# CaRCC Researcher-Facing Call, 2022-10-13

# Research Data Storage: A SWOT Analysis

# **Speakers**

Ryan Nakashima and Bill Homan from Research Data Services at San Diego
 Supercomputer Center (SDSC) on the campus of UC San Diego

#### Please Note:

- We will record this call and post shortly thereafter on CaRCC's YouTube channel.
- We expect all persons on the call to adhere to <u>CaRCC's Code of Conduct</u>.

# Agenda

- Welcome + Introduction to CaRCC
  - o Researcher-Facing Track description
  - CaRCC is your community for research computing and data professionals.
     Please see <u>this brief overview</u>; more information on activities on <u>our Groups web pages</u>.
  - If you have questions about CaRCC or are interested in becoming more involved, please contact:
    - <u>rf-coordinators@carcc.org</u> for R-F-related activities, or
    - <u>getstarted@carcc.org</u> or <u>getinvolved@carcc.org</u> for other CaRCC work
  - We expect all persons on the call to adhere to <u>CaRCC's Code of Conduct</u>.
- Topic of the month:
  - Presenters: Ryan Nakashima, Bill Homan, Gavin Biffar & Willy Markuske from Research Data Services at San Diego Supercomputer Center (SDSC) on the campus of UC San Diego
  - Abstract The quickly disappearing option of "unlimited data storage" services along with licensing shifts in major storage and sharing platforms has caused some to rethink their research storage strategies. In our October call we will examine the strengths and weaknesses, as well as how to provide these services throughout the research lifecycle. Discussion topics will include:
    - Research data storage gaps
    - Three stages of storage across the research lifecycle
    - SWOT analysis for data storage
- Open Discussion
- Announcements

•

# Sign-In (Name / Affiliation /Email)

Note: please follow the suggested sign-in format so our evolving data science intelligence routines won't trip up and forget to enter you in our \$1m sweepstakes.

- 1. Brian Westra / University of Iowa / wstra@uiowa.edu
- 2. Tobin Magle / Northwestern / tobin.magle@northwestern.edu
- 3. Anita Orendt / University of Utah / <a href="mailto:anita.orendt@utah.edu">anita.orendt@utah.edu</a>
- 4. Annelie Rugg / UCLA / annelierugg@ucla.edu
- 5. Kirk M. Anne / Rochester Institute of Technology / kirk.m.anne@rit.edu
- 6. Venice Bayrd / Montana State University / venice.bayrd@montana.edu
- 7. Lauren Michael / Minority Serving CI Consortium / <a href="mailto:lmichael@internet2.edu">lmichael@internet2.edu</a>
- 8. Robert Henschel / Indiana University (<a href="mailto:henschel@iu.edu">henschel@iu.edu</a>)
- 9. Brian Guilfoos / Ohio Supercomputer Center / guilfoos@osc.edu
- 10. Jason Yalim / Arizona State University / jyalim@asu.edu
- 11. Sean Anderson / Wake Forest University / anderss@wfu.edu
- 12. Jeanine Finn / Claremont Colleges Library / jeanine.finn@claremont.edu
- 13. David Nordello / University of Utah /david.nordello@utah.edu
- 14. Joey Netterville / University of Central Florida / joey.netterville@ucf.edu
- 15. Calvin Cox / Harvard Medical School / <a href="mailto:calvin\_cox@hms.harvard.edu">calvin\_cox@hms.harvard.edu</a>
- 16. Horst Severini / University of Oklahoma / <a href="mailto:severini@ou.edu">severini@ou.edu</a>
- 17. Chris Reidy / UArizona / <a href="mailto:chrisreidy@arizona.edu">chrisreidy@arizona.edu</a>
- 18. Clifford Kravit / UCLA School of Medicine / ckravit@mednet.ucla.edu
- 19. Peter Goode / Lafayette College / goodep@lafayette.edu
- 20. Paula Sanematsu / Harvard FASRC / paula sanematsu@g.harvard.edu
- 21. Mike Austin / University of Vermont / mga@uvm.edu
- 22. Jim Lawson / University of Vermont / jtl@uvm.edu
- 23. Kevin Brandt / South Dakota State Univ. / kevin.brandt@sdstate.edu
- 24. Mike Edmonds / UC Santa Cruz / medmonds@ucsc.edu
- 25. Vessela Ensberg/ UC Davis
- 26. Julie Goldman / Harvard / julie\_goldman@harvard.edu
- 27. Dan St. Pierre / University of Michigan / dstpierr@umich.edu
- 28. Nan McKenna / Stanford University / nmckenna@stanford.edu
- 29. Randy Melen / Stanford University / randy@stanford.edu
- 30. Bill Homan / SDSC at UC San Diego / homan@sdsc.edu
- 31. Ryan Nakashima / SDSC at UC San Diego / ryan@sdsc.edu
- 32. Gavin Biffar / SDSC at UC San Diego / gbiffar@sdsc.edu
- 33. Cyd Burrows-Schilling / University of California San Diego / <a href="mailto:cburrows@ucsd.edu">cburrows@ucsd.edu</a>
- 34. Jessica Pierce / Harvard Medical School / jessica pierce@hms.harvard.edu
- 35. Carolyn Ellis / UCSD / carolynEllis@ucsd.edu
- 36. Peter Wan / Georgia Tech / peter.wan@oit.gatech.edu
- 37. Georgia Stuart / UT Dallas / georgia.stuart@utdallas.edu
- 38. Jens Mueller/ Miami University / muellej@miamioh.edu
- 39. Paul van der Mark / Florida State University / <a href="mailto:pvandermark@fsu.edu">pvandermark@fsu.edu</a>

- 40. Trina Marmarelli / Reed College / <a href="mailto:marmaret@reed.edu">marmaret@reed.edu</a>
- 41. Jacobus Kats / UC Riverside / bart.kats@ucr.edu
- 42. Alisa Kang /Georgetown University /alisa.kang@georgetown.edu
- 43. Brad Spitzbart / University of Oklahoma / <a href="mailto:bspitzbart@ou.edu">bspitzbart@ou.edu</a>
- 44. Alex Pacheco / New Jersey Institute of Technology / <a href="mailto:alex.pacheco@njit.edu">alex.pacheco@njit.edu</a>
- 45. Gladys Andino / University of Virginia / gka6a@virginia.edu
- 46. Sara Gonzales / Northwestern University / <a href="mailto:sara.gonzales2@northwestern.edu">sara.gonzales2@northwestern.edu</a>
- 47. Patrick Schmitz / Semper Cogito / patrick@sempercogito.com
- 48. Michael Weiner / Georgia Tech / <a href="mailto:mweiner3@gatech.edu">mweiner3@gatech.edu</a>
- 49. Michael Laurentius / University of Toronto / michael.laurentius@utoronto.ca
- 50. Moira Downey / NC State / mcdowney@ncsu.edu
- 51. Cal Frye / Case Western Reserve University / cxf244@case.edu
- 52. Hunter Hagewood / Vanderbilt / hunter@accre.vanderbilt.edu
- 53. Kathleen Chappell / Harvard Medical School / kathleen chappell@hms.harvard.edu
- 54. Luca Belletti / Digital Commons / <a href="mailto:lbelletti@elsevier.com">lbelletti@elsevier.com</a>
- 55. Ashley Stauffer / Penn State/ als81@psu.edu
- 56. Michael Benedetto/ American Museum of Natural History
- 57. Jacalyn Huband / University of Virginia / jmh5ad@virginia.edu
- 58. Summer Wang / Ohio Supercomputer Center / xwang@osc.edu
- 59. Aaron Weeden / Shodor Education Foundation / aweeden@shodor.org
- 60. John Brussolo / University of Michigan Michigan Medicine / jsbrusso@med.umich.edu
- 61. Mary Olson, Oracle Education & Research
- 62. Brad Thornton / Texas A&M / bthornton@tamu.edu
- 63. Timothy Middelkoop / Internet2 / <a href="mailto:tmiddelkoop@internet2.edu">tmiddelkoop@internet2.edu</a>
- 64. Henry Neeman / University of Oklahoma / hneeman@ou.edu
- 65. Dana Brunson / Internet2 / dbrunson@internet2.edu
- 66. Martin Cuma / U of Utah / m.cuma@utah.edu
- 67. Mark Piercy/ Stanford / mpiercy@stanford.edu
- 68. Ken Lutz/ UC Berkeley/ lutz@berkeley.edu
- 69. Bob Freeman / Harvard Business School / rfreeman@hbs.edu
- 70. Evan Linde / Oklahoma State University / elinde@okstate.edu
- 71. Biru Zhou / McGill University / biru.zhou@mcgill.c
- 72. Yogesh Kale / University of Illinios / ykale@uic.edu
- 73. Coltran Hophan-Nichols / Montana State University / coltran@montana.edu
- 74. Matthew Lacy / Texas A&M University / matt.lacy@tamu.edu
- 75. Jeff D'Ambrogia / LBNL / jeffd@lbl.gov

Max attendee count 97

## Notes from the call

#### Slides

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1fykvlfzZjNxkv5\_OKDWLne8JePn7SOPP0Ghl4onkwUY/

#### Notes

#### From Justin:

Licensing shifts from Box/Google are forcing Universities to think more carefully about data storage strategy

- "Unlimited" storage was appealing
- Easy to share with collaborators
- Easy to collaborate for proposals/papers
- Policies haven't kept up with how we manage data storage services

## Research storage considerations

- New information security challenges
- New security requirements
- New data sharing requirements
- Can you do compute with data on storage?
- Account management -IAM, storage limits, deprovisioning

### Questions for the group

- How are you getting stakeholder input?
- Do you have a research data strategy?
  - Ashley (PSU) If there is a strategy at PSU, I'm not aware of it...but all the concerns you mention are relevant for us, Justin

### Storage Basics -

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1LBmYiOHc40cdIkSy7RlvXHLs5JDU6s00XQ92Mil72yY/edit?usp=sharing

Bill Homan - San Diego Supercomputer center

Research data services division

- Talk to lots of researchers in SD and elsewhere (US and international, public and private sector)
- Lots of use cases

#### 3 stages

- 1. Raw data generation
- 2. Place data into a repository: complicated, many choices, often the focus
- 3. Archival:

Storage Services for each Type of Data	Conception Sample created	Birth Sample Ingested	Infancy Sample Copied	Puberty Sample Processed Processed Data Created	Adulthood Processed Data Copied	Golden Age Study Published Data Published	Afterlife  Data Fossilized
Raw Data							
Generation Device Storage	Raw Data	Raw Data	Raw Data				
Performance Cloud Storage			Raw Data	Raw Data	Raw Data		
HPC Storage				Raw Data	Raw Data		_
Backup Cloud Copy	1		Raw Data	Raw Data	Raw Data	Raw Data	
Archival Storage				·		Raw Data	Raw Data
Processed Data							
Performance Cloud Storage				Processed Data	Processed Data		
HPC Storage				Processed Data	Processed Data		
Backup Cloud Copy					Processed Data	Processed Data	
Archival Storage						Processed Data	Processed Dat
Metadata							
Performance Cloud Storage		Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata
Backup Cloud Copy		Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata
Archival Storage		Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata	Metadata

5 main Types of storage (above)

#### **Factors**

- Cost
- Lifetime
- Technical limitations like number of files
- Security often lumped in with redundancy/backup, prevent ransomware attacks, tension with accessibility
- Support all systems break eventually who fixes it? (on prem vs hosted)
- Accessibility
- Discoverability
- Development time for processing

SWOT analysis: framework to understand forces that affect research storage

- Strengths
- Weaknesses
- Opportunities
- Threats

### Questions

 How much responsibility for maintaining archived data is put on Research Computing and how much is on the researchers?

- Supercomputing center has home directory/scratch space, but it's mostly on the researcher
- Not all supercomputing users guide people to best solutions
- Can researchers mint DOIs for their data at the project vs. file level?
  - How do you achieve discoverability
    - Depends on what kinds of data, who needs to discover it very nuanced. Happy to talk with anyone about those nuances in your cases.
- Noting that U Michigan's annual research expenditure is ~\$1.7B (#2 in the US), and UCSD's is ~\$1.4B (#6): How do these research data management approaches translate to institutions that have annual research expenditures of a few hundred million, or a few tens of millions?
  - Similar question could be asked about how things change when there are sizeable grants for storage vs. not
  - What happens: Unfunded projects with growing amounts of data
- How are you defining 'Performance Cloud Storage'?
- Aren't many of the important functions in the "Golden Age" (discovery, access, preservation) covered by repositories? Or is this more about the challenges for providing those functions for "big data"?

### **Chat Comments**

- (Timothy Middelkoop) Pro-tip: don't have lunch in the kitchen while a a talk about google is going on... Unfortunately the google assistant did not have an answer for your question....
- (Cal Frye) Synology and QNAP to the rescue! (kidding, not kidding)
- (Jeanine Finn) My grad student institution just emailed all the alums who were promised "lifetime gmail"....get it down to 1 MG or they're deleting your account:)
- (John Brussolo) At U Mich, we completed our migration off Box 11 months ago. We're just hearing about the new Google Drive storage changes it's early days regarding that.
- (Annelie Rugg) Regarding strategy, are there standards for research data storage that can help guide our approaches? And are those standards broadly applicable, or discipline-specivic, or country-specific?
- Regarding the table shared by Ryan and team:
  - I like the analogy!
  - o Love this table. ... Permission to "steal" the table for our outreach events
  - o as a social scientist, I appreciate the developmental life stages as a reference
  - o Same here, great comparison. If that's OK I'll use that in my storage lecture.
- (Cyd Burrows) UC San Diego has published this Research Data Storage Explorer (with thanks to Cornell University): <a href="https://researchdata.ucsd.edu/finder">https://researchdata.ucsd.edu/finder</a>
  - (Jason Yalim) We have had something similar at ASU: https://researchstorage.asu.edu/
- (Jeanine Finn) For long-term storage and curation DCN's curation primers are very helpful for understanding file types and requirements for reproducibility across a number

of data types and disciplines

https://datacurationnetwork.org/outputs/data-curation-primers/

- (Alisa Kang) In our experience, most of the large data generators are not HPC users, they need powerful desktop and GPU to process data, and mounting cloud storage to the desktop doesn't work with this type of set up.
- (Jeanine Finn) Coming from library world -- these are good questions for data librarians at your institution. Discoverability is central for us. [referring to the DOI questions and discussion – see questions above]
- (Cyd Burrows) Unfunded projects with growing amounts of data
- (Lauren Michael) +1 on cloud resources being insufficient. Tobin was involved with
  centralized research storage at UW while I was there, and might comment further, but
  we definitely had some very large data analyzed or generated by our large-scale
  computing users, but that's also tied to our significant HTC-catered computing resources
  (our HPC-optimized cluster was smaller, mostly used for simulations/generation).
  - (Timothy Middelkoop) @Lauren I assume you mean the consumer/office storage cloud systems (google drive, o365, box, etc) not things like AWS S3 and other "cloud" storage systems?
  - (Lauren) I'm referring to ResearchDrive, which is UW-Madison's locally-provided, core research storage; mountable to laptops/servers, and available via command-line transfer to the large-scale computing center (and some other servers/services). Each PI 5TB, free. <a href="https://it.wisc.edu/services/researchdrive/">https://it.wisc.edu/services/researchdrive/</a>
  - (Tobin Magle) @Lauren there were some really sophisticated data storage use cases that were integral to how Box functioned and couldn't really work on other platforms
  - (Jim Leous) That has been our experience with O365 here. Thanks for bringing that up.
- (Nan McKenna) Back to one of the original questions, I'm very curious as to whether/how anyone is getting structured feedback from researchers (vs. more anecdotal).
- (Clifford Kravit) Just for reference:
   <a href="https://research-it.ucsd.edu/\_images/researchlifecycle\_image.png">https://research-it.ucsd.edu/\_images/researchlifecycle\_image.png</a>
- (Alisa Kang) I hear from our library an institution can buy storage from https://datadryad.org/stash to make institution-specific repository, maybe that's an option instead of google or other cloud
- (Tobin) I don't think researchers are necessarily ready to put everything in a public repository. not to mention data that can't be public

Connection Details

Agenda

Announcements

Sign-In (Name / Affiliation /Email)

Notes from the call

Slides

<u>Notes</u>

Questions

**Chat Comments** 

# **Connection Details**

https://utah.zoom.us/my/carcc?pwd=TjFuR3VVM2d5eE5zWnEvWWxDTFBCUT09

Meeting ID: 824 051 8198

•