QUESTION. How would Peter Wenz assess the practice of rich countries exporting their garbage to poor countries?

POSSIBLE ANSWERS	SCORE (out of 10)	EXPLANATION
He would be for it.	5	Nothing right about this answer, but it's an answer, which is better than no answer at all.
He would be for it if it's profitable for poor countries.	6	Only thing right about this answer is that student sees that Wenz is concerned about poor countries.
He would be against it, because rich countries are exploiting poor countries	7	Right position attributed to Wenz, but not based on Wenz's own reasoning. Calling it "exploitation" is not implausible, but not Wenz's analysis.
He would be against it because the rich countries do the consuming.	8	Right position, some of his reasoning provided, but incomplete.
He would be against it because he thinks it's unjust when rich people are getting the benefit of high consumption but shifting the burden of waste disposal onto people who consume much less.	9	Right position, right reasoning, but student should say that Wenz bases his analysis on a specific principle, the Principle of Commensurate Benefits and Burdens.
He would be against it based on his principle of Principle of	10	
Commensurate Benefits and Burdens. PCBB says that the people who get the benefits of consumption should shoulder commensurate (i.e. proportional) burdens. When rich		The general principle is named The general principle is explained. The general principle is applied to the
people send garbage to poor countries, they violate this principle. They benefit from high consumption,		case at hand.
but shift the burdens that go with consumption onto people who consume much less.		The way the general principle applies to the case is explained.