

2025 County Fashion Revue - Consumer Science Purchased - \$50 Limit Evaluation Form

Name _____

Placing ☐ Gold: 23-28 ☐ County Winner

County _____

☐ Blue 20-22

Age: ☐ Junior: 9-10 ☐ Intermediate: 11-13 ☐ Senior: 14-18

☐ Red: 17-19

☐ White: 1-16

	Excellent: 4 points	Very Good: 3 points	Satisfactory: 2 points	Needs Improvement: 1 point	Score
EXHIBITOR					
Self Esteem, Poise, & Posture	Confident & self-assured, models outfit to its best advantage; great use of gestures, eye contact, and facial expressions.	Good confidence, poise, posture, gestures, expressions, and eye contact.	Presents outfit with limited enthusiasm, poise, eye contact, gestures, or expressions.	Appears awkward or uncomfortable when presenting outfit. Lack of gestures, eye contact, and facial expressions.	
Personal Appearance & Grooming	Neat, spotless, clean, well-groomed, well-pressed appearance.	Well groomed, neat appearance.	Minor problems with grooming; shoes or pressing need attention.	Appearance and grooming need more attention. Outfit shows wear.	
Verbal & Written Communication	Thorough knowledge of fabric, fiber content, cost vs. value, and care.	Able to answer questions about care, fabric content, and cost vs. value.	Basic knowledge of care and cost vs. value.	Difficulty giving complete answers or providing answers to some questions.	
GARMENT(S)					
Shopability & Versatility	Outfit pieces add excellent functionality and versatility to wardrobe. Multiple shopping sources used. Learned new purchasing skills and gained new attitudes through the shopping experience.	Outfit pieces add some functionality and versatility to existing wardrobe. Learned new consumer skills.	Bought outfit pieces without much concern for functionality; adds limited versatility to wardrobe. New consumer skills not obvious without questioning.	No new skills and limited shopping experience indicated. Outfit pieces do not add functionality and versatility to existing wardrobe.	
Cost vs. Value	Great quality for money invested. Value of items far exceeds the cost paid. Cost and time for care are not excessive.	Good quality for money invested. Value is higher than cost. Cost and time for care are reasonable.	Average quality for money invested. Value is slightly higher than cost. Cost and time for care were not considered.	Poor quality for money invested. Value is equal to or lower than cost. Cost and time for care exceeds value of garment.	
Fit	Fit enhances personal attributes. A great fit of neck, shoulder, sleeves, waist, crotch, and side seams.	Well sized for style and body type; allows adequate ease of movement.	Minor adjustments needed in fit of entry.	Entry has fit defects that detract from overall appearance.	
Coordinated total look	Smart, well put together look. All aspects complement the model. Accessories enhance overall look.	Fabric, color and design complement the model. Accessories relate well to look of outfit.	Suitable for model; accessories add little interest to outfit.	Looks incomplete; design elements are not cohesive.	
EVALUATOR:					TOTAL POINTS

See Comments on back.

It is the policy of the University of California (UC) and the UC Division of Agriculture & Natural Resources not to engage in discrimination against or harassment of any person in any of its programs or activities (Complete nondiscrimination policy statement can be found at <http://ucanr.edu/sites/anrstaff/files/215244.pdf>). Inquiries regarding ANR's nondiscrimination policies may be directed to UCANR, Affirmative Action Compliance & Title IX Officer, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2801 Second Street, Davis, CA 95618, (530) 750-1343.

Comments

Please write neatly and legibly. Please write comments to the presenter.

What did I do well? What could I have done differently to make my entry more effective?