

Evidence/Judgments for achievement	Evidence/Judgments for achievement with merit	Evidence/Judgments for achievement with excellence
<p>Apply measurement in solving problems.</p> <p>Students use a range of (3) appropriate methods when solving problems. This demonstrates knowledge of measurement concepts and terms. Solutions typically require one or two steps</p>	<p>Apply measurement, using relational thinking, in solving problems.</p> <p>Students carry out a logical sequence of steps to create and form a model and either relate their solutions to the context or communicate their thinking using mathematical statements</p>	<p>Apply measurement, using extended abstract thinking, in solving problems.</p> <p>Students devise a strategy to investigate or solve a problem and use correct mathematical statements or communicating mathematical insight.</p>
<p>Student selects and uses at least three different measurement methods in an attempt to solve the problem.</p> <p><i>Examples of methods:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ apply the relationships between units in the metric system, including the units for measuring different attributes and derived measures (<i>Measures include density, speed and other rates such as unit cost or fuel consumption.</i>) ...this is including the conversion of area and volume units, especially cm^3-ml and similar □ Use formula to find perimeters (can be within calculations of SA) of polygons & prisms □ Use formula to find areas (both area of 2D shapes, composite and SA of 3D shapes) of (non-trivial) polygons & prisms □ Use formula to find volumes of (non-trivial) 3D solids & prisms <p>A student may not manage to create two solids which fit the criteria (due to having made mistakes in decision making of dimensions) but <u>can still be awarded Achieved</u> if they have consistently carried out <u>3 of the above skills correctly</u>.</p> <p>Whilst all calculations must be relevant to the problem being solved a guess and check method is acceptable.</p>	<p>Students will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Give dimensions for 2 solids which meet the criteria, potentially with one or two minor errors (or omissions). □ make a <u>mathematically justified</u> (requires calculating costs and comparing them) decision as to which of their designs is the one they recommend. □ use correct mathematical statements and try to communicate what they are calculating at each step. <p>Students' answers will vary depending on their choice of task, shape, contextual considerations etc...</p>	<p>Students will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ complete the problem <u>comprehensively</u> with more than one option discussed; (they may make a minor error but not conceptual errors) AND □ consider and investigate a range of contextual <i>mathematical</i> considerations and how these affected the final answer. AND □ make a <u>mathematically justified</u> (requires calculating costs and comparing them) decision as to which of their designs is the one they recommend <p>Their answer <i>may</i> include a mathematical investigation into a number of the points below or other comments of similar complexity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Wastage of materials ○ Profits available ○ Tessellations and ease of stacking/transporting ○ Contextual considerations of non-mathematical things that could affect the decision ONLY IF the <u>effect</u> of these changes on the answer are researched and calculated (dimensions, lengths, materials, etc) <p>Students must use correct mathematical statements and clearly communicate what they are calculating at each step.</p>

Final grades will be decided using professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided against the criteria in the Achievement Standard.