

Proposed agenda & Notes

- General updates:
 - Tim / Kris: Status update on Drupal core work that affects us?
 - Landed:
 - <https://www.drupal.org/node/2354889>
 - Progress on block/context performance issues:
 - <https://www.drupal.org/node/2508884>
 - Needs a re-roll
 - Could use interdiffs for the updates from [sravya 12](#)
 - Configure contexts for blocks:
 - <https://www.drupal.org/node/2377757>
 - Berdir pushing forward on it!
 - And it's green :-)
 - Tim / Kris: Status update on [page_manager](#)?
 - Needs 3.0-alpha12 release! Tim will make it
 - Nothing new to report
 - Frega / David / aspilicious: Status update on [layout_plugin](#) and [frega's layout module](#)?
 - Nothing new to report
 - Jakob / David: Status update on Panels port?
 - Nothing new to report
 - Kris / Jakob (and Tim?): Status update on CTools?
 - CTools got some rework around the condition UI so that it works in beta 12
- Follow-ups from last week:
 - ...
- Discussion topics for this week:
 - dasjo: Seeking for input on Building layouts from 7 to 8: Coding vs Clicking
 - <https://events.drupal.org/barcelona2015/sessions/building-layouts-7-8-coding-vs-clicking>
 - Release -alpha12 for all our modules!
 - layout_plugin: <https://www.drupal.org/node/2514656>
 - page_manager: Worked in dsnopek's testing - Tim just needs to release!
 - panels: <https://www.drupal.org/node/2514844>
 - Aim for a -beta1 release of all the things a target of our "finished by end of Barcelona" milestone? And saying that with -beta1 we start making update hooks?
 - Let's ask the page_manager early adopters if they're cool with that
 - Needs Tim's input!
 -

Participants

- EclipseGC
- dasjo
- timplunkett
- dsnopek

Log

12:00 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dsnopek: here :-0
12:00 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> :-)
12:00 PM <[dsnopek](#)> Hey!
12:00 PM <[Druplicon](#)> hey
12:01 PM <[dsnopek](#)> Here's the notes/agenda for today:
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1jiMu4crCwdSBWZfeXEyw1ij0fH98IPOptqWSq6ioZA0/edit?usp=sharing>
12:01 PM <[dsnopek](#)> Who all (other than EclipseGc) is here for the meeting?
12:01 PM <[dsnopek](#)> timplunkett: meeting?
12:01 PM <[timplunkett](#)> yep
12:02 PM <[dsnopek](#)> Ok! No japerry today, so we have enough folks to start
12:02 PM <[timplunkett](#)> though i personally have nothing new to report in core or contrib
12:02 PM <[dsnopek](#)> EclipseGc: timplunkett: core status updates that affect us?
12:02 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> we have a green 2377757
12:02 PM <[dsnopek](#)> EclipseGc: It seems there's been a bunch of movement on a couple of your core issues!
12:02 PM <[dsnopek](#)> timplunkett: Ok, thanks!
12:02 PM — [EclipseGc](#) is not displeased by this
12:03 PM → [dasjo](#) joined (~dasjo@212-51-155-240.fiber7.init7.net)
12:03 PM <[dasjo](#)> dsnopek: hey, is there a hangout?
12:03 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> 2508884 is... in need of reroll and I probably need to check the patches put up by others (they didn't provide interdiffs)
12:03 PM <[dsnopek](#)> EclipseGc: Is there anything we can do to help push <https://www.drupal.org/node/2508884> forward?
12:03 PM <[Druplicon](#)> <https://www.drupal.org/node/2508884> => Make (block) contexts faster by using less TypedData and make contexts immutable [#2508884] => 38 comments, 10 IRC mentions
12:03 PM <[dsnopek](#)> Er, sorry, cross post :-)
12:04 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> interdiffs of the other patches on the issue would be nice
12:04 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Hi! No, we're just doing an IRC meeting
12:04 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> I've just not had the time yet
12:04 PM <[dasjo](#)> alrighty

12:04 PM <EclipseGc> dasjo_: feel free to join in though :-)

12:04 PM <dasjo_> thanks, here i am :)

12:04 PM <EclipseGc> CTools got some rework around the condition UI so that it works in beta 12

12:05 PM <EclipseGc> there are other updates that need to be done to ctools yet

12:05 PM <EclipseGc> no change on PageManager

12:06 PM <dsnopek> On #2508884 it seems like folks are more interested in lately in the immutability of contexts than the performance improvement which appears to not be over the bar :-/

12:06 PM <EclipseGc> yeah, the fact that it improves both is probably worthwhile though

12:06 PM <dsnopek> Yeah, for sure

12:07 PM <dsnopek> Anyway, so that's core and page_manager!

12:07 PM <dsnopek> Nothing new to report on Layout Plugin, or Panels at least from me

12:07 PM <dsnopek> EclipseGc: Was that everything w/ re: CTools?

12:07 PM <EclipseGc> yup

12:07 PM <dsnopek> Alright, dasjo_, you're up! :-)

12:08 PM <dasjo_> my turn already?

12:08 PM <EclipseGc> there's just dsnopek, myself and timplunkett today, so yup

12:08 PM <EclipseGc> :-)

12:08 PM <dsnopek> Well, there weren't many status updates :-)

12:08 PM <dasjo_> cool so i just wanted to shout out if anyone has ideas for my upcoming drupalcon session:

12:08 PM <dsnopek> Here's the link dasjo_ put in the notes doc:
<https://events.drupal.org/barcelona2015/sessions/building-layouts-7-8-coding-vs-clicking>

12:08 PM <dasjo_>
<https://events.drupal.org/barcelona2015/sessions/building-layouts-7-8-coding-vs-clicking>

12:08 PM timplunkett → [timplunkettAFK](#)

12:09 PM <dasjo_> basically i'm trying to get a feel of how much we keep doing in the backend vs. how much we wanna do in templates / twig

12:09 PM <dasjo_> or if anything fundamentally changes from 7 to 8 from your point of view in that regards

12:09 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Have you dug into layout_plugin?
<https://events.drupal.org/barcelona2015/sessions/building-layouts-7-8-coding-vs-clicking>

12:09 PM <dasjo_> we have page manager + layout plugin in use for our latest d8 site
<http://www.gottardo2016.ch/en>

12:09 PM <dsnopek> Oh, cool!

12:09 PM <dasjo_> works pretty well

12:10 PM <dsnopek> dasjo++

12:11 PM <dsnopek> I guess I'd just add that we hope that someone (or us eventually) will build a visual layout builder based on layout_plugin

12:11 PM <EclipseGc> dsnopek, timplunkettAFK: which proves we need update hooks for any changes we introduce to PM at this point

12:11 PM <dsnopek> EclipseGc: Well, I don't know if that's 100%, we need to discuss that and make a call

12:11 PM <dasjo_> dsnopek: you mean like flexible layouts in d7 ? i hate them :)

12:11 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Heh, well, more like the Layout module for D7

12:12 PM <EclipseGc> dasjo_: it can be done much better then that ;-)

12:12 PM — EclipseGc has a UI in his head he wants to build

12:12 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Yeah, the Panels flexible layout builder isn't going to be ported

12:12 PM <EclipseGc> hell no

12:12 PM <dasjo_> thanks

12:12 PM <EclipseGc> let someone else manage and maintain that

12:13 PM <dsnopek> EclipseGc: On the update hooks, we could declare that when we go to -betaX we start doing them? And I think it makes sense to finish moving all the things before we do that

12:13 PM <dsnopek> EclipseGc: At this point, we haven't made any promises on update hooks

12:13 PM <dasjo_> well people can do that, but i don't see any necessity for layout from my side. front-end (sass, ...) is so much progressing, i don't want that to be piped through php

12:13 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Yeah, that makes sense!

12:13 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: More just to support the pure site builders

12:14 PM <dasjo_> sure thing, i know there's an audience for that

12:14 PM <dasjo_> dsnopek: have you talked to acquians about future plans for spark?

12:14 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: But I think layout_plugin is good place to merge both the (a) I want to just make a template, and (b) the layout builder for site builders

12:14 PM <EclipseGc> dasjo_: acquian here, what you wondering?

12:15 PM <dasjo_> EclipseGc: oh right :)

12:15 PM <EclipseGc> and tim for that matter

12:15 PM <dasjo_> EclipseGc: if spark / layout etc is continued to be worked on, was on hold last year or so

12:15 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Someone talked with someone about us getting the Layout module when we are ready to take it! But do others know the plans for Spark?

12:16 PM <EclipseGc> dasjo_: I think angie specifically owns the layout module project, and our understanding (our being "scotch") is that she's willing to part with it if we get something worthwhile

12:16 PM <dasjo_> dsnopek: like layout_plugin_builder

12:16 PM ← _ACF_ quit (~ACF@83.244.194.230) Remote host closed the connection

12:16 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Yeah, although that could end up being the Layout module

12:16 PM <EclipseGc> as far as I know, spark (specifically) as a project has been rolled into Acquia Lightning and Drupal 8 (respectively)

12:17 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: The goal is also to get layout_plugin into core for D8.1 :-)

12:17 PM <EclipseGc> that's not to say OCTO/Acquia won't attempt more scenarios like spark in the future

12:18 PM <dasjo_> personally i would care more about the "interface" where front-end coding ends & site building starts: who owns the layout, who owns the order of fields, who owns the

setting if field labels are printed, who owns which image style is used. you can move everything into the backend but in bigger projects that leads to quite some frustration with frontenders

12:18 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: I can answer those questions

12:18 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> if you like

12:18 PM <[dasjo_](#)> i'd be happy to hear

12:19 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: As far as "who owns the layout", you can even declare layouts in themes via layout_plugin now

12:19 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> so, right now I'm working on a refactor of the PageManager code base to have a more complete UI

12:19 PM <[dasjo_](#)> dsnopek: yeah i saw that commit, but it somehow didn't work for us via the theme

12:19 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> once that's completed, there will be Page config entities and PageVariant config entities

12:20 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Hm. I would love to know why if you have time to make an issue in the queue! :-)

12:20 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: Pages hold basic data like route, parameter upcasting, access, and which variants

12:20 PM <[dasjo_](#)> dsnopek: will try to get back on that

12:20 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Awesome, thanks

12:20 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: PageVariants hold settings for each variant configuration. In something like panels that would include each block's individual configuration

12:20 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> i.e. field labels, image styles, etc

12:21 PM <[dasjo_](#)> EclipseGc: yes

12:21 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> front end coding, from a panels perspective is in 2 or 3 layers

12:21 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: the first layer is the layout plugin itself

12:21 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> these are (as I suspect you know) hyper simple twig files

12:22 PM <[dasjo_](#)> do you have plans for adding stuff similar to what you can do in d7 via ctools context & panels already? could that be done in manage display itself as well (similar to what display suite provides via dynamic fields)?

12:22 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> blocks are intended to be rendered directly within regions of layouts, so "suggestion" overrides can happen at the layout and the block layer

12:22 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: ask a more specific question

12:23 PM <[dasjo_](#)> i guess one thing is panelizer: being able to take over manage display and leverage panels capabilities: add more complex stuff than just fields

12:23 PM <[dasjo_](#)> (talking about defaults, not panelizing individual nodes)

12:24 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: this is increasinly sounding like a hangout conversation

12:24 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> heh

12:24 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Yeah, that's definitely Panelizer

12:24 PM <[dasjo_](#)> as far as i could see i'm able to override dynamic routes already in page manager but i wasn't sure if you could add fields and all the stuff

12:25 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: It'd be cool to break that into smaller chunks in D8, which I have some ideas about, but I haven't had a chance to talk to dmckenna about that yet

12:25 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: In any case, everything that's possible in D7 *will* be eventually possible in *D8*, we just gotta get further with the code :-)

12:25 PM <[dasjo](#)> i would love to see if we could just make manage display richer (like ds does) instead of the weird panelizer workflow

12:25 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Yeah

12:25 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: The short version of my idea is:

12:26 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Break the things that Panelizer does into separate parts or even sub-modules, so that the overriding of entity view modes was separated out, and then put the admin UIs over the core ones like DS

12:26 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Because Panelizer currently does like 3 things: Full page overrides, view mode overrides, and per-Entity overrides of the previous two things

12:27 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> which is crazy confusing

12:27 PM <[dsnopek](#)> Right

12:27 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> unless you understand what it means

12:27 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> and even then...

12:27 PM <[dasjo](#)> sounds good, but thats farther away is it?

12:27 PM <[dsnopek](#)> It's a shame that dmckenna isn't at the meeting today!

12:27 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Well, we don't have that much man power :-)

12:27 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: I think that's going to turn around a little bit in the near future, though

12:27 PM <[dasjo](#)> no worries, i don't wanna push :)

12:28 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: Personally, I'm currently trying to wind down my maintenance work on Panopoly 1.x for D7, so I can put that time into Panopoly 2.x for D8 (which is mostly just Panels hacking right now)

12:28 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: And switching from organizing Panopoly sprints at every event I go to, to organizing Panels in D8 sprints :-)

12:29 PM <[dasjo](#)> btw - do you know anybody going to drupalcampnorth working in that fields?

12:29 PM <[dsnopek](#)> As D8 gets closer, I'm hoping others will make similar transitions

12:30 PM <[dasjo](#)> very good

12:30 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: fwiw, panelizer is prioritized AFTER panels & IPE are working well (for my own list of priorities)

12:30 PM <[dsnopek](#)> dasjo_: I seem to remember someone saying they were going to DrupalCamp North, but I can't remember who... maybe japerry or populist?

12:30 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> but I do want to start working on it in the next few months

12:30 PM <[dasjo](#)> i'll keep my sprint focus on d8rules but layouts is also a big topic i'm interested

12:30 PM <[EclipseGc](#)> dasjo_: is the rules UI happening yet?

12:31 PM <[dasjo](#)> EclipseGc: there is a start

12:31 PM <[dasjo](#)> <https://www.drupal.org/node/2471465>

12:31 PM <[Druplicon](#)> <https://www.drupal.org/node/2471465> => [META] Rules 8.x UI [#2471465] => 10 comments, 4 IRC mentions

12:32 PM <[dasjo](#)> <https://www.drupal.org/node/2530694>

12:32 PM <Druplicon> <https://www.drupal.org/node/2530694> => Expression UI handling [#2530694] => 0 comments, 1 IRC mention

12:33 PM <dasjo_> EclipseGc: dsnopek alrighty - thanks a lot for your input so far!

12:33 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: You're welcome! :-)

12:33 PM <dasjo_> i gotta leave, summer is waiting outside :)

12:33 PM <EclipseGc> ciao

12:33 PM <dsnopek> dasjo_: Alright, have fun!

12:34 PM <dasjo_> thank you - will keep you updated on the presentation. see you soon

12:34 PM <dsnopek> EclipseGc: So, returning to the update hook discussion...

12:34 PM ← dasjo_ quit (~dasjo@212-51-155-240.fiber7.init7.net) Remote host closed the connection

12:35 PM <dsnopek> What do you think of making a -beta1 release of all the things a target of our "finished by end of Barcelona" milestone?

12:35 PM <dsnopek> And saying that with -beta1 we start making update hooks?

12:35 PM → dasjo joined (~dasjo@212-51-155-240.fiber7.init7.net)

12:35 PM <EclipseGc> dsnopek: I'm completely ok with that, however I worry about the people (of which there seem to be quite a few) who are already using PageManager

12:35 PM <EclipseGc> no, we don't have a technical obligation to give them an upgrade path

12:35 PM ← dasjo quit (~dasjo@212-51-155-240.fiber7.init7.net) Remote host closed the connection

12:35 PM <EclipseGc> but I feel bad NOT

12:35 PM <dsnopek> EclipseGc: Yeah.. And maybe we should just ask them directly, because they're pretty easy to find :-)

12:36 PM <EclipseGc> that's fair

12:36 PM <dsnopek> We'll need timplunkettAFK input on this too

12:36 PM <dsnopek> Which speaking of...

12:36 PM <dsnopek> Druplicon: tell timplunkett to make the page_manager -alpha12 release, yo!

12:36 PM <Druplicon> dsnopek: I'll pass that on when timplunkett is around.

12:38 PM <dsnopek> Anyway, anything else to discuss?

12:41 PM <dsnopek> Alright, let's call that a meeting!