HERPETOLOGY

Image Value/Research Questions

Pros/Cons for each type of image:

2D

- Easy accuracy of measurements (what you can per view at least)
- Cheaper, faster
- Uses: IDs of unknowns, some morphometrics, taxonomy, comparative IDs
- Maybe more important to have photos in life for vouchered specimens (provides color) requires better curation - habitat photos too?

X-rays

- Cheap, easy
- Provides detailed characters

3D

- Much more utility for full sets of morphometric characters
- Cheaper and faster than CT scans

CT Scans

- Compare morphology/whole specimens to fossils helpful for phylogenies and paleontologists
- Easier to compare across specimens/species
- Fix orientation problems
- Expensive how to get around this?

Maybe a combo effort

- 2D of LOTS (how many?!), CT scan of representative samples

How to choose what to image?

- Maybe pick specimens that already have tissue samples (or other ancillary data), to balance that side of the science
- Representative of every family and genus?
- ALL type specimens? not possible! most won't have tissues, ancillary data, etc.
- Those that are present in GOOD phylogenies (and easily accessible to US museums)

Use of morphological characters (traits, features, etc)

- Time consuming
- "dying out" how to reinvigorate?
- New species descriptions increase speed of new pubs/discoveries
- If more characters were provided (via images) then they would get used more
- Need to add into more phylogenies (rather than just genetics) or map characters onto existing phylogenies
- Trait evolution
- Ontogeny
- Look for hidden trait diversity/radiation good hook, but you don't know what you'll find

Other uses of images

- Assist paleontological and zooarcheological researchers would require CT scans
- Making more data layers
- Photos in life:
 - Color images of types
 - Color photos of genetic vouchers (or call data) send with the loan

How to make this much more useful for biological community? (not just the couple hundred herp systematists)

MAJOR QUESTIONS FROM HERP GROUP (as of 9:30am)

- 1. Resolving phylogenies that include fossils (so the fossil species can be better placed)
- 2. Trait evolution

WHAT WE NEED TO HAVE TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS:

- 1. Digitizing photos in life, curate them well, and share them better
- When choosing specimens to CT scan, pick those that are in well-resolved phylogenies AND have good ancillary data, like calls, sequences, tissues, etc. (types preferred, if possible) ---> "super specimen"
- 3. Of course, we will also take 2D photos of everything we CT scan

VALUE ADDED/BROADER IMPACTS:

- 1. Helps paleontological and zooarchaeological communities
- 2. Use scans for 3D printing, education, etc.