UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA

EVALUATION FORM FOR CASE REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THE REVISTA DE LA FACULTAD DE MEDICINA VETERINARIA Y DE ZOOTECNIA – UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA

DEAR REVIEWER

The Revista de la Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y de Zootecnia appreciates your collaboration in reading and commenting on this manuscript. Your critical analysis and observations regarding the originality and relevance of the topic, the technical quality of the work, the validity of the results, the depth of the analysis and discussion, the implications or impact, and the bibliographic support will be key parameters for the Editorial Committee's publication decision. Below, you will find a brief evaluation form that will assist the Committee in determining the relevance of the document.

Recommendations

- The best evaluation is one that is impartial, objective, constructive, and systematic.
- Do not discuss the content of the manuscript with others.
- Do not retain copies after completing the evaluation.
- Avoid contacting the authors if you happen to know them.
- The evaluation of a manuscript contributes to improving its quality; therefore, we encourage a thoughtful and constructive review. If you have any conflicts of interest related to the document that may affect an objective and impartial evaluation, please refrain from continuing and inform us accordingly.
- You may identify the minimum components required in the manuscript in the journal's Instructions for Authors.

Instructions

1. All items in this form must be completed. If an item does not apply due to the type of study, please mark the N/A option with an X.
2. Please indicate the page number or manuscript lines when providing specific comments on each evaluated aspect. You may also use the Word manuscript file to add margin comments using the track changes function.

3. Once you complete the evaluation, please save the file in a format similar to the original and compatible with word processors such as Microsoft Word (.doc, .docx, .rtf).
4. You may consult the Instructions for Authors at the following link: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1BNUslfKEhWHItp6ACIa4S6xgZLwWfsQP

Important: Dear reviewer, your report will be handled confidentially by the Editorial Committee. Thank you for your valuable contribution to the journal.

SECTION 1: REVIEWER INFORMATION

Full name:	
Nationality:	
Current institutional affiliation (position and institution, including department if applicable):	
Academic degrees:	
Email address:	
Areas of expertise:	
ORCID, Google Scholar, ResearchGate or similar link (if available):	

*This information is requested for issuing the certificate of your work as an expert reviewer and for inclusion in our reviewer database and OJS system (It will not be disclosed to authors).

SECTION 2: ARTICLE TITLE

Title:	

SECTION 3: ARTICLE EVALUATION

Title				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
The title of the manuscript is consistent with the objectives, results, conclusions, and the topic				

addressed				
Does the title reflect the health condition and/or primary intervention, followed by the words "case report"?				
Keywords				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Does the report include 3 to 6 keywords (in English and Spanish) that help identify the diagnoses or interventions described in the case report?				
Abstract				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Briefly describes the problem or situation addressed (Introduction)				
Is the most important information about the case described clearly and concisely (including basic patient information, key medical history, reason for the visit (main symptoms), diagnosis, and treatment)? (case presentation)				
Are the main lessons learned from the events of this case presented? (Conclusion)				
Introduction				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Does the report provide a general and up-to-date overview of the disease, condition, or procedure described?				
Does the report highlight what makes this case unique or how it contributes to the medical literature or veterinary clinical practice?				
Is the information provided in the introduction supported by accurate data, and is this data properly cited?				
Case description				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Is the case description relevant,				

complete, and in accordance with clinical protocols?				
Is the patient's medical and surgical history relevant to the case described?				
Were the clinical or surgical procedures appropriate for diagnosing and treating the case?				
Is there a clear timeline of the case? In other words, is there a clear chronological identification of everything that occurred in the case (laboratory tests and imaging studies; surgical procedures; initiation, adjustment, or discontinuation of pharmacological treatments; development of complications or adverse events; death or discharge; last follow-up, etc.)?				
Is the diagnosis clearly reported based on the symptoms, clinical signs, and findings from diagnostic tests? Are other considered diagnoses also mentioned?				
Are the results described clearly, coherently, and in accordance with medical terminology?				
Is follow-up information provided, including discharge instructions as well as findings (clinical, imaging, or physical examination) from the last follow-up at the time of writing the case report? If no follow-up information is available, is an explanation provided as to why it was not possible?				
Is standard and official terminology used when referring to diseases or health conditions, diagnostic tests, therapeutic interventions, or when describing the surgical technique used?				
s the visual material used (tables				

or figures) relevant and does it aid in understanding the review?				
Are the captions for the tables and/or figures appropriate?				
Discussion				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Is the discussion appropriate, clear, and specific, and does it include references to other studies or reports in the specific field of study?				
Conclusions				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Does the case report outline the key lessons learned from the incident?				
References				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Are references up to date and include DOIs according to author guidelines?				
Other considerations (general)				
Evaluated aspect	**YES**	**NO**	**N/A**	**Comments and Suggestions**
Is there consistency between the report's clinical methodology and its results, discussion, and conclusions?				
Is the manuscript of interest to the academic community due to its originality, novelty, relevance, and methodological quality?				
Comments and general opinion on the case report:				

*This evaluation form was developed based on the CARE guidelines for case reports and adapted to the field of veterinary medicine.

SECTION 4: USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TOOLS

Here you may declare the use of chatbots or other artificial intelligence tools used during the review of the manuscript (**please delete this instruction before adding your comments**).

SECTION 5: FINAL DECISION

Mark with an X the option that reflects your decision:

Approved without modifications	
Approved after minor revisions	
Approved after major revisions	
Not approved	

"We appreciate your valuable contribution to strengthening the academic and scientific quality of the content published by the Revista de la Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y de Zootecnia."