

I believe there are several typos in [this publication of the Project for the Study of the 21st Century \(PS21\) Great Power Conflict Report](#).

Specifically, I believe that the mean and median figures have been mislabeled/mixed up for most rows. In the Methodology section, the report states that, for most of the questions, the median was lower than the mean (which is in line with what I would expect). However, the data seems to show the opposite: for most questions, the median is greater than the mean.

Additionally, most of the mean values are fairly round (with no digits after the decimal point) – which is what I would expect to see in the median values (as the median should reflect a specific value estimated by an individual respondent). By contrast, most of the median values have several digits after the decimal point, which seems like what I would expect from the mean values. This makes me think that some of the mean and median data points were switched (entered under the opposite heading).

What I believe to be the correct data:

Survey Questions	Mean	Median	Average of the mean and the median
What would you estimate the probability of nuclear great power conflict over the next 20 years killing more people than World War II (80 million)? please take this as a percentage	8.53%	5%	6.8%
Do you believe that over the previous decade the risk of this has:	60% believe the risk has grown		
Over the next decade, would you expect the risk to:	52% expect the risk to rise		
Do you expect the level of ambiguous confrontation between great Powers through proxies and other means to:	80% expect a rise in proxy confrontation		
NATO States versus Russia (conventional, with or without nuclear exchange)	22.64%	20%	21%
NATO States versus Russia (nuclear exchange)	4.72%	4%	4%
US versus China (conventional, with or without nuclear exchange)	18.46%	10%	14%
US vs. China (nuclear exchange)	3.93%	1%	2%
Japan vs China (conventional, with or without nuclear exchange)	22.48%	15%	19%
Japan vs China (nuclear exchange)	2.54%	1%	2%
Russia vs. China (conventional, with or without nuclear exchange)	8.79%	5%	7%
Russia vs. China (nuclear exchange)	1.88%	1%	1%
China versus India (conventional, with or without nuclear exchange)	18.54%	12.5%	16%
China vs India (nuclear exchange)	3.94%	1%	2%

India versus Pakistan (conventional, with or without nuclear exchange)	40.7%	40%	40%
India versus Pakistan (nuclear exchange)	12.3%	6.5%	9%
Iran versus its adversaries: US and/ or Israel; and/ or Gulf States (conventional, where there without nuclear exchange)	28.54%	25%	27%
Iran versus its adversaries: US and/ or Israel; and/ or Gulf States (nuclear exchange)	6.87%	5%	6%
US versus North Korea (conventional, where there without nuclear exchange)	19%	15%	17%
US versus North Korea (nuclear exchange)	6.79%	5%	6%
What is the chance of a nuclear detonation by non-state actor in the next 20 years?	21.85%	12.5%	17%
For original mis-labeled data, see here			