



MTI 500 CI: Teacher's Toolbox: Proven Strategies for Student Success

DISTANCE COURSE FOR COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY-PUEBLO CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION MASTER'S DEGREE

| MTI Contact Information: | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Instructor Name: | Instructor Name: Nick Pezzuto | | |
| MTI Email: | info@midwestteachersinstitute.org | | |
| MTI Grading: grades@midwestteachersinstitute.org | | | |

Course Information

Course Format (distance learning, online, webinar, experiential onsite courses):

Distance Course

Once you have registered for this course, your textbook will be sent to the address you used at checkout. The cost for the textbook has been included in your course price, there are no additional fees that apply. The textbook is yours to keep for further reflection at the conclusion of the course. Approximately 112.5 hours of instructional time is devoted to this course.

Please see rubrics below for assessment and grading criteria. MTI Instructor will evaluate, grade, and process your final work within 7-10 business days of receiving it. Grades will be posted within 14 days and a grade report via email will be sent to the student.

ALL questions, customer service, grades and transcript questions are to be directed to MTI, **NOT COLORADO STATE- PUEBLO** FOR THIS COURSE.

All distance courses allow you to work at your own pace as your schedule permits. All coursework is due within one year of the registration date. If you need more time due to unforeseen circumstances, please contact MTI at the address provided above to request an extension.

Formatting Requirements

All assignments should be included in the same document. Please do not submit separate documents for each portion of the course. The first page of your submission should include the following information:

- Name
- Home address
- Email address
- School district name, address
- Date of submission

All following assignments must be submitted in the same order as they appear on the syllabus. Please name your document with your course number and full name (ex. 500JohnSmith). You may submit a Microsoft Word document or a Google document (if using Google, please make sure to allow the grader to comment on the assignments). Any missing assignment will be treated as a zero.

Once you have completed all of your assignments, please submit them to grades@midwestteachersinstitute.org to be graded by your instructor.

Prerequisites and Credit Limits

All MTI courses are designed for educators who have a minimum of a bachelor's degree and professional educator license. This course counts towards a master's degree in curriculum and instruction from Colorado State University-Pueblo. You must be accepted in the program by CSUP for this course to count towards your degree.

Required Books and Materials

- Marzano, R., Pickering, D., & Pollack, J. (2013). Classroom *Instruction that Works: Research-Based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- https://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2018/11/07/raising-stude nt-voice-and-choice-is-the.html
- https://www.rand.org/education-and-labor/projects/measuring-t-eacher-effectiveness/teachers-matter.html

Learning Outcomes/Competencies:

Following the completion of this course, the student should be able to:

- 1. Identify research based concepts and teaching strategies that have been proven to work. In TASC 1, 3, 6
- 2. Understand the best way to provide support for student's effort and feedback for future improvement. InTASC 2,5,7,8,9
- 3. Use the 9 categories of strategies for classroom planning. InTASC 1,2,4,6,8
- 4. Develop and incorporate higher level questioning in the classroom. InTASC 5,8,9

Course Description:: The purpose of this course is to provide teachers with a variety of strategies designed to help students succeed. All of the strategies have been proven to succeed based on research conducted in the classroom. Throughout this course the educator will learn practical ways to use all nine of the strategies provided by the authors. The goal of this course is to show every educator the research and theory that can help their students.

Learning Strategies: Professional literature analysis, personal reflection, project-based learning.

Experiential Learning Opportunities: Students will be reflecting on the assessments and tools that they develop/learn about in class and measuring/estimating the intended impact on student learning in the classroom. Students will learn by doing while completing a variety of activities throughout the course.

| Assessments | Approximate contact hours for each assessment | |
|--|---|-------------|
| Required reading from the textbook. | | 19 hours |
| Additional course websites provided and reflection time. | | 1 hour |
| Text questions-(Ten questions at 9 points each) | 90 points | 10 hours |
| Studying (time to reread and take notes) | | 10.5 hours |
| Applications- 2 @ 45 points each | 90 points | 12 hours |
| Journaling of field experience in the classroom implementing concepts from the course with students and fellow teachers. | 60 points | 28 hours |
| Action research project | 100 points | 14 hours |
| Research on classroom instruction strategies and theory | | 8 hours |
| Final evaluation essay | 100 points | 10 hours |
| Total points possible | 440 points | 112.5 hours |

Grading Scale: A (90-100%); B (80-89%)

*Anything below a B will not receive graduate credit and receive a failing grade of F.

If you do not receive a B or higher, your work will be returned to you for further correction and completion. You will be allowed one (1) re-submit to your instructor to achieve a grade of B or higher. If after your re-submit, you still do not achieve a B or higher you will receive a failing grade of F and therefore forfeit the 3 graduate credits.

| Text Question Rubric- 10 questions @ 9 points each | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Category | Superior (3 pts) | Sufficient (2 pts) | Minimal (1 pt) | |
| Evidence in Practice | course and how they are applied | ideas and insights from this | Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | |
| | 11 0 | 11 0 | Some of the supporting facts and | |
| | l , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | statistics are accurately represented. | statistics are accurately represented. | |

| | Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling. | | Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes. |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| opening (2) | in grammar or spening. | grammar and spennig inistances | grammar and opening impances |
| /3 | | | |

| | Application Rubric- 2 applications @ 45 points each | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Category | Superior (15-14 pts) | Sufficient (13-12 pts) | Minimal (11-10 pts) | Below Standard (9 to 0) | |
| Supporting Evidence in Practice/15 | evidence of ideas and | | evidence of ideas and | Response shows little evidence of ideas and insights from this course and there is little evidence they are applied to the classroom. | |
| Accuracy /15 | | facts and statistics are | Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Many of the supporting facts and statistics are inaccurately represented. | |
| Grammar and Spelling /15 | Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling. | grammar and spelling | Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes numerous grammar and spelling mistakes. | |

| Journal Rubric- 10 journal entries @ 6 points each | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Category | ategory Superior (3 pts) Sufficient (2 pts) Minimal (1 pt) | | | | |
| | evidence of ideas and insights from this course and | Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | | |
| Grammar and Spelling | mistakes in grammar or | Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes. | | |

| | Action Research Rubric- 100 points | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Category | Superior (20-18 pts) | Sufficient (17-16 pts) | Minimal (15-14 pts) | Below Standard (13 to 0) | | |
| Review of Literature /20 | Outstanding inclusion of major theories and/or research studies. | Inclusion of major theories and/or research studies. | Limited inclusion of major theories and/or research studies. | No inclusion of major theories and/or research studies. | | |
| Appropriat e and Accurate | Results/Findings appropriately and accurately described | Results/Findings appropriately and accurately described in | Results/Findings either not appropriately or not accurately described. | Results/Findings not described. | | |

| Results/ Findings are Described /20 | in extensive detail in relation to the research questions. | relation to the research questions. | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Grammar and Spelling /20 | Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling. | Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes numerous grammar and spelling mistakes. |
| Methods and Procedures /20 | Complete and thorough description of data analysis methods. | Complete description of data analysis methods. | Incomplete and/or unclear description of data analysis methods. | No description of data analysis methods. |
| Conclusions/20 | Insightful conclusions supported by the data and clearly linked to review of literature. | Accurate conclusions supported by the data and clearly linked to review of literature. | Limited or unsupported conclusions. | No conclusions. |

| | Evaluation Rubric- 100 points | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Category | Superior (20-18 pts) | Sufficient (17-16 pts) | Minimal (15-14 pts) | Below Standard (13 to 0) | |
| Supporting Evidence in Practice /20 | Response shows strong evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom. | Response shows little evidence of ideas and insights from this course and there is little evidence they are applied to the classroom. | |
| Accuracy /20 | All supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Almost all supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented. | Many of the supporting facts and statistics are inaccurately represented. | |
| Grammar and Spelling /20 | Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling. | Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes. | Response includes numerous grammar and spelling mistakes. | |
| Sequencing/20 | Response is written in a clear, concise, and well organized manner. Thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner. | Response is mostly clear, concise, and well organized. Thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner. | Response is somewhat unclear and/or disorganized. Some thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner. | Response is mostly unclear and/or disorganized. Many thoughts are presented in an incoherent and illogical manner. | |
| Reflection /20 | Response demonstrates an in-depth reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, | Response demonstrates some reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and | Response demonstrates a minimal reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies | Response demonstrates no reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and | |

and /or strategies presented in this presented in this course.

/or strategies presented in this course.
/or strategies presented in this in this course.

Course Assignments

Text Questions: Read and Reflect- (90 points)

Each text question is designed to get you thinking about the concepts provided by the author. As you read the text, use the questions to help you reflect and how the information can be related to your current work. Each response is expected to be one paragraph or more.

- 1. *Chapter 2: Identifying Similarities and Differences*. On pages 26-28, the authors discuss the use of analogies. Using a topic or unit in your subject, create 3 analogies that you can use as examples for your own classroom.
- 2. Chapter 3: Summarizing and Note Taking.

 The "rule-based" strategy is discussed on pages 32-34. Take a paragraph from one of your units and summarize using the rule-based strategy as an example for your students.

 Provide both paragraphs for your answer.
- 3. Chapter 4: Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition.
 After reading chapter 4, what are your thoughts about the role you play in reinforcing effort and providing recognition?
- 4. *Chapter 5: Homework and Practice.*Before reading chapter 5, write down why you give homework in your class. What do you hope for the students to get out of your homework? After reading the chapter, record any thoughts about how your perception of homework may have changed.
- 5. Chapter 6: Nonlinguistic Representation.

 According to the text, nonlinguistic representations can allow students to add to their knowledge base and learn at a deeper level. Examine the graphic organizers from the chapter and complete one for your subject.
- 6. *Chapter 7: Cooperative Learning*.
 Based on your own teaching experience, what setbacks can come from students working together in groups? What steps can you take to reduce the impact of these setbacks?
- 7. Chapter 8: Setting Objectives and Providing Feedback.

 After reading the story from Mr. Cordova, consider the feedback that you give to your students or the feedback you received as a student. Record what you currently do well and what areas of providing feedback that you are deficient.
- 8. *Chapter 9: Generating and Testing Hypotheses*. Briefly describe each of the 6 types of tasks used to generate and test hypotheses.
- 9. *Chapter 10: Cues, Questions, and Advance Organizers*. Come up with 3 examples of questions that would be considered high level questions.
- 10. Chapter 11: Teaching Specific Types of Knowledge.

 Based on the reading, how would you describe the difference between massed and distributed practice? Give an example of how you could use each type of practice in your classroom.

Application #1- (45 points)

The authors go over several types of nonlinguistic strategies (chapter 5) for the classroom.

Develop a lesson plan that you can implement in your classroom using at least 2 of the 5 non linguistic strategies. Include a summary of how you think the lesson plan **will go** and include any potential roadblocks you may encounter. To complete this application, you will need a completed lesson plan (any format you prefer and a written summary). Summary is to be 2-3 pages.

Application #2- (45 points)

In the textbook are examples of six different summary frames (Narrative, TRI, Definition, Argumentation, Problem/Solution, and Conversation.) Choose a unit from your subject area and use one of the six summary frames as a template to develop your own set of questions. Then take on the role of a student and provide answers to the questions that you have provided.

Journal entries

Journals are designed to demonstrate that students are reflecting on and implementing concepts and strategies in their own education settings. Journal entries are to be roughly 2-3 paragraphs each. Students are expected to complete 10 entries over the course of the class. You may use the same type of journal entry more than once. Make sure to include some examples of what you have actually done to support your thoughts whenever appropriate. Some examples of acceptable journal topics include:

- How has what you learned about a strategy/topic changed the way you think?
- What were the results of trying something new that you learned in class, and how could you improve it next time?
- Reflections on conversations you had with students, colleagues or administrators about what you have learned.
- Research you've discovered on a topic and how it complements or contradicts what the author says.
- These are a few examples, but any journal entry along these lines is acceptable. Remember that you can use the same journal topic more than once to examine different concepts or strategies, or even to examine different impacts on multiple types of classes.

Research Assignment- (100 points)

Conduct an action research project on your classes. Use one of the strategies from your book in your first class, and refrain from using the same strategy in the second class. For example, show your students how to summarize, take notes, or another strategy from the text. Record the observations that you have on the differences between the control class and the class that received the strategy. Summarize your experience in 3-5 pages. APA format. Cite any sources.

Final Evaluation Assignment (100 points)

Review one of your curriculum units for your class. Reflect on the strategies that you already use in the curriculum unit, how these strategies have worked in the past, and how you can adjust these strategies to better reach all of your students. Then incorporate at least 2 new strategies from the text. Once you have taught the unit, evaluate the overall experience. Summarize your experience in 3-5 pages.

End of Course Survey

Now that you are finished with this class, please take the time to help us improve our product. In order to make sure that we are providing the best possible service, please take our <u>survey</u>. We appreciate your help and your commitment to the profession.

CSU-Pueblo's Policies

Accommodations: Colorado State University-Pueblo abides by the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which stipulates that no student shall be denied the benefits of an education "solely by reason of a handicap." If you have a documented disability that may impact your work in this class and for which you may require accommodations, please see the Disability Resource & Support Center as soon as possible to arrange accommodations. In order to receive accommodations, you must be registered with and provide documentation of your disability to the Disability Resource & Support Center, which is located in the Library and Academic Resources Center, Suite 169.

Academic Dishonesty: Academic dishonesty is any form of cheating which results in students giving or receiving unauthorized assistance in an academic exercise or receiving credit for work which is not their own. In cases of academic dishonesty, the instructor will inform the chair of the department prior to implementation of punitive action. Academic dishonesty is grounds for disciplinary action by both the instructor and the Dean of Student Services and Enrollment Management. Any student judged to have engaged in academic dishonesty may receive a failing grade for the work in question, a failing grade for the course, or any other lesser penalty which the instructor finds appropriate. To dispute an accusation of academic dishonest, the student should first consult with the instructor. If the dispute remains unresolved, the student may then state his or her case to the department chair (or the dean if the department chair is the instructor of the course).

Academic dishonesty is a behavioral issue, not an issue of academic performance. As such, it is considered an act of misconduct and is also subject to the University disciplinary process as defined in the CSU-Pueblo Student Code of Conduct Policies and Procedures Manual. Whether or not punitive action has been implemented by the faculty, a report of the infraction should be submitted to the Dean of Student Services and Enrollment Management who may initiate additional disciplinary action. A student may appeal a grade through the Academic Appeals Board. The Dean of Student Services and Enrollment Management's decision may be appealed through the process outlined in the Student Code of Conduct Policies and Procedures Manual.

Mandatory Reporting: Colorado State University-Pueblo is committed to maintaining respectful, safe, and nonthreatening educational, working, and living environments. As part of this commitment, and in order to comply with federal law, the University has adopted a Policy on Discrimination, Protected Class Harassment, Sexual Misconduct, Intimate Partner Violence, Stalking, & Retaliation. You can find information regarding this policy, how to report violations of this policy, and resources available to you, on the Office of Institutional Equity's website (www.csupueblo.edu/institutional-equity).

The ten INTASC standards are listed below. Specific standards for knowledge, dispositions, and performances accompany each principle, but space does not permit listing them below. For a complete copy of the INTASC standards, contact Jean Miller, Director of INTASC, Suite 700, One Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington DC 20001-1431.

The InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards (April 2011)

The Learner and Learning

Standard #1: Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

Standard #2: Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Standard #3: Learning Environments

The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation.

Content Knowledge

Standard #4: Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make these aspects of the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

Standard #5: Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

Instructional Practice

Standard #6: Assessment

The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

Standard #7: Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning

goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross- disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

Standard #8: Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

Professional Responsibility

Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration

The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (SLOs):

This course addresses the following student learning outcomes to the degree shown in the table. Degree Addressed is rated according to the following scale:

1=Basic, 2=Developing, 3=Proficient, 4=Advanced

| Student Learning Outcome | Degree Addressed |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. Demonstrate growth in content knowledge related to teaching assignment and the application of content knowledge to classroom instruction and assessment. | 3 |
| 2. Understand scientifically-based practices in teaching and learning, including strategies in literacy education, instructional technology, differentiation of instruction, and apply them to raise student achievement. | 2 |
| 3. Demonstrate multiple means of assessing and evaluating student learning and use them to change teaching and learning. | 2 |
| 4. Locate, interpret, synthesize, and apply educational research in best practices in teaching. | 2 |
| 5. Understand models for professional change, including teacher collaboration, professional learning communities, strategies for mentoring and coaching to facilitate change, and effective professional development. | 1 |

| 6. Demonstrate understanding of reflective practice that results in improved classroom teaching and learning, including teacher reflection, use of technology in self-assessment, collaboration for change, and self-management of change. | 2 |
|--|---|
| 7. Demonstrate understanding of system and organizational change in education, including models for school change and current research and trends in school change. | 1 |
| 8. Demonstrate responsibility for student learning at high levels. | 3 |
| 9. Demonstrate responsibility for school reform and leadership in school change. | 1 |