# IN-HOUSE REVIEW EVALUATION FORM

*This form consolidates the individual evaluators' scores from the paper review to determine the final evaluation and recommendation for the In-House Review.*

*(To be filled out by the OEDR)*

| | |
|---|---|
| **Application Code** | |

**Basic Screening Requirements (Pass/Fail)**

| Requirement | Yes | No | Requirement | Yes | No |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Proposal follows prescribed format | ☐ | ☐ | Gantt Chart attached | ☐ | ☐ |
| Researcher's expertise aligns with project | ☐ | ☐ | References included | ☐ | ☐ |
| Budget is complete and justified | ☐ | ☐ | Ethical considerations addressed (if applicable) | ☐ | ☐ |

**PART I. RATING SECTION.** *(Please provide your rate using the rating scale 5-1, 5 being the highest. (Please evaluate the proposal using the 5-point rating scale below, with 5 being the highest and 1 being the lowest. Assign a score for each criterion based on the provided descriptors, multiply by the corresponding percentage weight to compute the weighted score, and indicate the final total in the designated row.)*

| CRITERIA | RATING SCALE (5) | (4) | (3) | (2) | (1) | RATING |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1. Alignment with SSU Research Thrusts, Institutional Mandates, and National Priorities** | Strongly aligned with SSU Strategic Goals, Research Thrusts, SDGs, *Ambisyon Natin* 2040, and relevant regional and national priorities. | Well aligned with most priorities. | Moderately aligned but some linkages require strengthening. | Weak alignment. | Not aligned. | |
| **2. Problem Significance, Situational Analysis, and Research Gap** | Problem is exceptionally articulated using current statistics, environmental scanning, policy analysis, literature synthesis, and local context. The research gap is explicit, compelling, and demonstrates a strong need for investment. | Problem and gap are clearly presented with adequate evidence. | Problem and gap are understandable but evidence and justification are limited. | Problem is weakly justified and gap is unclear. | Problem and gap are poorly articulated or unsupported. | |
| **3. Potential Contribution and Expected Impact (*please see guide at the back*)** | Demonstrates substantial and clearly articulated potential contributions with identifiable beneficiaries, expected outputs, and realistic pathways to utilization. | Likely to generate meaningful contributions with identifiable beneficiaries and outputs. | Potential contribution is present but limited or insufficiently elaborated. | Contribution is weak or vaguely discussed. | No meaningful contribution identified. | |
| **4. Scientific Merit and Review of Literature** | Literature review is comprehensive, current, critically synthesized, theoretically grounded, and clearly demonstrates novelty and scientific merit. | Literature review is adequate and supports the study well. | Literature review is acceptable but lacks synthesis or depth. | Literature review is limited or weakly integrated. | Literature review fails to justify the study. | |



WURI Fourth Industrial Revolution | Rank 1 in the Philippines and in the World (2022 & 2023)
Rated 3 Stars | QS Ratings System for Excellence (2022)
Energy Efficiency Excellence Awardee (2022)
ASEAN Icons Awardee (2022)
Gawad Yamang Isip Awardee (2022)
1st Philippine Higher Education Internationalization Awardee (2021)
PQA | Level 2 | Recognition for Proficiency in Quality Management (2020)
Top Patent Filer (2009-2019)
PRIME-HRM (2018)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **5. Technical Soundness of Methodology** | Methodology is rigorous, feasible, scientifically sound, and capable of answering all objectives. Sampling, instruments, procedures, analyses, ethics, validity, reliability/trustworthiness are clearly justified. | Methodology is generally sound with minor weaknesses. | Methodology is acceptable but requires improvements. | Methodology contains significant weaknesses affecting validity or feasibility. | Methodology is inappropriate or incapable of answering objectives. | |
| **TOTAL** | | | | | | |
| **GRAND TOTAL** | | | | | | |

**II. PANEL COMMENTS & REQUIRED REVISIONS** *(Please specify if changes are needed in Section B.4 (Methods and Materials) or Section C (Expected Outputs).*

**III. FINAL RECOMMENDATION** *(To be filled out by the Office of the Executive Director for Research [OEDR]. The final recommendation will be based on the ratings provided in Part I: Criterion 1 [20%], Criterion 2 [25%], Criterion 3 [25%], Criterion 4 [10%], and Criterion 5 [20%]. Multiply each rating by its corresponding percentage weight to compute the weighted score, and indicate the grand total in the designated row.)*

**Overall Weighted Score:** _____

**III. FINAL RECOMMENDATION**
**Overall Weighted Score:** _____
**Funding Recommendation**

☐ **CATEGORY A: STRATEGIC / HIGHLY FUNDABLE RESEARCH (90–100)**
Highly recommended for institutional funding and external funding opportunities (DOST, CHED, NRCP, PCAARRD, PCHRD, DA-BAR, etc.)

☐ **CATEGORY B: FUNDABLE WITH MINOR REVISIONS (85–89)**
Recommended for university funding subject to minor revisions.

☐ **CATEGORY C: COLLEGE / CENTER-BASED RESEARCH (75–84)**
Recommended for implementation at the college, campus, or research center level.

☐ **CATEGORY D: ACTION RESEARCH / SMALL RESEARCH PROJECT (65–74)**
Recommended for redesign as Action Research, Operational Research, or Small Research Grant.

☐ **CATEGORY E: MAJOR REDESIGN FOR RESUBMISSION (Below 65)**
Proposal requires substantial redevelopment before reconsideration.

Evaluated by:

_____
Position: _____
Date: _____



WURI Fourth Industrial Revolution | Rank 1 in the Philippines and in the World (2022 & 2023)
Rated 3 Stars | QS Ratings System for Excellence (2022)
Energy Efficiency Excellence Awardee (2022)
ASEAN Icons Awardee (2022)
Gawad Yamang Isip Awardee (2022)
1st Philippine Higher Education Internationalization Awardee (2021)
PQA | Level 2 | Recognition for Proficiency in Quality Management (2020)
Top Patent Filer (2009-2019)
PRIME-HRM (2018)

**Criterion 5: Potential Contribution, Innovation, Utilization, and Expected Outputs.** The proposal demonstrates substantial potential to generate one or more of the following:

**Knowledge**
- New theory
- New framework
- New model
- New evidence
- New datasets

**Technology and Innovation**
- Prototype
- Application
- Digital platform
- System
- Patent/IP
- Commercialization opportunity

**Economy**
- Income generation
- Employment
- Productivity enhancement
- Enterprise development

**Health**
- Improved health outcomes
- Improved healthcare delivery
- Health policy recommendations

**Environment**
- Conservation
- Sustainability
- Climate resilience
- Resource management

**Governance**
- Policy reforms
- Governance innovations
- Decision-support systems

**Education**
- Learning innovations
- Curriculum enhancement
- Instructional models

**Community and Society**
- Poverty alleviation
- Social innovation
- Community empowerment
- Improved quality of life

**Institutional Development**
- Improved systems
- Organizational effectiveness
- Accreditation support
- Quality assurance enhancement

**Partnerships**
- Industry-academe-government collaboration
- Research networks
- Community engagement



*WURI Fourth Industrial Revolution | Rank 1 in the Philippines and in the World (2022 & 2023)*
*Rated 3 Stars | QS Ratings System for Excellence (2022)*
*Energy Efficiency Excellence Awardee (2022)*
*ASEAN Icons Awardee (2022)*
*Gawad Yamang Isip Awardee (2022)*
*1st Philippine Higher Education Internationalization Awardee (2021)*
*PQA | Level 2 | Recognition for Proficiency in Quality Management (2020)*
*Top Patent Filer (2009-2019)*
*PRIME-HRM (2018)*