.	Are the data presented reliable and valid to address the problem(s)?			
5.	Is the method or approach appropriate?			
6.	Is the data analysis in accordance with the theoretical framework?			
7.	Are the references relevant?			
8.	Does the conclusion address the problem(s) in accordance with the data analysis?			
9.	Does the manuscript contain novelty in its idea, method, or conclusion?			

B. EVALUATION OF THE LANGUAGE OF MANUSCRIPT

Question	Answer		
	YES	NO	REASON
Does the language adequately convey the author's idea?			

C. DECISION

No.	Decision	Answer	
		YES	NO
1	The manuscript can be published directly		
2	The manuscript can published after being revised by the author		
3	The manuscript cannot be published		

D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS FROM PEER REVIEWER

Yogyakarta, _____, 2022
Blind Peer-Review