

Olivia's thesis statement: *Debate and diplomacy play a major role in the courts. Debate allows both sides to share their opinion, and diplomacy allows for a peaceful solution. These two ideas work against and with each other to allow for accurate decisions. This is used to settle disputes and create new laws that impact our lives.*

Tessa's thesis statement:

Law cases in Chicago are important and change the law with debate and diplomacy because of the overlooked cases that violate a law created by an idiotic court case, but the important cases try to help and the debate and diplomacy clash with and against each other. Important court cases like sexual assault, child abuse, and more are overlooked by the laws and diplomacy is never reached.

The Thesis statement: From 1949 to 2016, idiotic and important law cases in Chicago have displayed the conflicting aspects of debate and diplomacy, allowing both sides of a case to share their opinion, settling disputes, creating new laws and sometimes, permitting peaceful solution that impact the nation as a whole.

Script:

Episode 1: What would you say are the most intriguing court cases that you have heard of?

Episode 2: Why was that lawsuit filed again? (literal and why reasons some lawsuits were filed)

Episode 3: How do court cases work? (somewhat)

Episode 4: How do court cases use debate and diplomacy to work?

OBJECTION!: Democracy dinner

EP 1:

Olivia: Welcome back to democracy dinner everyone! Spaghetti is absent today so I, Ms. Potato, will be filling in!~

Tessa: And me! Beans Beanson! Don't forget about me—

Olivia: Today, we'll be talking to an expert about court cases!

Tessa: Say hello to Mr. (quietly to Olivia:) *What's his name again?*

Olivia: Why are you asking me? I thought you contacted him?

Tessa: Umm....

Awkward silence

Tessa: * deep * Yes I am totally a lawyer Mr... uh.... PUDDING! YES PUDDING!

Olivia: *What?! Why!?*

Tessa: (lol, UwU)

Olivia: Let's bring in the real lawyer. Beans, didn't you interview your dad?

Tessa: maybe.

Olivia: Then play the recording. You did make a recording, right?

Tessa: Yes.

Olivia: Ok

Tessa: Wait!

Olivia:What?

Tessa: We forgot to introduce our thesis statement!

Olivia: Oh! Yeah! Here, lemme pull it up

Typing noises

Olivia: Here it is, Beans, would you like to do the honors?

Tessa: Ok, here it is... Umm... From 1949 to 2016, idiotic law cases in Chicago have displayed the conflicting aspects of debate and diplomacy, allowing both sides of a case to share their

opinion, settling disputes, creating new laws and sometimes, permitting peaceful solution that impact the nation as a whole.

Olivia: Now that we have that done, let's get back to the recording.

typing noises

Olivia: Well, looks like it's too long.

Beans: Read the script.

Olivia: We have a SCRIPT!?

Beans: Yes. Just in case we ran into this problem

Olivia: ...

Oh.

I feel kinda stupid...

Script read on separate piece of paper

Olivia: Awww... That was sweet. You're dad had some very nice inspirational speeches.

Maybe we should make T-shirts.

Tessa: As if we would get anyone to listen...

Olivia: Good point. Well, anyway. This is the end of the first history fair episode. I hope we get at least a few listeners who want to buy merchandise, if we make any.

Tessa: BYEE!!!!

EP 2:

Beans: HELLO! cvWELCOME TO ANOTHER

Beans and Ms. Potato: DEMOCRACY DINNER!!!!

Beans: That didn't work, so

Both: DEMOCRACY DINNER!

Beans: Today, we will look at why exactly some court cases happened and what some of the silliest lawsuits were!

Ms. Potato: Such as Going to Court on a footlong sandwich that is one inch short

Ms. Potato: Hey! I rhymed!

Beans: Wow. No one cares

Ms. Potato: (sniffling noises)

Beans: Or filing a lawsuit against Starbucks because there was too much ice in their drink!

Ms. Potato: Our FIRST story tonight, "A case alleged that Starbucks essentially under-fills its iced coffees and teas because the stated drink volumes — which range from 8 to 30 ounces — include ice."

Beans: SO OUTRAGEOUS!!! Must be ILLEGAL!

Ms. Potato: That's why whenever I go to Starbucks, I order a Strawberry Acai refresher drink with low ice.

Beans: The US district judge, Percy Anderson dismissed it saying "if children have figured out that including ice in a cold beverage decreases the amount of liquid they will receive, the court has no difficulty concluding that a reasonable consumer would not be deceived" by stated drink volumes.

Ms. Potato: Is that a quote or something you made up?

Beans: A quote.

Ms. Potato: This case was dumb. But it did help create a law, HB 0095 which Brings Illinois statute into line with Supreme Court rules for the pretrial discovery process.

Beans: Nice. LAWS!

Ms. Potato: heheh :P

Ms. Potato: I remember hearing about a court case back in 2013. Or somewhere around that time. A teenager decided to measure one of the subway's footlong sandwiches. It turned out that the sandwich was one inch short!

Beans: Ha!

Ms. Potato: The case was dumb. But it helped create a new law.

Beans: The commonsense consumption act!

Ms. Potato: Wait, did you look that up! Cheater! That's not Guessing!!!

Beans: Hehehe

Ms. Potato: ANYways, what were we just talking about? I forget. It's not chaotic enough!!!

Beans: We were talking about how the case of the footlong being one inch short and how it added the commonsense consumption act. This protects food businesses from some lawsuits.

Ms. Potato: "For any claim about-

Beans: Weight gain, Obesity, or any health condition asset.

Ms. Potato: Wow, whenever it isn't too chaotic, we make a great team!

Beans: *cat purring noise* Oh Nugget's happy! Pat pat

Beans: Wanna pet him?

Ms. Potato: YESSSSSS

Nugget: Hiss!

Chomping noises

Ms. Potato: OOW! HE BIT OFF MY HAND OMG OMG OMG

Beans: Sorry, you can't have a lawsuit. You would be going against a food business!

Ms. Potato: WHAT!?!?!?

Beans: That's the CCA! Commonsense consumption act at work! Ain't it beautiful?

Ms Potato: HE ATE MY HAND!!!!!!

Beans: That's all for today folks! Thanks for TUNING IN! Democracy dinner!

Ms Potato: AHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!

EP 3:

Ms. Potato: You know what time it is!

Beans: 2:40?

Ms. Potato: No, silly, it's

Ms. Potato: TIME FOR DINNER!

Both: DEMOCRACY DINNER!!!!

Ms. Potato: It's me, your new host. Ms. FANCY S. POTATO!!!!

Beans; And I'm Beans Beanson, who lied to the boss about my resume!

Mr. Gummy Bear: Hey. It's me, your boss.

Beans: EEEP!!!!

Mr. Gummy Bear: Today I'm taking over the show.

Beans: Hey! That's my job!

Mr. Gummy Bear: It's time for democracy desert!

Ms. Potato: Eww. No. It's supposed to be Democracy Dinner. (to herself) *Desert. What was he thinking...*

Mr. Gummy Bear: You're fired.

Beans: O_O

Ms. Potato: NO WAY! THAT CAN'T BE TRUE!!! *sniffing sounds*

Beans: And you're hired.

Mr. Gummy Bear: Ugh. I'm taking this to court. I'M SUING YOU!

Beans: Lol.

Ms. Potato: Why don't we debate on this before.

Mr. Gummy Bear: We all know I will win.

Random voice: AND THE DEBATE TOPIC IS...

SHOULD THIS BE A DEMOCRACY DINER OR DESERT!!!

Mr. Gummy Bear: I'm on the attacking side.

Ms. Potato: And I'm on the defense side!

Beans: I'm the fair and impartial jury!

Ms. Potato: You're never impartial.

Beans: True dat.

Ms. Sushi: ENOUGH. I'm the judge. Order in court. The debate today is whether it should be a democracy dinner or dessert. (Dinner all the way. Go win this, Ms. Potato)

Mr. Gummy Bear: Ms. Sushi I would like to request a witness.

Ms. Sushi: For what?

Mr. Gummy Bear: How desert is more fun and lively than dinner!

Ms. Sushi: Granted.

Ms. Potato: If I may. I would also like to request a witness, Ms. Sushi.

Ms. Sushi: Granted.

Mr. Gummy Bear: My witness is Mx. vanilla ice cream.

Ms. Potato: My witness is Mr. Beans Beanson.

EVERYONE: GASPPPPP

Ms. Sushi: Do you both promise to tell the truth and only the truth?

Beans: Yes. I'd like to bring up my topic. The Sahara desert is very dry and tastes very bad -don't ask- And is the largest, the-opposite-of-yummiest dessert in the world.

Mx. vanilla ice cream: Yeah no. I'd like to say that dinner is less yummy and it's dry instead of exciting and fun.

Ms. Potato: OBJECTION! But, without dinner, no one will be healthy. With only desert, people would be sick from the sugar. Dinner allows us to eat healthily. It's also very yummy.

Ms. Sushi: Objection granted. Write that down.

Mr. Gummy bear: Shoot. WELL MY NEXT POINT! Dinner isn't as yummy. Take, for example, the desserts Cake, Tarts, Smores, Sundays, and Brownies are much more interesting!

Ms. Potato: too much sugar. And aren't there some breakfast tarts? Dinner can include Curry, pizza, sushi-

Ms. Sushi: yus!

Ms. Potato: -chicken pot pie, pasta, tacos...

Ms. Sushi: Well, I'm pretty sure it's obvious who the winner is, now that everyone began drooling when Tacos were mentioned. I mean, who doesn't love a good taco!

Mr. Gummy Bear: Yeah, who doesn't love a nice dessert!

Slapping sounds

Beans: (The Sahara tasted terrible. Ewww.)

Ms. Sushi: Well, in case it isn't clear for some, tacos fit into the dinner category. Not the dessert.

Ms. Sushi: The jury will now discuss.

Tessa: *whisper noises*

Ms. Sushi: The jury has come to a conclusion.

Ms. Sushi: Democracy dinner will be used from here on out. That will be \$10,000 for the fines.

Ms. Potato: You can handle this right bean?

Beans: No I'm broke T-T

Mr. Gummy Bear: Don't look at me!

Ms. Sushi: But you were the one who filed the complaint, Mr. Gummy Bear...

Mr. Gummy bear: *running away*

Beans: GET BACK HERE!!!!

Ms. Potato: Court cases may be complicated, but money is even more complicated! HAHAHA

Beans: BYE FOLKS! THANKS FOR LEARNING WITH US! THIS WAS DEMOCRACY DINNER! TUNE IN NEXT MILLENNIA!!!!

Mx Ice cream: You owe me, Mr. Gummy Bear-

Ms. Potato: At least there isn't an intimidating Boss bossing us around. You Don't have to pay,

Mx. Ice cream, but I'm pretty sure we can sue Mr. Gummy Bear for even more money.

Mr. Gummy Bear: NOOOOOOOOO

EP 4:

Ms. Potato: Hello, everyone, and,

Beans: WELCOME TO DEMOCRACY DINNER!!!!

Ms. Potato: Just so we remember, what were our claims again? We'll be trying to prove them in this episode.

Beans: Lemme pull up the document...

Beans: Ok, here it is From 1949 to 2016, idiotic law cases in Chicago have displayed the conflicting aspects of debate and diplomacy, allowing both sides of a case to share their opinion, settling disputes, creating new laws and sometimes, permitting peaceful solution that impact the nation as a whole.

Ms. Potato: Ok. This is our thesis. I think we have pretty much proven the first claim, and maybe a few others...

Beans: We aren't really supposed to tell everyone what we are doing

Ms. Potato: Right, anyways...

Beans: What about settling disputes in episode 3? And sharing our opinion in episode 3 as well! Peaceful solutions in episode 1, and creating new laws like the commonsense consumption act in episode 2.

Ms. Potato: You said not to tell anyone what we were doing.

Beans: Anyways, Debate and diplomacy work with courts.

Ms Potato: Debate?

Beans: The court cases! You have to argue. Imagine if defending and attacking sides literally did not debate, THAT'S THEIR JOB???

Ms Potato: Imagine *SCOFF* And Diplomacy for if you get arrested, and if you're found guilty.

Beans: OVERTHROW THE MONARCHY!!!

Ms Potato: Hush. We don't even have a ruler! We have the prezident

Beans: True dat.

Ms Potato: Court cases are important even if silliness overthrows it sometimes :P

Beans: Oh hi Mr Gummy Bear! Back from prison?

Mr Gummy Bear: Grrrrrr...

Beans: HOLD UP THERE! I got a restraining order for you Mr Gummy Bear.

Ms Sushi: Yeah! Keep away! >:(

Mx Vanilla: HOW DID I GET HERE?!?!?!?!

Ms Potato: Its the end of the show folks! Thanks for keeping safe and being with us today. Cmon, let's rest and put your dishes in the sink, Beans can wash them. Thanks for watching,

All: DEMOCRACY DINNER! <3

Annotated bibliography:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1pKgh4gTS3vEAluQBIZ0q6YG5LP_KTvQOW_1Lro0hfhl/e/dit