

SELF-ASSESSMENT FORM - MSG-CSOs

Corrective Action (To what extent has the MSG addressed each of the following corrective actions?)	Self- Assessment (Fully met, mostly met, partly met, not met)	Why? (Evidence or Documentation)	What are the remaining gaps? (IF not fully met)	What should be done until January 2024?
Government is to:				
<p>Ensure there are no obstacles to civil society participation in the EITI process, including expressing views related to natural resource governance.</p> <p><i>From Doc Glenn: Are we talking about the freedom of expression of CSOs within the platform or this includes CSOs outside the PH-EITI?</i></p> <p><i>Ma’am Ladylyn: The statement is general. It includes NRG.</i></p>	<p><i>Ladylyn: Partly met</i> <i>Rodne: Partly met</i> <i>Chito: Mostly met.</i> <i>Annie: Mostly met</i> <i>Bon: Mostly met.</i></p> <p><i>Glenn: Mostly met</i></p> <p><i>Jean: Partly met</i></p> <p><i>Ma’am Ladylyn: to take note of the items with consensus and those with on-going debate.</i></p> <p><i>Becky: Partly met</i></p> <p><i>Arlene: Partly met</i></p>	<p><i>Ladylyn: There were instances that some groups had issues that they could not participate on some platforms and freely express their views.</i></p> <p><i>Rodne: Does the action mean in general terms or internal or external obstacles? subnational (ex: BK processes)? Is it within the mechanism or outside?</i></p> <p><i>Rhea: within EITI platform</i></p> <p><i>Rodne: There are external obstacles that limit CSO participation. There is a need to qualify the gaps (local fares, etc.)</i></p> <p>Evaluate the improvement of CSO participation after the release of the CSO Shadow</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is still a certain limitation from other CSOs to freely express views. This has to be assessed. 2. Funding limitation of community members and CSOs. 3. Lack of greater participation due to lack of schemes down to the barangay level. 4. Red-tagging of “lumads”. and other advocates on the ground based on the previous shadow report. <p><i>Jean: Concur 1-4</i></p> <p><i>Becky: concur 1-4</i></p> <p><i>Arlene: POs are afraid to be identified as opposing issues</i></p>	<p><i>Annie: To set standards, goals and objectives to assess the civic space.</i></p> <p><i>Rodne: Pag-aralan ang subnationalization by Jan 2024 dahil ito yung magbibigay ng pathway kung ano yung gaps. If may subnational platform na tayo, mas makak-participate ang communities, govt at industries on the ground.</i></p> <p><i>Glenn: if subnationalization cannot be in full swing next year because it takes time to set up the platform for it needs the cooperation of all stakeholders, the existing roadshows in mining-affected regions can be maximized as a platform. Ensure that mining-affected communities can participate in road shows. organize and empower mining</i></p>

		<p>Report. In our context, we can go around and join sessions and meetings unlike before it was different. There was an improved condition re: CARCANMADCARLAN issue unlike Sibuyan case. There is an improvement in the govt's support to CSOs on their right to say NO.</p> <p>Annie: 2021 vs. 2023 marami nang nagbago. 2021 - Duterte, 2023 - BBM new administration. Usec Agabin, Asec. Cielo. Before it was restrictive. Malaki ang impact nung nasa brink tayo ng pag alis sa EITI. I would like to credit this to the shadow report. CSO's, activists and envi defenders. Usec Agabin is friendly and open to CSOs. During Asec. Cielo's time - CSOs can freely express ideas and issues.</p> <p>Glenn: CSO reps are in PHEITI, BK is in PH- EITI representing various CSOs in the country advocating for transparency and</p>	<p>since family members are working in the mining company.</p>	<p>affected communities through a series of training, workshops, and education on mining and advocacy. This requires time and resources to capacitate the mining-affected communities.</p> <p>Bon: (1) Preparation of WFP of the PHEITI taking into account justified funds to promote CSO's meaningful participation on the EITI platform. (2) Performance Evaluation of the PH-EITI Secretariat team to improve work performance, coordination with stakeholders and facilitation of actions to be taken by the government.</p> <p>Ladylyn: Seconded previous inputs from CSOs.</p> <p>Chito: Wala nang oras mag-J-January na. DILG to have circulars / structures to allow greater participation of civil society. NCIP - red-tagging of lumads (using "lumad" term) - to improve NCIP admin orders.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>accountability in extractive industries. PHEITI organizes National conferences, roadshows, and other events inviting and encouraging CSOs to participate. we can also express ourselves freely during MSG meetings, natcons, and roadshows..</p> <p>During NatCon - the community members esp from Mindanao expressed their sentiments. There are still these gaps, Ipilan Nickel Corp., Writ of Kalikasan released but operation is still ongoing. I cannot understand the law.</p> <p>We can express our sentiments, and yet the resolution of issues (ex: MGB responses) the gap is the mechanism to really push and system of putting forward our issues, .. effective way to bring across our issues has to be improved.. There has to be this mechanism for govt agencies, particularly MGB, to have an action plan and</p>		<p>Jean: not much can be done with the very limited time. Enabling policies for the CSO participation can be invoked by January especially for the LGUs; and to provide necessary resources : technical and financial</p> <p>Arlene: 1 event to advocate thru DILG, that LGUs can raise thier concerns.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

		<p>schedule the resolution of issues, so that what happened to NatCon will not happen again.</p> <p>Evidence: 2023 NatCon wherein community participants expressed their current concerns to the government speakers, which were not fully addressed. <i>(Please check NatCon Documentation Report, recorded videos)</i></p> <p>Bon: Fund limitation, internal processes within govt system / CSOs.</p> <p>Jean: obstacles to a meaningful civil society participation include the prevailing limited and/or lack of access to data and the limited capability in data usage compounded by the lack of resources to respond to this situation. This limits the quality of participation in the process. While, CSO's who are able to access the PH EITI platform (i.e BK) can freely discuss their issues</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>and concerns; the resolution of these issues require certain mechanisms which are not yet established.</p> <p>Arlene: In BARMM, this platform was not opened to CSOs. POs in Languyan Island are difficult to visit due to distance and current work in the island. Difficult to gather the POs in the area. Need for daily support to be able to gather the POs and communities in the area due to poverty issues.</p>		
<p>Refrain from actions that result in narrowing or restricting public debate about EITI implementation.</p> <p>Annie: CSO members here are coming from different levels, contexts and exposures to the extractive industry. The statements being asked from us are too general to easily decide upon.</p>	<p>Rodne: Not met Bon: Not met Chito: Partly met Ladylyn: Partly met Annie: Partly met</p> <p>Glenn: Partly met Becky:Partly met Arlene: Partly met</p>	<p>Due to the presence of NTF-ELCAC Anti-Terrorism Act</p> <p>On BO: SEC not doing more.</p> <p>Chito: To contextualize based on the change of government administration. The current govt has expressed its seriousness to address red-tagging.</p> <p>DENR has recently had open discussion with CSOs. CSO database included by the DENR.</p>	<p>NTF-ELCAC Anti-Terrorism Act</p> <p>Specific actions from SEC on BO.</p> <p>Glenn: No platforms or events were organized for a formal public debate on mining issues outside the MSG. I cannot recall any. no efforts yet no deliberate identification of mining issues for public debate to be made into debatable motions.</p>	<p>Annie: Upanan ng MSG PH EITI ang red flags related to BO. (PH-EITI to include this as an agenda in the January 2024 MSG Meeting)</p> <p>To invite the NTF-ELCAC Secretariat to attend the MSG meeting.</p> <p>Dialogue with PNP (DENR, etc) and the PH-EITI MSG Stakeholders' Engagement Committee (1 day).</p> <p>Glenn: organize public debates at nat con, roadshows, and</p>

		<p>Ladylyn: No stats to back up a “not met” assessment.</p> <p>Annie: Hindi umuusad yung pag uusap sa BO. Maraming batas na humahadlang. We are not yet fully transparent.</p> <p>Identify red flags (on BO).</p> <p>Glenn: it seems the PHEITI itself has not organized events, activities, and platforms for debate, especially at the regional and local levels. no problem with MSG because debates happen there. I cannot recall an event in PHEITI that was intended for a formal debate on an issue in mining.</p> <p>Bon: PH-EITI’s roadshows converted to LGU-specific orientation and FGDs with limiting local CSO participation. <i>(Please check activity reports and documentation of the PH-Secretariat’s Civic Space Report conducted Nov to Dec 2023.)</i></p>		<p>other events. A one-hour-and-a-half debate on critical issues in mining will do. schools can have a debate on mining issues co-organized by PH- EITI or a debate on local radio stations or a talk show can be done.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>Ensure there are no obstacles for civil society to organize EITI-related activities, such as events and meetings.</p>	<p>Ladylyn: Mostly met Bon: Mostly met Annie: Mostly met Rodne: Mostly met Glenn: Mostly Met Jean: Mostly met Chito: Mostly met Arlene: Mostly met</p>	<p>Ladylyn: No obstacles in organizing activities. Glenn: Yes, no obstacle in organizing activities.</p>	<p>Logistical concern and fund for community-based CSOs and POs Jean: Concur Glenn: However, there were times that government representatives and industry representatives did not attend or couldn't send a representative to our events. it would have been better for all stakeholders to be represented in EITI-related events.</p>	<p>Bon: To include in the PH-EITI Secretariat's Work and Financial Plan for 2024 an ample fund allocated for community-based PH-EITI orientation esp for LGUs hosting critical or transition mineral mining (nickel, copper, cobalt) Glenn: organize local MSGs whose CSO reps come from the mining-affected communities who will be capacitated and assisted by BK and Ph eiti Jean: Concur with above Suggestions</p>
<p>Ensure that the implementation of laws and regulatory policies, such as the 2020 Anti-Terrorism Act and the enhanced system of registration and monitoring of non-profit organizations, does not result in the violation or curtailment of civil society's fundamental rights and freedoms, by undertaking due consultation, review, and reform.</p>	<p><i>same inputs above on Anti-Terrorism Act</i> Rodne: Not met Bon: Not met Chito: Partly met Ladylyn: Partly met Annie: Partly met</p>	<p>Due to the presence of NTF-ELCAC Anti-Terrorism Act Glenn: STANCE was not red-tagged in Cebu. Annie: The persistent insecurity that CSOs feel whenever they express</p>	<p>Bon: Absence of consultations and dialogues that involve government actors and CSO / environmental defenders. PH-EITI can be a good platform for such dialogues, especially if issues are related to extractive industry operations or resource-related conflicts.</p>	<p>Bon: To prioritize the inclusion of consultations and dialogues between the government and CSOs on issues / cases related to EI resource-based conflicts and red-tagging Annie: Stakeholder Engagement Committee to organize a dialogue on the red tagging issue to be</p>

	<p>Glenn: Partly met</p> <p>Jean: Partly met</p> <p>Arlene: Not met</p>	<p>dissent or expose violations of environmental laws and policies and human rights</p>	<p>Annie: Transparency, consultation with different stakeholders and dialogue with the people concerned – determining a terrorist from an environmental activist and/or human rights defender</p> <p>Glenn: some CSOs still experience red tagging according to the previous shadow report. There is a need to update the shadow report to check, verify, and validate whether there are improvements. I don't believe this will be completely solved.</p> <p>Jean: MSG as a body has yet to actively make this an advocacy. Has it issued any statement or advocacy on this?</p>	<p>participated by DILG, CHR, DENR, DOF, PNP and military, and those victims of red tagging especially the indigenous peoples and environmental activists</p> <p>Glenn: create a hotline to report red tagging to PHEITI, Commission on Human Rights. So that these incidents will be documented and properly investigated. Also, CSOs have to engage more constructively and diplomatically in the right venue and platform for genuine dialogue and peaceful discourse to ensue. Ph eiti and BK will reach out to all mining affected communities and maintain an open line of communication with them. This is Pheiti going down from the throne to talk with the mining affected communities. This can be done by direct going to the commutes or bringing the reps of the communities to the roadshow venue.</p>
--	---	---	---	---

				<p>Annie: To have a dialogue / convene stakeholders' engagement committee for dialogue with PNP, AFP and the red-tagged members.</p> <p>Chito: To invite UP to present re: studies on red-tagging incidents.</p> <p>Ladylyn: To tap UP-TWSC on studies related to red-tagging of CSOs.</p> <p>Annie: To tap PCIJ on studies related to red-tagging of CSOs.</p>
Extractive companies engaged in the EITI process are encouraged:				
To express their support for civil society's freedom of expression and operation, also when engaging with local government officials.	<p>Annie: Mostly met</p> <p>Rodne:Partly met</p> <p>Ladylyn:</p> <p>Bon: Partly met</p> <p>Glenn: Partly met</p> <p>Becky:Partly met</p> <p>Arlene: Fully met <i>(in the context of Tawi-tawi)</i></p>	<p>Annie: recent election, mining companies vote buying, restricting freedom in voting</p> <p>Rodne: No enough data as to the compliance or encouragement of the extractive companies.</p> <p>Ladylyn: No evidence to support the rating</p>	<p>Bon: No efforts yet on PH-EITI subnationalization.</p> <p>Glenn: There were events we organized that they were not able to send representatives. it would have been best if they were there.</p>	<p>Bon: A clearer and targeted PH-EITI national action plan that identifies specific activities to jumpstart subnationalization, as a strategy that can provide concrete actions at the local level, for all concerned stakeholders to perform their commitments tangibly.</p> <p>Glenn: subnationalize ph eiti or use roadshows and other events at the local level as venue for debate and dialogue</p>

		<p>Bon: Based on our experience in working with local communities in the mining areas, we are also coordinating with LGUs and mining companies. As of present, there are no local or community-based platforms wherein LGU, mining companies and communities can gather locally in which the company can explicitly support civil society's freedom of expression. There has been no collaboration happening among mining stakeholders in localities. Everyone operates in silos.</p> <p>Glenn: at the MSG, industry people also openly express support to many of our advocacies, arguments and stand on certain issues. they also allow us to speak. in the events we organized, they also send some representatives and allow us to visit mining sites and allow themselves to be interviewed. they also provide updates and data to us.</p>		<p>rather simply for presentations and reports.</p>
--	--	---	--	---

Government should ensure that:				
<p>The MSG establishes a working group with representation from all constituencies on the MSG to develop an action plan and implement a monitoring mechanism to ensure that the corrective actions are met.</p> <p>Ladylyn: To specify ratings of performance of each working group. To further clarify the system of rating for all working groups, so CSOs can agree on the ratings.</p>	<p>Bon: Partly met Glenn: Partly met Ladylyn: Partly met</p> <p>Annie: Mostly met - Stakeholder Engagement, Governance Committee, Implementation Committee, Finance Committee, etc. created</p> <p>Chito: Mostly met</p> <p>Becky: Partly met</p> <p>Arlene: Partly met</p>	<p>Glenn: there is already a working committee on this</p> <p>Bon: the action plan to address the civic space concerns were not updated, implemented and monitored. No monitoring mechanism.</p> <p>Bon: Stakeholders' Engagement Committee - DILG declined Chairmanship due to difficulty of sending reps to attend meetings.</p>	<p>Glenn: finalization and Implementation of the action plan is needed</p> <p>Annie: Committees were established but difficulty scheduling meetings, hence low-paced implementation.</p> <p>The different committees created at the start of 2023 with the new leadership at the PH-EITI have yet to be fully operationalized.</p> <p>Difficulty of scheduling meetings hence very slow pace and low level of accomplishments</p>	<p>Glenn: Implement the action plan one step at a time to see progress (implement, monitor, and then evaluate and back to planning and repeat the process for continuous improvement)</p> <p>Annie: Develop mechanisms to meet targets.</p>
<p>Working group should include representatives from civil society organisations impacted by breaches of the EITI Protocol: Participation of civil society</p>	<p>Bon: Partly met Glenn: Partly met Chito: Mostly met Ladylyn: Mostly met Annie: Mostly met</p>	<p>Bon: <i>Please check the PH-EITI list of working groups.</i></p> <p>Glenn: BK is part of the working group and BK members are the ones affected.</p>	<p>Glenn: Not fully implemented because the ones directly affected are not in the working group. This needs to be verified if the cso reps in the working group are the ones impacted by breaches of the protocol.</p>	<p>Glenn: Subnationalization of PH-EITI and create a working group at the local level involving the ones who are directly impacted by the breach to address the issues on the ground. ph eiti and BK</p>

<p>Ladylyn: Does this include local CSOs or MSG CSOs only affected by breaches of the EITI Protocol?</p> <p>Glenn: The CSO Shadow Report is the context</p>	<p>Becky: Partly met</p> <p>Arlene: Partly met</p>	<p>Annie: Different committees that were created have CSOs as chair or co-chair, also chairmanship is by rotation</p> <p>Arlene: In the context of Tawi-tawi, not inclusive.</p>	<p>Annie: BK to represent members affected by breaches of the EITI protocol. Mechanism to document and reach out with the local members who are red-tagged.</p> <p>Finding common available schedule for meetings</p>	<p>have to do an outreach to these directly affected CSOs.</p> <p>Glenn: PH-EITI to conduct mapping and listing of CSOs impacted by reg-tagging issues.</p>
<p>The working group is expected to monitor the following through reports from various agencies involved in the EITI process:</p>				
<p>DILG:</p>				
<p>In consultation with impacted CSOs, monitor the environment for civil society engagement in all aspects of the EITI process, including at the subnational level.</p>	<p>Glenn: Not met</p> <p>Bon: Not met</p> <p>Ladylyn: Not met</p> <p>Annie: Undecided. No data on this.</p> <p>Chito: No answer</p> <p>Jean:</p> <p>Becky: Not met</p>	<p>Glenn: there was no consultation yet from the ones directly and greatly impacted</p> <p>Bon: No activity done so far. There were impacted areas that have been neglected for years. BK can show recent videos of impacted communities.</p>	<p>Glenn: need a formal report on the environment for civil society engagement</p> <p>Bon: No efforts done by the concerned government agency. Subnationalization not yet implemented / institutionalized.</p>	<p>Glenn: Reports, data, and information gathered from the consultation can be made part of the annual country report on the environment of civil society engagement. numeric and narrative reports can be made on this. This will be a new section and feature of the country report. This can be one page or two.</p>

	<i>Arlene: Not met (esp. in the context of BARMM)</i>			
In its role as MSG member with oversight over local authorities, including law enforcement agencies, ensure that there are no obstacles to CS participation in the EITI process.	<i>Bon: This is difficult to assess because I have not attended any orientation or presentation done by this concerned government agency as regards to their efforts of ensuring no obstacles to CS participation. Perhaps there are platforms that they have established at the local level, however as to its relevance in terms of addressing extractive industry issues remains to be seen.</i>	<i>Bon: N/A Glenn: No evidence yet.</i>	<i>Bon: No orientations done to inform CSOs or presentation of best practices with proof that current DILG platforms at the local level help address extractive industry issues. Glenn: no policy, guidelines, directives, or memo has been made on this yet.</i>	<i>Bon: To request the concerned government agency regarding their efforts on this matter. Glenn: need to create a memo or policy or guideline that stipulates such effort at least for a start and a strategy or action plan on how to carry it out. full implementation will later follow.</i>

	<p>Ladylyn: No data</p> <p>Glenn: Not Met</p> <p>Chito: Not sure. To look at documents from DILG.</p> <p>Annie: No data. <i>Suggested to request DILG to report on this - As an agenda during the next MSG meeting.</i></p> <p>Jean: No data to make a conclusive grading.</p> <p>Arlene: No idea in terms of DILG's approach in relation to EITI, at the local level. esp. in the context of BARMM.</p>			
<p>Report back to MSG at regular 6-month intervals.</p>	<p>Bon: No idea.</p> <p>Glenn: not met</p>	<p>Bon: N/A</p>	<p>Bon: N/A</p> <p>Ladylyn: MSG has not tackled this responsibility of DILG</p>	<p>Bon: N/A</p>

	<p>Ladylyn: No data / Not met.</p> <p>Becky: Not met</p> <p>Arlene: Not met</p> <p>Annie: Not done yet</p>	<p>Glenn: no concrete implementation yet on action plan</p>	<p>Glenn: MSG has not yet focused on the implementation of the corrective actions. There are no people assigned yet to implement the corrective action. The MSG and secretariat cannot implement the action plan because they have their full-time jobs. ph eiti needs an independent contractor to do the job for them.</p>	<p>Ladylyn: Inform DILG re this responsibility and ask for tentative plan</p> <p>Glenn: need for specific tasking of the implementation. I suggest hiring people or commissioning a subcontractor to implement the action plan because the secretariat and the MSGs' hands are full because they have their full-time jobs. make it a special project of EITI and BK to implement corrective actions. set a feasible budget and implement the action plan based on what is financially feasible.</p>
MGB, NCIP and ULAP				
Regularly report to the MSG on CS participation in existing public consultation and participation mechanisms related to extractive industry governance.	<p>Glenn : Not Met</p> <p>Bon: Not met.</p> <p>Ladylyn: Not Met</p> <p>Becky: Not met</p> <p>Arlene: Not met</p> <p>Annie: Not done yet</p>	<p>Bon: <i>Please check MSG minutes of the MSG meetings.</i></p> <p>Glenn : <i>no specific report has been presented from MGB, NCP and Ulap on this</i></p> <p>Ladylyn: <i>No data</i></p>	<p>Bon: There was no information provided to CSOs on this matter.</p> <p>Glenn: MGB, NCIP, ULAP has not specifically organized public consultations on CS participation. There are also no specific data gathered on this. They also do not have enough personnel to do this job. NCIP is not represented in the MSG. I don't know how</p>	<p>Bon: Include this action in the 2024 work plan.</p> <p>Glenn: MGB, NCIP, and ULap have to organize at least an annual consultation or dedicate a consultation on EIG in their already existing public consultations. request MGB NCIP and ULAP to provide reports on CS participation in existing public consultations. make this part of the annual</p>

			cooperative NCIP is with the MSG requests.	country report. include this as a subsection.
MSG				
Should document CS participation in subnational EITI activities, including regional implementation, outreach and dissemination events	<p>Bon: Partly met.</p> <p>Glenn: Partly met</p> <p>Ladylyn: Partly Met</p> <p>Becky: Partly met</p> <p>Arlene: Partly met</p> <p>Annie: Sharing of some CSO MSG members on ground activities – Mostly Met</p>	<p>Bon: <i>Please check Secretariat’s documentation reports and post-activity evaluation reports for activities conducted this year.</i></p> <p>Glenn: <i>there is documentation on Ph eiti activities at the regional level like roadshows among others</i></p> <p>Ladylyn: <i>Is this part of the 2022-2023 work plan?</i></p>	<p>Bon: subnationalization not yet been implemented.</p> <p>Glenn: roadshows have not been maximized as a platform for dialogues, and debates. roadshows are limited to presentations, and reporting but not dialogue among stakeholders defining issues and collaboratively planning to address them. not all mining-affected communities can attend the roadshows. they should be the priority. they should have the opportunity to express their views, and opinions together with the other stakeholders. data gathered in roadshows were not analyzed and issues raised were not focused and given attention and included as part of the MSG’s strategic and action plan</p>	<p>Bon: Include this action in the 2024 workplan.</p> <p>Glenn: 1. subnationalize EITI platform so that mining-affected communities can directly participate.</p> <p>2. While working on the sub-nationalization since it will take time to organize, Pheiti can maximize the roadshow as a platform for CS participation. prioritize mining-affected communities. allow them to report, express, and discuss with other stakeholders what the MSG is doing. It can be done gradually through a series of capacitation among mining-affected communities on how to constructively engage, then let the mSG pay attention to the report in the road shows and make it part</p>

				of its strategic action plan. data-based strategic plan.
May wish to engage with relevant human rights organizations, such as CHR, SACs, and NGOs in its monitoring.	<p>Bon: Partly met.</p> <p>Glenn: Partly met</p> <p>Ladylyn: Partly Met</p> <p>Becky: Partly met</p> <p>Arlene: Not met</p> <p>Annie: Still to be set</p>	<p>Bon: Through BK, the MSG tried to engage with human rights groups to request for readily available red-tagging protocols, however, the initial request was not granted.</p> <p>Glenn: same with Bon's answer</p> <p>Ladylyn: Sorry, but EITI has not yet embarked on monitoring with CSO/MSG</p>	<p>Bon: No formal invitation for CS HR groups to engage in the EITI platform, esp on the issue of red-tagging.</p> <p>Glenn: MOA yet with PHeiti and PH Eiti on this need</p>	<p>Bon: Include this action in the 2024 work plan.</p> <p>A formal letter should be sent to HR groups.</p> <p>Glenn: a MOA between CHR and PH Eiti should be inked. a hotline or platform for reporting of red-tagging and other issues directly to PHeiti and CHR should be established. no need for a shadow report but a direct report to PHEITI which is easy for PH EITI to validate and verify.</p> <p>Ladylyn: Incorporate in the 2024 work plan</p>
Civil society MSG members				

<p>are Encouraged to bring any ad hoc restrictions that could constitute a breach of the protocol to the attention of the MSG, in accordance with the CS Protocol.</p>	<p>Bon: Fully met. Glenn: Fully met Ladylyn: Fully met Becky: Mostly met Arlene: Fully met Annie: Ipilan Nickel Corporation issue - Mostly met</p>	<p>Bon: CSOs filed 3 mining cases to the PH-EITI's grievance mechanism. Links below: 1. Sibuyan Island 2. Ipilan Case 3. IP Royalty - Case complaint 4. Rice Farms and Mangrove Areas - Case Complaint</p> <p>Glenn: these are always reported in BK nat cons, BK meetings. BK shadow report is another piece of evidence</p>	<p>Bon: Weak system to fast track resolution of cases. Glenn: Reports can be directly sent to PH-EITI and CHR if there is a hotline or platform. In the absence of these accessible platforms shadow report will be made. 2. Also, red tagging happens because some CSOs do not constructively engage other stakeholders because they have no access to Phe eiti and its platforms for constructive engagements or they were not trained or capacitated in constructive engagement. It is also possible that people will be red-tagged because their methods, and approaches of engagement are not constructive or diplomatic. either they do not believe in constructive engagement as effective or constructive engagement is not within their framework or ideology. Annie: Very slow process</p>	<p>Bon: Include this action in the 2024 work plan. Glenn: capacitate all CSO and mining-affected communities on how to engage constructively in the PHETI platform. This requires a budget and time. 2. All impacted CSOs will be the priority in the MSG membership and subnational MSG so that they will not be red-tagged or experience harassment. Having them in the MSG and sub-nationalizing the MSG will provide them with a safe space for constructive engagements. if these CSOs are accredited by PH eiti they will be less likely red-tagged. if they will also express and operate within the right venues and platforms within the ambit of PH EITI there is less chance of being red-tagged.</p>
--	---	--	--	--

				Annie: Governance Committee Decision/Recommendation in January 2024
MSG				
Expected to document how it addresses these concerns.	<p>Bon: Partly met.</p> <p>Glenn: Not met</p> <p>Ladylyn: Mostly met</p> <p>Becky: Not met</p> <p>Arlene: Not met</p> <p>Annie: Mostly met</p>	<p>Glenn: since there is no implementation yet there is no documentation yet</p> <p>Ladylyn: the relevant committee has the record or documentation</p>	<p>Glenn: the need to implement corrective action. there is a need to hire an independent administrator or subcontractor to fully implement the correction actions. The MSG and secretariat have no time to implement them because they have full-time jobs. There is no budget yet for implementation and for hiring people to implement the corrective action.</p>	<p>Glenn: Need to hire an independent administrator or subcontractor/s to implement the corrective action with guidance and supervision of the MSG, secretariat, and BK.</p>
Including referral to the EITI Board in serious cases that have not been resolved through the MSG.	<p>Bon: Partly met.</p> <p>Glenn: Partly met</p> <p>Ladylyn: Not applicable</p>	<p>Glenn: PHEITI has an open communication with the EITI board on any issues unresolved. so far no issue that the ph eiti has not tried to resolve. The action plans</p>	<p>Glenn: need to implement the fully corrective action</p> <p>Ladylyn: No incident after the shadow report</p>	<p>Glenn: Need to hire an independent administrator or subcontractor/s to implement the corrective action with guidance and supervision of the MSG, secretariat, and BK.</p>

	<p>Becky: Not met</p> <p>Arlene: Partly met</p> <p>Annie: Not done yet</p>	<p>to address the corrective action is PH-EITI's way of solving the problem. We need to implement the action plans before we can determine that issues are unresolved at our level. We will exhaust all remedies first before we elevate them to the EITI board.</p>		<p>Ladylyn: Initial discussion on the matter can be done within MSG</p>
The government				
<p>is Required to undertake measures to prevent CS actors from being red-tagged for expressing views related to extractive industry governance.</p>	<p>Glenn: Partly met</p> <p>Bon: Not met.</p> <p>Ladylyn: Partly met</p> <p>Becky: Not met</p> <p>Arlene: Not met</p> <p>Annie: Partly met</p>	<p>Glenn: We have PH-EITI as a platform for safe and constructive engagement in the EIG.</p> <p>Government has CHR to address human rights violations.</p> <p>Bon: Gov't measures have started already (corrective measures identified by the PH-EITI) but its effectiveness is yet to be seen.</p>	<p>Glenn: Not all csos and mining-affected communities have joined and have access to the PH-EITI platform. So they do not have the avenue and safe space to express and engage constructively. So, they will resort to non-constructive engagements. Non-constructive engagements cannot be resolved because there are always people who do not believe in constructive engagements. But what PH-EITI can do is reach out and possibly bring all into its platform.</p>	<p>Glenn: Identify CSOs engaged in Extractive Industries Governance (EIG) and all mining affected communities to be able to participate in EITI, they may not be able to become CSO MSG rep but they have immediate contact and access to PH-EITI through a hotline or website, social media platform for them to express. PH-EITI has to maximize road shows to let these impact csos and mining-affected communities to attend the road shows. they should be the priority. Give them priority membership in the CSO- MSG.</p>

			<p>Bon: Transition period of the PH-EITI Secretariat. Change of leadership in the Ph-EITI.</p>	<p>Bon: Include this as a priority activity in the 2024 work plan.</p>
<p>Expected to provide accessible, urgent, and effective remedies to protect CS actors and their freedom of expression in the event that CS actors engaged in the EITI process experience threats or harassment in the course of their work on the EITI process.</p>	<p>Glenn: Partly met Bon: Partly met. Ladylyn: Partly met Becky: Partly met Arlene: Not met Annie: Not done yet</p>	<p>Glenn: Those CSOs constructively engaging EIG within the EITI platform and using EITI or PH OGP and similar frameworks and platforms or protocols will not be red tagged or at least less likely to be red tagged. Bon: There is no clear mechanism yet how to report and handle red-tagging cases for CSOs not directly engaged in the PH-EITI, which is equally important too given that those CSOs are members of</p>	<p>Glenn: There are still a lot of CSOs and mining-impacted communities that are not engaged in PH-EITI or through PH-EITI because they are not yet accredited or part of the PH-EITI process, activities, events, and network. The limitation of EITI protocols is that it requires CSO to be dialogical, and constructive in its engagements. constructive engagement is slow because it requires consensus building. It requires tolerance and compromises. It will even end</p>	<p>Glenn: EITI reaches out to all CSOs and mining-affected communities whose freedom of expression has been curtailed and those that are red-tagged. invite them to join PH-EITI, orient them, and capacitate them on how to constructively engage in Extractive Industries governance through the PH-EITI framework and platform. If they refuse then at least PH-EITI tries its best to be inclusive, just, and fair.</p>

		<p>the CSO coalitions who are members of the PH-EITI MSG.</p>	<p>in deadlocks. The EITI protocol and platform are not in line with radical approaches. Thus those CSOs using the radical approach will be less likely to engage with other stakeholders constructively or they may engage other stakeholders constructively but they will always find ways to use approaches other than constructive engagements because constructive engagement is a soft power approach. CSO with more radical leanings finds the EITI protocol impractical and inefficient. For this reason,ph eiti has no control over csos and communities that will not engage through ph eiti and the EITI way.</p> <p>Bon: No update re: action steps to address civic space concerns.</p>	<p>there should be a PH-EITI liaison person who has access to these impacted CSOs and mining-affected communities. we might not be able to solve all problems, but what is important is that they have the right venue to express and operate constructively. They are provided with a safe space to engage other mining stakeholders.</p> <p>BK has to help Ph Eiti identify these CSOs and mining communities that are impacted so that PHeiti can reach out to them and provide them access to Ph Eiti and support.</p> <p>Bon: (1) Revisit the PH-EITI MSG-approved action steps to address civic space concerns. (2) Include / prioritize actionable strategies in the 2024 work plan.</p>
<p>Required to ensure that any existing restrictions affecting civil</p>	<p>Glenn: Partly met</p>			

<p>society's engagement, including as a result of red-tagging, be lifted as a matter of urgency.</p>	<p>Bon: Partly met Becky: Partly met Arlene: Not met Annie: Not done yet</p>			
<p>In collaboration with MSG, consider practical solutions for ensuring that CS can engage in the EITI process freely in all regions of the country.</p>	<p>Glenn: Partly met Ladylyn: Partly met Bon: Partly met Rodne: Partly met Becky: Partly met Arlene: Not met <i>(in the context of BARM)</i> Annie: Mostly met</p>			<p>Glenn: to identify all CSOs and mining- communities that are impacted by the curtailment of freedom to express and engage constructively in EIG. ph eiti has to reach out to them. invite them to join. if they join, capacitate them on how to engage constructively the EITI way. an outreach program can be designed or the road shows can be maximized as a means to reach out or to bring them all to Pheiti. for a start prioritize the impacted CSOS and mining communities and then gradually include all in PH-EITI. Those who refuse to join and to engage through The EITI way are beyond our control, our responsibility, and our accountability.</p>

Based on your responses on the above, what is your OVERALL ASSESSMENT of PH-EITI's progress on Requirement 1.3? Do you think sufficient actions have been made to merit an upgrade to MOSTLY MET or FULLY MET? Explain.

Glenn: I believe it is **partly met** because there were initial plans already on how to address the corrective actions and there was a committee assigned to implement the corrective actions. But, the plan needs to be finalized, implemented, monitored, and evaluated before we can say it is fully Met. I strongly recommend a budget must be allotted for the implementation of the action plan to address the corrective actions. There is a need to hire an independent administrator or contractor/s to implement the action plan because the MSG members and secretariat have no time to implement them because they have their full-time jobs.

Jean: Being “new” to the MSG and how it operates to effect transparency in the extractive industry using the EITI platform,

Bon: **Partly met.** Some corrective actions were not implemented due to the transition period undergone by the PH-EITI Secretariat after Asec. Cielo Magno and National Coordinator Joshua Lopez resigned from their posts.

Becky: *(New to the MSG)*

Arlene: **Partly met,** because we still need to fully and implement all of these civic space participation and engagement in the local government units. Here in Tawi-tawi, the extractive industry is supportive to CSOs but the local governments are the problem. The PH-EITI must mainstream CSO participation within the LGUs. DILG must issue a Joint Memorandum Circular (JMC) with DOF and DENR to provide a civic space for all municipalities with on-going mining operations.

Annie: 9 – Mostly met

2 – Partly met

2 – no data

6 – not done yet / in the action plan