

• **Logical connectives:**

- Negation  $\neg$  NOT
- Conjunction  $\wedge$  AND
- Disjunction  $\vee$  OR
- Implication  $\rightarrow$  IMPLIES
- Biconditional  $\leftrightarrow$  IFF

**Negation**

| p | $p \neg$ |
|---|----------|
| T | F        |
| F | T        |

**Conjunction**

| p | q | $p \wedge q$ |
|---|---|--------------|
| T | T | T            |
| T | F | F            |
| F | T | F            |
| F | F | F            |

**Disjunction**

| p | q | $p \vee q$ |
|---|---|------------|
| T | T | T          |
| T | F | T          |
| F | T | T          |
| F | F | F          |

**Exclusive OR (XOR)**

| p | q | $p \oplus q$ |
|---|---|--------------|
| T | T | F            |
| T | F | T            |
| F | T | T            |
| F | F | F            |

## Implication

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ |
|---|---|-------------------|
| T | T | T                 |
| T | F | F                 |
| F | T | T                 |
| F | F | T                 |

## Understanding Implication

- Assume you have these propositions:

p : You exercise three times a week.

r : You follow a low-carb diet.

q : You lose weight.

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ | Explanation                                                                    |
|---|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| T | T | T                 | It is accepted. If you exercise, then naturally you will lose weight.          |
| T | F | F                 | It is rejected. Since one of the causes is true, the result must be fulfilled. |
| F | T | T                 | It is accepted. There are other causes to lose weight not just exercising.     |
| F | F | T                 | It is accepted. If the you don't exercise, then you may not lose weight.       |

## Different Ways of Expressing $p \rightarrow q$

|                      |                           |
|----------------------|---------------------------|
| if $p$ , then $q$    | $p$ implies $q$           |
| if $p$ , $q$         | $p$ only if $q$           |
| $q$ unless $\neg p$  | $q$ when $p$              |
| $q$ if $p$           |                           |
| $q$ whenever $p$     | $p$ is sufficient for $q$ |
| $q$ follows from $p$ | $q$ is necessary for $p$  |

a necessary condition for  $p$  is  $q$   
a sufficient condition for  $q$  is  $p$

## Converse, Contrapositive, and Inverse

$q \rightarrow p$  is the **converse** of  $p \rightarrow q$

$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  is the **contrapositive** of  $p \rightarrow q$

$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  is the **inverse** of  $p \rightarrow q$

## Biconditionals

| p | q | $p \leftrightarrow q$ |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| T | T | T                     |
| T | F | F                     |
| F | T | F                     |
| F | F | T                     |

## Precedence of Logical Operators

| Operator          | Precedence |
|-------------------|------------|
| $\neg$            | 1          |
| $\wedge$          | 2          |
| $\vee$            | 3          |
| $\rightarrow$     | 4          |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | 5          |

## De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

| p | q |   |   | $(p \vee q)$ | $\neg(p \vee q)$ | $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|------------------|------------------------|
| T | T | F | F | T            | F                | F                      |
| T | F | F | T | T            | F                | F                      |
| F | T | T | F | T            | F                | F                      |
| F | F | T | T | F            | T                | T                      |

## Key Logical Equivalences

• Identity Laws:  $p \wedge T \equiv p$  ,  $p \vee F \equiv p$

• Domination Laws:  $p \vee T \equiv T$  ,  $p \wedge F \equiv F$

• Idempotent laws:  $p \wedge p \equiv p$  ,  $p \vee p \equiv p$

• Double Negation Law:  $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$

• Negation Laws:  $p \vee \neg p \equiv T$  ,  $p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$

Commutative Laws:  $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$  ,  $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$

• Associative Laws:  $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$   
 $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$

• Distributive Laws:  $(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$   
 $(p \wedge (q \vee r)) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$

• Absorption Laws:  $(p \vee (p \wedge q)) \equiv p$  ,  $(p \wedge (p \vee q)) \equiv p$

## More Logical Equival

**TABLE 7** Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements.

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightarrow q &\equiv \neg p \vee q \\ p \rightarrow q &\equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \\ p \vee q &\equiv \neg p \rightarrow q \\ p \wedge q &\equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q) \\ \neg(p \rightarrow q) &\equiv p \wedge \neg q \\ (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) &\equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r) \\ (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) &\equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r \\ (p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) &\equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \\ (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) &\equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r \end{aligned}$$

**TABLE 8** Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements.

$$\begin{aligned} p \leftrightarrow q &\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \\ p \leftrightarrow q &\equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ p \leftrightarrow q &\equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\ \neg(p \leftrightarrow q) &\equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \end{aligned}$$