## This document has been moved to Upbase:

https://app.upbase.io/2VKWmiFrs8XreVeWjnJWz/list/2VKtbTQfo9SJTz6kNjj4a/docs/2TjyuE9h3WmRsCA7sJtWk

# WibblurSky Governance

Driver:

**Approver:** Aveta **Consulted:** 

**Informed:** Everybody

**Decision:** Initial Governance Structure for WibblurSky

## **Prior Art:**

• The Linux Foundation

• The Ubuntu Project

# **Background:**

As the project starts to come together we need some form of agreed upon governance structure to allow decisions to be made when consensus is not possible, to keep the work focused on what is necessary for the overall project to succeed, and to ensure that the primary goals of the project are being met.

### **Priorities:**

- 1. It is clear who in WibblurSky will be making decisions for WibblurSky
- 2. The work can be efficiently defined and delegated to the people most capable of doing it
- 3. The work must remain aligned with the overall mission of the project

#### Recommendation:

Based on my (mhall119) experience, I suggest this initial structure:

**Team A:** Project leads - people selected by Aveta, who will have the final say in all decisions. Ideally 5 or 7 people, an odd number avoiding tie votes

**Team A[n]:** Any number of sub-teams created by Team A for non-engineering tasks (such as funding, legal, marketing), made up of people selected by Team A or using a community selection method defined by Team A

**Team B:** Technical leads - people selected by Team A, or using a community selection method defined by Team A, who will make the technical/implementation decisions (such as whether to federate or centralize, which protocol to use, etc)

**Team B[n]:** Any number of sub-teams created by Team B for specific technical tasks (such as protocol team, backend team, frontend team, design team, accessibility team,

etc), made up of people selected by Team B of using a community selection method
defined by team B
•

<b>Alternatives</b>	considered:

**Decision:**