

Tab 1




PARAMETER B. INFRASTRUCTURE

0.3 Stakeholders are proud of and happy about the school facilities.

O.3. Stakeholders are proud of and happy about the school facilities.

The campus consistently receives positive feedback from stakeholders and visitors, who often commend its well-maintained facilities and welcoming environment. This favorable impression is reflected in the attached evaluation form, which highlights the venue’s overall appeal.



PARTICIPANTS SUMMARY EVALUATION
 "CEC GALA 2024: A Night of New Beginnings and Connection"
 September 19, 2024

Area	Criteria	Average Rating
Activity Title/theme	The title/theme is appropriate to the nature of the activity.	4.8
Objectives	The objectives of the activity are in line with the Vision and mission of the university.	4.6
	The objectives are relevant to the activity.	4.8
	The objectives are attainable within the allotted time.	4.75
Program Implementations /Schedule	There is flexibility of time slot.	4.65
	The time allotment for each activity/topic is proportionate.	4.7
	The flow of the program is smooth.	4.6
	The content of the program is enriching.	4.7
	The topic and activities are discussed thoroughly and executed effectively.	4.6
	The organizers were effective in their function.	4.7
Speakers	The speakers are competent and effective.	4.75
	The speaker has mastery in the topics Assigned to them.	4.7
	The content of the speakers talk are clear and substantial.	4.75
Venue	The venue is accessible, clean and adequate in size.	4.8
	It is well ventilated.	4.75
	It is equipped with functional amenities.	4.8
Meals	The food is served on time.	N/A
	The food is balanced and nutritious.	N/A
OVERALL RATING		4.7

Venue	The venue is accessible, clean and adequate in size.	4.8
	It is well ventilated.	4.75
	It is equipped with functional amenities.	4.8
	The food is served on time.	N/A



ACTIVITY EVALUATION SHEET SUMMARY

5 - Excellent 4 - Very Good 3 - Good 2 - Fair 1 - Poor

Area	Criteria	Average
Activity title/theme	The title/theme is appropriate to the nature of the activity.	5
Objectives	The objectives of the activity are in line with the vision and mission of the university.	5
	The objectives are relevant to the activity.	5
	The objectives are attainable within the allotted time.	5
Program Implementation /Schedule	There is flexibility of time slot.	5
	The time allotment for each activity/topics is proportional.	5
	The flow of the program is smooth.	5
	The content of the program is enriching.	5
	The topics and activities are discussed thoroughly and executed effectively.	5
Speakers	The organizers were effective in their functions.	5
	The speakers are competent and effective.	5
	The speakers have mastery in the topics assigned to them.	5
Venue	The content of the speakers' talk is clear and substantial.	5
	The venue is accessible, clean, and adequate in size.	5
	It is well ventilated.	5
Meals	It is equipped with functional amenities.	5
	The food is served on time.	5
OVERALL RATING	The food is balanced and nutritious.	5
		5

Interpretation: The conducted activity is successful. The Overall rating is 5 which is equivalent to **EXCELLENT**.



Republic of the Philippines
 Partido State University
 Goa, Camarines Sur
 A/Y 2024-2025



EVALUATION SHEET
ACTIVITY EVALUATION SHEET SUMMARY

Area	Criteria	Scale (%)				
		5	4	3	2	1
Activity title/theme	The title/theme is appropriate to the nature of the activity.	66	0	0	0	0
Objectives	The objectives of the activity are in line with the vision and mission of the university.	66	0	0	0	0
	The objectives are relevant to the activity.	66	0	0	0	0
	The objectives are attainable within the allotted time.	66	0	0	0	0
Program Implementation /Schedule	There is flexibility of time slot.	66	0	0	0	0
	The time allotment for each activity/topics is proportional.	66	0	0	0	0
	The flow of the program is smooth.	66	0	0	0	0
	The content of the program is enriching.	66	0	0	0	0
	The topics and activities are discussed thoroughly and executed effectively.	66	0	0	0	0
	The organizers were effective in their functions.	66	0	0	0	0
Speakers	The speakers are competent and effective.	66	0	0	0	0
	The speakers have mastery in the topics assigned to them.	66	0	0	0	0
	The content of the speakers' talk is clear and substantial.	66	0	0	0	0
Venue	The venue is accessible, clean, and adequate in size.	66	0	0	0	0
	It is well ventilated.	66	0	0	0	0
	It is equipped with functional amenities.	66	0	0	0	0

Interpretation: The conducted activity is successful. The Overall average rating is 5 which is equivalent to **EXCELLENT**.

Prepared by:

JUSTIN C. BASILIA
 Secretary, ParSU REMONTADOS

Noted by:

ANNE MARGARETTE MOLL
 Director, ParSU Remontados

Attested by:

KAREN EYRE MOSHIE ARTIAGA
 Adviser, ParSU Remontados



TRAINING EVALUATION RESULT

Title of Training: WRITESHOP – PROPOSAL MAKING
 Barangay: Goa, Camarines Sur
 Date: December 6, 2019 Time: 8:00-5:00
 Venue: CET AVR
 No. of persons surveyed: 75

Scale value: 5 – Best; 4- Better; 3 Good; 2-Poor; and 1-Needs improvement

Part I. TIMELINESS OF ACTIVITY

CRITERIA	5	4	3	2	1
DATE, TIME & VENUE					
1. Were you properly informed of the following: <i>(Nasabihan po ba kayo ng maayos tungkol ukol sa:</i>					
a. training date <i>(petsa ng training)</i>	66	9	0	0	0
b. venue <i>(lugar, saan gaganapin ang training)</i>	65	10	0	0	0
2. Were you able to locate the venue easily? <i>(Mabilis mo po bang nahanap ang lugar ng pagkakatipunan ng training?)</i>	69	4	2	0	0
3. Were training started and ended at scheduled time? <i>(Nagsimula ba at nagtapos ang training sa oras na itinakda dito?)</i>	65	7	2	1	0
LOGISTICS					
1. Were you given copy of the programme or activity flow of training? <i>(Nabigyan ka ba ng kopya ng programa o daloy ng training?)</i>	70	5	0	0	0
2. Were you given of hand-outs for your further review and learning on the day of training? <i>(Nabigyan ka po ba ng hand-outs or supplies na kailangan sa iyong pagbalik-aral at pagkatuto sa araw ng training?)</i>	62	12	1	0	0
3. Were materials or supplies needed for the training made available to you? <i>(Naibigay ba saiyong mga materyales na kailangan sa pagsagawa ng mga gawain)</i>	60	8	7	0	0
4. Were food provisions served to you on time, if there are provisions? <i>(Kung mayroong probisyon sa pagkain, naiabot po ba saiyong sa tamang oras?)</i>	63	8	4	0	0
HUMAN RESOURCE					
1. Were you able to come and attend the training on time? <i>(Naikadalo ka po ba ng training sa tamang oras?)</i>	73	2	0	0	0
2. Were you able to finish workshops of training on time? <i>(Natapos mo po ba ang mga "workshops" sa training sa tamang oras?)</i>	70	3	2	0	0
3. Were facilitators attentive to answer your needs during the training? <i>(ang iyong mga pangangailangan habang isinasagawa ang training?)</i>	66	8	1	0	0
TRAINING PROPER					
1. Were contents of training? <i>(Naitahad ba ang mga paksa ng training ng malinaw sa loob ng oras na itinalaga?)</i>					
a. relevant to you <i>(mahalaga sayo)</i>	71	3	1	0	0
b. enriching <i>(nagpapayaman)</i>	68	7	0	0	0
c. well-organized ideas <i>(mahusay na pagkaka-organisa ng mga ideya)</i>	67	7	1	0	0
2. Were you around at all times during the training? <i>(Naroon ka ba sa buong oras at panahon habang isinasagawa ang training?)</i>	60	9	5	1	0
3. Were you able to learn the topics of training only this day? <i>(Natutunan mo ba ang mga paksa doon lamang sa training na ito?)</i>	67	6	2	0	0
4. Was the time to administer this evaluation sufficient? <i>(Sapat ba ang oras na naitalaga sa pagsagot ng evaluation na ito?)</i>	72	2	1	0	0
Total	1134	110	29	2	0
Percentage		99.98%			

During their visits, stakeholders expressed how pleased they were with the school's facilities. They noted that the classrooms and spaces are well-maintained, supportive of learning, and provide a safe and welcoming environment for students.

- Research collaboration for Bicol Higher Education with Sorsogon State University. The visit was part of SorSU's research project, "Quantity and Quality Issues in State Higher Education: Focus on the Bicol Region."



<https://www.facebook.com/photo/?fbid=1133099355493801&set=pcb.1133101018826968>

- A pre-planning meeting with the Association of Tertiary School Publication Advisers of Bicol (ATSPAB), Inc., led by Dr. Rafael V. Oñate, ATSPAB President, together with Dr. Bernard S. Pantanilla, ATSPAB Consultant and Education Supervisor II of the Commission on Higher Education Regional Office VII, was conducted, marking the initial preparations for the 23rd Regional Tertiary Schools Press Conference (RTSPC), which the university is set to host next year.



<https://www.facebook.com/photo?fbid=1279896044147464&set=pcb.1279896907480711>