

Episode 1 - Smokin' the Hash

1. Definition

From: <http://www.irongeek.com/i.php?page=videos/cryptographic-hash-md5>

A hash takes an arbitrary block of **data** and returns a **fixed-size bit string**, the *cryptographic hash value*, such that any (accidental or intentional) change to the data will (with very high probability) change the hash value. The data to be encoded are often called the *message*, and the hash value is sometimes called the *message digest* or simply *digest*.

2. History of the Hash

From: <http://www.cosic.esat.kuleuven.be/publications/article-1532.pdf>

"In their 1976 seminal paper on public-key cryptography [31], (Dr. Whitfield) Diffie and (Martin) Hellman identified the need for a one-way hash function as a building block of a digital signature scheme. The first definitions, analysis and constructions for cryptographic hash functions can be found in the work of Rabin [74], Yuval [99], and Merkle [60] of the late 1970s. Rabin proposed a design with a 64-bit result based on the block cipher DES [37], Yuval showed how to find collisions for an n -bit hash function in time $2^{n/2}$ with the birthday paradox, and Merkle's work introduced the requirements of collision resistance, second preimage resistance, and preimage resistance."

3. Some uses for hashes

From: <http://www.irongeek.com/i.php?page=videos/cryptographic-hash-md5>

- a. detecting data changes
- b. storing or generating passwords
- c. making unique keys in databases
 - virus scanner uses hashes of known viruses
- d. ensuring message integrity
- e. non-repudiation
 - 1. digital signatures

4. What makes a good hash?

From: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cryptographic_hash_function

The ideal cryptographic hash function has four main properties:

- a. it is easy to compute the hash value for any given message
- b. it is **infeasible** to generate a message that has a given hash
- c. it is infeasible to modify a message without changing the hash
- d. it is infeasible to find two different messages with the same hash.

5. Hash families

- a. MD* (MD2, MD4, MD5) - Merkle–Damgård hash function
 - 1. MD5 - 128 bits
 - a. has been found to suffer from hash collisions (birthday attacks)
- b. SHA* (SHA0, SHA1, SHA2, SHA3) - "Secure Hash Algorithm"

From: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SHA-1>

2. Created by NIST and the NSA in 1993 (SHA0)
3. SHA1 = 160 bits (20 bytes), 40 digit long value
4. SHA2
 - a. Multiple bit strengths = (224, 256, 384, 512 bit lengths)
5. SHA3
 - b. **Keccek** was awarded the SHA3 as part of NIST's hash creation competition
- c. Other hashes
 1. NT/NTLM - stored in Windows SAM database
 2. Radius - Enterprise auth for network infrastructure, etc
 3. WPA/WPA2 - wireless keys for auth to a wireless network
 4. RIPEMD-160
 5. HAVAL-128

6. Strengthening hashes

a. Salting hashes

From: <https://crackstation.net/hashing-security.htm>

From: <http://scientopia.org/blogs/goodmath/2013/03/02/passwords-hashing-and-salt/>

1. Stored alongside the password hash
2. Random salts for each, makes hashes more resistant
 - a. makes rainbow tables, lookup tables, and reverse lookup tables more difficult to create or reference

b. Stretching hashes

From: <https://crackstation.net/hashing-security.htm>

1. Processor intensive hash function
2. Make it fast to generate, but slow to reverse
3. Downside is that web applications requiring auth will take longer to run.
4. Examples:
 1. Scrypt: <http://www.tarsnap.com/scrypt.html>
 2. Bcrypt: <https://www.usenix.org/legacy/events/usenix99/provos.html>
 3. PBKDF2: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-132/nist-sp800-132.pdf>

c. HMAC

From: http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips198-1/FIPS-198-1_final.pdf

From: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hash-based_message_authentication_code

1. Adds a secret key to the hash so that only someone who knows the key can use the hash to validate a password
2. Key must be stored in a separate secure location (e.g. not in the same DB as the hash and salt)

d. Encrypting the hash

1. Using a decent scheme, like AES will further secure the hash.

7. How to crack a hash

From: <http://www.codinghorror.com/blog/2012/04/speed-hashing.html>

a. Dictionary attack

1. Trying hundreds to millions of possible words to find a hash match

- 2. low chance of success, unless using targeted wordlists
- 3. Can be used in finding collisions.
- b. Brute force
 - 1. Trying every possible combination
 - 2. Takes a LONG time
 - 3. GPU/hardware acceleration definitely needed
 - 4. Can also actively attempt password cracking on a server's service (e.g. SSH)
 - a. Can attempt logins one at a time, which is nearly impossible
- c. Rainbow tables
 - From: <https://www.freerainbowtables.com/tables/>
 - 1. Creates a complete list of values to test and query against.
 - 2. very large tables
 - a. MD5 = 24GB
 - b. SHA1= 24GB
 - c. Faster than Brute Force, but salting makes these less useful, and must be regenerated if the salts are random.

8. Programs used to crack a hash

- a. oclHashcat
 - 1. GPU enhanced
 - 2. CPU enabled
 - 3. Runs on both Windows and Linux
 - 4. Can be used to specify certain password filters
 - a. upper, lower, number, special
 - b. other complexity reqs, like length, no number is first character, etc
- b. Cain and Abel
 - From: <http://www.oxid.it/cain.html>
 - 1. cracks password hashes, like NT/NTLM
 - 2. Windows RDP passwords
 - 3. Cisco IOS
 - 4. VNC Passwords
 - 5. RADIUS Hashes
- c. John The Ripper
 - From: <http://www.openwall.com/john/>
 - 1. Linux Shadow passwords
 - 2. NT/NTLM Password hashes
- d. Aircrack-ng
 - From: <http://www.aircrack-ng.org/>
 - 1. Cracks WPA/WPA2 using a wordlist

Additional Information:

<http://blog.varonis.com/the-definitive-guide-to-cryptographic-hash-functions-part-1/>