

Table of Contents

Nicolet Scrimmage (Sat, 1/6)

Team #1 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Lodi Scrimmage (Sat, 1/13)

Team #1 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Team #2 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Brookfield Academy Scrimmage (Sat, 1/20)

Team #1 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Team #2 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Waukesha Regionals (Sat, 2/10)

Team #1 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Team #2 (**start of scanned sheets**)

Nicolet Scrimmage (1/6/18)

Team 1, Round 1 (Lost to Shorewood #2 60-59)

WISCONSIN HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Shorewood II (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central I (Team I.C. #)

DATE: _____

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>LH</u>			6	5
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	5	
First Witness: <u>Blair Aparna</u>		Cross-Examination		6
		Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	4	
Second Witness: <u>Gran Cambron</u>		Cross-Examination		6
		Witness Presentation	5	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: <u>Karla Campbell</u> <u>LH</u>		Cross-Examination		5
		Witness Presentation	6	
Defense/Defendant <u>Aparna</u>		Direct Examination		7
First Witness: <u>Jessie Green</u>		Cross-Examination	6	
<u>Aashir</u>		Witness Presentation		4
Defense/Defendant <u>LH</u>		Direct Examination		5
Second Witness:		Cross-Examination	5	
<u>Marking S Tylan</u>		Witness Presentation		5 7
Defense/Defendant <u>Cambron</u>		Direct Examination		4
Third Witness: <u>Charlie Baker</u>		Cross-Examination	5	
<u>Green</u>		Witness Presentation		4
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Aparna</u>			5	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.			60	59
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P	D

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!

WHITE - Coordinator Copy

YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy

PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Judge's Signature: _____



STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN

Your Practice. Our Purpose.

Team 1, Round 2 (Def. Nicolet #1 86-84)

Pros

Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1538 BC1 Defense/Respondent: NLC 1
(Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1-6-18 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	<u>Aparna Dher p</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Aparna</u>	<u>9</u>	
First Witness:	<u>Perry Blant (Ashley)</u>		<u>6...</u>
	<u>Aashu</u>	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Ellis</u>	<u>7</u>	
Second Witness:	<u>Maggie</u>		<u>8</u>
	<u>Maggie</u>	<u>8..</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Kwelyn</u>	<u>7</u>	
Third Witness:	<u>Elizabeth</u>		<u>8</u>
	<u>Elizabeth</u>	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	<u>Jesse Gue</u>		<u>8</u>
First Witness:	<u>Aparna</u>		
	<u>Rachel</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	<u>Bolton</u>		<u>7</u>
Second Witness:	<u>Tylan</u>	<u>7</u>	
	<u>Jessica</u>	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	<u>Guarise</u>		<u>8</u>
Third Witness:	<u>Camron</u>	<u>9</u>	
	<u>Charles</u>		<u>9</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	<u>Tylan</u>		
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		<u>86</u>	<u>84</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P or D)	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy
PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Judge's Signature: Edith Davis for Mike



def

Team 1, Round 3 (Lost to Lakeland Union 75-72)

Pros

Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Brookfield Central (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Lakeland Union (Team I.C. #)

DATE: Jan 6th 2018 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Adarna</u>				
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Adarna</u>			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
First Witness:			8	7
			8	
				6
			6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Tylan</u>			6	
Second Witness:				6
			6	
			6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Maggie</u>			6	
Third Witness:				8
			7	
Defense/Defendant				7
First Witness: <u>Adarna</u>			8	
				7
Defense/Defendant				6
Second Witness: <u>Camryn</u>			5	
				7
Defense/Defendant			60	8
Third Witness: <u>Tylan</u>			66	6
				6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Tylan</u>			6	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.			72	75
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P or <u>D</u>	

Pam
Ellis
Kara
Jazz
Bobby
Charlie

62
6

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy
PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Judge's Signature: Rachel Pulver



Lodi Scrimmage (1/13/18)

Team 1, Round 1 (Def. Phillips 84-74)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET		PLAINT: <u>Phillips</u>	DEF: <u>Blackfield 1</u>	ROUND: <u>1</u>
OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	7			Good introduction and use of Bible & Provt
(D) Name of Attorney: <u>Elisane</u>		7		Good introduction and use of Bible & Provt
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:	7		Good job on cross-examination
<u>P. Blart</u>	(P) Direct Attorney:	6		good job getting facts in
E. Gran	(D) Cross Attorney: <u>Aparna</u>		8	good use of BIBLE & Provt
K. Campbell				
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:	7		Good preparation
P. Blart	(P) Direct Attorney:	6		got facts in
<u>E. Gran</u>	(D) Cross Attorney: <u>Sonia</u>		7	good cross focusing on one defendant issue
K. Campbell				
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:	7		Good character, but try to stay in character throughout
P. Blart	(P) Direct Attorney:	7		
E. Gran	(D) Cross Attorney: <u>Elisane</u>		7	
<u>K. Campbell</u>				
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:		8	Very good and stayed in character throughout
<u>J. Green</u>	(D) Direct Attorney: <u>Adarsch</u>		8	Real good job getting background, testimony in
B. Markings	(P) Cross Attorney:	6		Good cross and use of ex D
C. Baker				
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:		9	Great Bible introduction
J. Green	(D) Direct Attorney: <u>Tylan</u>		7	Good Direct. Short & to the point
<u>B. Markings</u>	(P) Cross Attorney:	6		Good cross. Ask questions so they are not open ended
C. Baker				
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:		7	Good job
J. Green	(D) Direct Attorney: <u>Gwen</u>		7	Good introduction & facts
B. Markings	(P) Cross Attorney: <u>Santa</u>		7	Good concise cross
<u>C. Baker</u>				
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:	8			Good job summing up the evidence
(D) Name of Attorney: <u>Aparna</u>		9+		Excellent job
TOTAL SCORE		74	84	Judge's Initials: <u>PAJ</u>

Team 1, Round 2 (Lost to River Valley 65-56)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET

PLAINT: Brookfield

DEF: River Valley

ROUND: 2

OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Andrew</u>	6		Reckless? <u>used humor effectively</u> -
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Chris</u>		6	<u>time theme good</u> -
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blart	<u>A. Sarah</u>	5		<u>appeared to minimize affidavit</u>
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	6		<u>Good Decline</u> -
K. Campbell	<u>Aparna</u>		4	<u>No Reg. to approach - on FD</u>
	(D) Cross Attorney:			<u>"Saw the vandals"? use of off. w/o marking</u> -
	<u>Maya</u>			
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blart	<u>Sanira</u>	4		<u>Inconsistent statements</u>
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	4		<u>Good Volume - Good Exhibit Introduction</u>
K. Campbell	<u>Tyler</u>		9	<u>"Move on" when objection</u>
	(D) Cross Attorney:			<u>Excellent Cross -</u>
	<u>James K</u>			<u>Good Objections - Good Impact of Ex D</u> ^{but went for 100}
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blart	<u>Gwen</u>	5		<u>a little quiet - Good response at end.</u>
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	5		<u>Good Objection</u> <u>No Decline Expect</u> -
K. Campbell	<u>Andrew</u>		7	<u>Good Objection - Good Intro of Exhibits</u>
	(D) Cross Attorney:			<u>Chris K</u>
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Simon</u>		6	<u>Running of Infor.</u>
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		5	<u>Good Objections -</u>
C. Baker	<u>Chris K</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	5		<u>Good Control of Witness</u> -
	<u>Andrew</u>			
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Adam</u>		6	<u>Effective Cross</u> Handled Cross -
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		5	
C. Baker	<u>Maya</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	5		<u>Ineffective Cross</u>
	<u>Tyler</u>			
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Lauren</u>		6	<u>Good response to Cross</u>
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		6	<u>Effective use of Sworn Shirts</u> -
C. Baker	<u>James K</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	5		
	<u>Aparna</u>			
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Tyler</u>	6		<u>Criminal Reference??</u>
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>James K</u>		5	<u>Good Reference to Verdict</u>
				<u>Notice to Opening</u>
TOTAL SCORE		56	65	Judge's Initials: <u>RDS</u>

Both Exceeded time!

Team 1, Round 3 (Def. Reedsburg 93-76)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET		PLAINT: <u>Reedsburg</u>	DEF: <u>Brookfield</u> 1	ROUND: <u>3</u>
OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney: <u>Jade Bonilla</u>		6		Concise, work on eye contact
(D) Name of Attorney: <u>Elianne DelCampo</u>			7	Great eye contact - a bit long but very entertaining
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness P. Blart ✓ E. Gran K. Campbell	(P) Witness - Student Name: <u>Allison Colwell</u>	8		Excellent job maintaining character.
	(P) Direct Attorney: <u>Jade Bonilla</u>	6		Concise, good use of open ended Q's
	(D) Cross Attorney: <u>Aparna Jayashankar</u>		9	Good use of close ended questions Good use of courtroom; good approach
2nd Witness P. Blart E. Gran ✓ K. Campbell	(P) Witness - Student Name: <u>Gunner Drangstveit</u>	8		Great job staying in character
	(P) Direct Attorney: <u>Ray Laughman-Watlin</u>	7		Great job objecting.
	(D) Cross Attorney: <u>Sania Arora</u>		7	Questions were a bit long.
3rd Witness P. Blart E. Gran K. Campbell ✓	(P) Witness - Student Name: <u>Parker Owen</u>	8		Good job bringing character to life.
	(P) Direct Attorney: <u>Kassidy Kleeber</u>	8		Very professional job.
	(D) Cross Attorney: <u>Elianne DelCampo</u>		9	Good job objecting!
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness J. Green ✓ B. Markings C. Baker	(D) Witness - Student Name: <u>Adarsh Rajaraman</u>		9	Excellent job - very natural
	(D) Direct Attorney: <u>Aparna Jayashankar</u>		8	Great job making questions feel natural Talked too soft.
	(P) Cross Attorney: <u>Ray Laughman-Watlin</u>	6		Needed a little more prep but stayed calm
2nd Witness J. Green B. Markings ✓ C. Baker	(D) Witness - Student Name: <u>Tylan Farrokhnia</u>		9	Funny guy - very natural
	(D) Direct Attorney: <u>Elianne DelCampo</u>		9	Good job making questions flow with witness
	(P) Cross Attorney: <u>Jade Bonilla</u>	6		Got a bit stumped but stayed on track
3rd Witness J. Green B. Markings C. Baker ✓	(D) Witness - Student Name: <u>Shawn Triann</u>		8	Good job answering questions simply.
	(D) Direct Attorney: <u>Sania Arora</u>		9	Great job of not reading question.
	(P) Cross Attorney: <u>Kassidy Kleeber</u>	7		Great use of close ended questions Follow up questions - good
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney: <u>Ray Laughman-Watlin</u>		6		Good speak lower/louder from jury
(D) Name of Attorney: <u>Aparna Jayashankar</u>			9	Good into w/ eye contact
TOTAL SCORE		76	93	Judge's Initials: <u>ST</u>

Team 1, Round 4 (Lost to Lodi #1 85-80)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET

PLAINT: Bukfild 1

DEF: Lodi 1

ROUND: 4

OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>A. Ng</u>	7		
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>A. Ng 2. O'Connor</u>		8	
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
<u>P. Blatt</u>	<u>Adarsh J.</u>	8		
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	8		
K. Campbell	<u>A. Jayash</u>			
	(D) Cross Attorney:		8	
	<u>2. O'Connor</u>			
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	<u>S. Arora</u>	7		
<u>E. Gran</u>	(P) Direct Attorney:	7		Is sound media necessary?
K. Campbell	<u>T. Farrokhi</u>			
	(D) Cross Attorney:		8	
	<u>I. Seidler</u>			
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	<u>G. Riann</u>	7		
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	7		
<u>K. Campbell</u>	<u>A. Ng</u>			
	(D) Cross Attorney:		8	
	<u>A. Klann</u>			
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>E. Oatzman</u>		8	
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		8	
<u>C. Baker</u>	<u>A. Klann</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	7		
	<u>A. Ng</u>			
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>A. Crowder</u>		7	
<u>B. Markings</u>	(D) Direct Attorney:		8	
C. Baker	<u>2. O'Connor</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	7		
	<u>T. Farrokhi</u>			
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
<u>J. Green</u>	<u>K. Neckreiner</u>		7	
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		7	
C. Baker	<u>I. Seidler</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	7		
	<u>A. Jayash</u>			
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:		8		
(D) Name of Attorney:			8	
TOTAL SCORE		80	85	Judge's Initials: <u>LSG</u>

Team 2, Round 1 (Tied Lodi #3 64-64)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET		PLAINT: <u>Lodi 3</u>	DEF: <u>Brookfield 2</u>	ROUND: <u>1</u>
OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Sophia Bayer</u>	6		
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Chandra Chouhan</u>		6	
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:	7		
<u>P. Blatt</u>	<u>Will Dean</u>			
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	6		
K. Campbell	<u>Sophia Bayer</u>			
	(D) Cross Attorney:		6	
	<u>Chandra Chouhan</u>			
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:	8		
<u>P. Blatt</u>	<u>Anna Hoffman</u>			
<u>E. Gran</u>	(P) Direct Attorney:	4		
K. Campbell	<u>San Cakovic</u>			
	(D) Cross Attorney:		5	
	<u>Cameron Kohleman</u>			
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:	7		
<u>P. Blatt</u>	<u>Mariah Clark</u>			
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:	6		
<u>K. Campbell</u>	<u>Jakob Krey</u>			
	(D) Cross Attorney:		6	
	<u>Chandra Chouhan</u>			
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:		6	
<u>J. Green</u>	<u>Ash Keshuri</u>			
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		5	
C. Baker	<u>Chandra Chouhan</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	4		
	<u>Sophia Bayer</u>			
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:		7	
<u>J. Green</u>	<u>Maggie Delore</u>			
<u>B. Markings</u>	(D) Direct Attorney:		6	
C. Baker	<u>Cameron Kohleman</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	7		
	<u>San Cakovic</u>			
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:		6	
<u>J. Green</u>	<u>Ashir Saeed</u>			
<u>B. Markings</u>	(D) Direct Attorney:		6	
C. Baker	<u>Chandra Chouhan</u>			
	(P) Cross Attorney:	4		
	<u>Jakob Krey</u>			
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>San Cakovic</u>	5		
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Cameron Kohleman</u>		4	<u>(lost 1 pt for 14min 18 sec overage)</u>
TOTAL SCORE		64	64	Judge's Initials: <u>psb</u>

Team 2, Round 2 (Def. Phillips 87-86)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET

PLAINT:

Brookfield

DEF:

Phillips

ROUND:

2

OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	Cameron Kohlman	8		get off notes - good presentation and theme. good eye contact
(D) Name of Attorney:	Olivia Harding		8	memorize this excellent opening. good theme - slow down speech.
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	Chandra C.	8		nice job - good speaking voice and memorization.
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			
K. Campbell	Ansh Kasturi	10		good questioning - good conversational tone + presence
	(D) Cross Attorney:			
	Olivia Harding		7	good cross. make sure you "pin" the witness down
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	Maggie L. C.	8		good knowledge of the character + facts
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			
K. Campbell	Cameron K.	8		very professional + polished - nice job taking multiple witnesses
	(D) Cross Attorney:			
	Lokesh K.		8	nice questions - thought to discredit + create doubt
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	Heshir Saeed	8		good presence + character memorization of facts
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			
K. Campbell	Cameron K.	9		good objections. succinct + to the point on direct
	(D) Cross Attorney:			
	Alyssa H.		10	good response to objection. make sure your questions are closed-ended
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	Jessica Ludwig		9	good character + knowledge of the facts. very believable as Jessie
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:			
C. Baker	Lokesh K.		8	professional + polished. nice job not relying on notes
	(P) Cross Attorney:			
	Ansh Kasturi	8		good tactic on cross but make sure your questions are closed-ended
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	Eliza Evickson		8	good knowledge of facts - nice responses on cross.
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:			
C. Baker	Alyssa Huffman		8	Polished and professional. Argue the objections - don't just give up
	(P) Cross Attorney:			
	Cameron K.	8		
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	Emilee Lazar		7	nice job w/ factual knowledge and details - good character
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:			
C. Baker	Olivia Harding		9	good recovery on the objection
	(P) Cross Attorney:			
	Cameron K.	9		nice job on impeachment
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:	Ansh Kasturi	7		good pace + voice + presentation - nice theme carry through
(D) Name of Attorney:	Lokesh K.		8	good, clear voice. slow down a little. good facts brought out and
		P	D	
TOTAL SCORE		87	86	comparisons Judge's Initials:

Team 2, Round 3 (Lost to Melrose-Mindoro 53-46)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET		PLAINT: <u>Brockfield #2</u>	DEF: <u>Melrose/Mindoro</u>	ROUND: <u>3</u>
OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Cameron</u>	4		Charges - Not Criminal
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Katrina</u>		6	Good use of Cause of Action
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blart	<u>Chencha</u>	5		falls too fast -
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			Rehash of ID. Fictitious harm -
K. Campbell	<u>Ansh</u>	4		Cost Exhibit Fee = awkward
	(D) Cross Attorney:		4	Confront vs. Argue in Closing - No diff. H's
	<u>Sydney</u>			witness allowed to be - Non-Responsive -
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blart	<u>Ashe</u>	4		Don't remember inspection??
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			Yah - Not Yes - Not Smooth
K. Campbell	<u>Sydney Cameron</u>	4		OK Reclaiming
	(D) Cross Attorney:		4	Good Volume - No Ex E -
	<u>Sydney Katrina</u>			Ended on Objection - Good Reclaiming -
				Got Ex H in - Improvement from Off-Field
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blart	<u>Maggie</u>	5		
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			Seemed short -
K. Campbell	<u>Cameron</u>	4		
	(D) Cross Attorney:		4	witness Runners -
	<u>XIO</u>			
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Shannon</u>		6	too fast at time - good Volume & Eye contact
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		5	too fast questions - No did you do claim
C. Baker	(P) Cross Attorney:		4	End of Jaz.
	<u>Sydney Ansh</u>			
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Anna</u>		5	Good Volume
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		4	Good Reclaiming
C. Baker	(P) Cross Attorney:		4	Many questions don't go to real issue
	<u>Katrina Cameron</u>			able to control witness
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Morgan</u>		5	Good Eye contact
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:		4	Reclaiming - did harm - Fictitious enough stand
C. Baker	(P) Cross Attorney:		4	how left test - wrong answer -
	<u>Cameron</u>			
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Ansh</u>	4		Not Beyond Reasonable Doubt -
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Sydney</u>		6	Good Reference to Verdict - do more!
				Good Volume Good Reclaiming of Evidence
TOTAL SCORE		46	53	Judge's Initials: <u>ADS</u>

Team 2, Round 4 (Def. Lodi #2 89-85)

2018 PRACTICE SCORESHEET

PLAINT: Lodi #2

DEF: Brookfield

ROUND: 4

OPENING STATEMENT		P	D	JUDGE'S COMMENTS
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Nathan S.</u>	<u>8</u>		<u>Nice passionate telling of the story - good voice</u>
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Chandra C.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>well organized - good eye contact good job w/ the "heart" of the defense</u>
PLAINTIFF CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	<u>Norman N.</u>	<u>6</u>		<u>look at judge a jury while testifying good voice, some good facts - way to push things</u>
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			
K. Campbell	<u>Nathan S.</u>	<u>7</u>		<u>good job with exhibits - good structure of the questions</u>
	(D) Cross Attorney:			
	<u>Chandra C.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>good control of the witness - good structure of your questioning.</u>
2nd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	<u>Morgan L.</u>	<u>7</u>		<u>good eye contact - good pace on your speech. good use of facts and</u>
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			
K. Campbell	<u>Julia W.</u>	<u>9</u>		<u>proper use of exhibits. good voice and structure of questions</u>
	(D) Cross Attorney:			
	<u>Chandra C.</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>good power this cross as well - nice "boxing in" of the witness</u>
3rd Witness	(P) Witness - Student Name:			
P. Blatt	<u>Sage N.</u>	<u>6</u>		<u>good voice + character. some nerves but great presentation</u>
E. Gran	(P) Direct Attorney:			
K. Campbell	<u>Olivia L.</u>	<u>8</u>		<u>great job with your focus on the witness and exhibits</u>
	(D) Cross Attorney:			
	<u>Cameron K.</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>good conversational tone + good clarifying questions with this witness</u>
DEFENSE CASE		P	D	
1st Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Rush Kasturi</u>		<u>6</u>	<u>Nice character in the testimony - good use of facts. Focus eye contact</u>
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:			
C. Baker	<u>Chandra C.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>Nice use of questions to tell a story good conversational tone + rapport w/ witness</u>
	(P) Cross Attorney:			
	<u>Olivia L.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>good job pinning down the witness</u>
2nd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Maggie L.</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>Nice character portrayal at start carried through w/ the story very well</u>
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:			
C. Baker	<u>Cameron K.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>good job allowing the witness to tell the story on this one.</u>
	(P) Cross Attorney:			
	<u>Nathan S.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>Nice x-examination time but push further on it. but sure don't go too far</u>
3rd Witness	(D) Witness - Student Name:			
J. Green	<u>Rishi Saeed</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>good knowledge of the facts + characterization. eye contact</u>
B. Markings	(D) Direct Attorney:			
C. Baker	<u>Chandra C.</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>focused well on your witness and allowed him to tell story</u>
	(P) Cross Attorney:			
	<u>Julia W.</u>		<u>9</u>	
CLOSING ARGUMENT		P	D	
(P) Name of Attorney:	<u>Julia W.</u>	<u>9</u>		<u>Nice closing - dynamic voice - well done would have been a 10 if memorized.</u>
(D) Name of Attorney:	<u>Cameron K.</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>See above comments same thing, great job!</u>
TOTAL SCORE		P	D	Judge's Initials:
		<u>95</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>

Brookfield Academy Scrimmage (1/20/18)

Team 1, Round 1 (Def. Lodi #3 84-75)

Prosecution/Plaintiff: <u>BC 1 (1538)</u>		Defense/Respondent: <u>Lodi: 3</u>	
(Team I.D. #)		(Team I.D. #)	
DATE: <u>1/20/18</u>		ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) <u>①</u> 2 3 4	
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.			
Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8
		Outstanding 9-10	
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Adarna</u>		8	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Adarna</u>	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: <u>Blair</u>	Cross-Examination		7
<u>Adarsh</u>	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Tylan</u>	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: <u>Gran</u>	Cross-Examination		7
<u>Sawta</u>	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: <u>Campbell</u>	Cross-Examination		6
<u>Gwen</u>	Witness Presentation	6	
Defense/Defendant <u>A</u>	Direct Examination		6
First Witness: <u>Green</u> <u>Adarna</u>	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: <u>Malkins</u> <u>Tylan</u>	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: <u>Baker</u> <u>Lili</u>	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Tylan</u>		9	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		84	75
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		<u>P</u> or D	

Team 1, Round 2 (Def. Shorewood #2 98-89)

Prosecution/Plaintiff Shorewood II Defense/Respondent 1538 BC
(Team LD. #) (Team LD. #)

DATE: 1/20/18

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>52</u> <u>T. Jenna A. Elmore</u>		9	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Peter</u>	Direct Examination <u>Peter</u>	<u>9</u>	
First Witness: <u>Blair</u> <u>Aparna</u> <u>Aparna</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Aparna</u>		10
<u>Robert</u>	Witness Presentation <u>Robert</u>	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Maddie</u>	Direct Examination <u>Maddie</u>	8	
Second Witness: <u>Becca</u> <u>Sania</u> <u>Sania</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Sania</u>		8
<u>Gracie</u> <u>Gracie</u>	Witness Presentation <u>Joshua</u>	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Jenna</u>	Direct Examination <u>Jenna</u>	8	
Third Witness: <u>Campbell</u> <u>Lili</u> <u>Elmore</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Elmore</u>		9
<u>Olivia</u>	Witness Presentation <u>Olivia</u>	10	
Defense/Defendant <u>Aparna</u> <u>Aparna</u>	Direct Examination <u>Aparna</u>		9
First Witness: <u>Green</u> <u>Peter</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Peter</u>	8	
<u>Adarsh</u> <u>Adarsh</u>	Witness Presentation <u>Adarsh</u>		<u>10</u>
Defense/Defendant <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination <u>Elmore</u>		9
Second Witness: <u>Markings</u> <u>Tylan</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Terra</u>	8	
<u>Tylan</u>	Witness Presentation <u>Tylan</u>		10
Defense/Defendant <u>Sania</u>	Direct Examination <u>Sania</u>		8
Third Witness: <u>Baker</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Maddie</u>	6	
<u>Gwen</u>	Witness Presentation <u>Gwen</u>		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Aparna</u> <u>Peter / Aparna</u>		7	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		89	98
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

Team 1, Round 3 (Lost to Whitefish Bay #1 91-85)

Prosecution/Plaintiff: BCI (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: WFB1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: _____

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Adarna</u>		8	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Adarna</u>	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: <u>BCI</u> <u>Blair</u>	Cross-Examination		8
<u>Adarsh</u>	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>BCI</u> <u>Grom</u>	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: <u>Tylan</u>	Cross-Examination		8
<u>Suiza</u>	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>BCI</u> <u>Grom</u>	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: <u>WFB</u> <u>Campbell</u>	Cross-Examination		8
<u>Grom</u>	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant <u>WFB</u> <u>Campbell</u>	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: <u>WFB</u> <u>Green</u>	Cross-Examination	9	
<u>Adarna</u>	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant <u>WFB</u> <u>Markings</u>	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: <u>Tylan</u>	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant <u>WFB</u> <u>Bo-Roy</u>	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: <u>WFB</u>	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Tylan</u>		7	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		85	91
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or <u>D</u>	

Please deliver ballot to scorer before debate.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL REMAINS VERIFICATION

Team 2, Round 1 (Def. Beaver Dam #2 89-74)

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Beaver Dam II (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: B. C. II (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/20/18

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
----------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	---------------------

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>chandra</u>		6	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>chandra</u>	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness: <u>Perry Blattchandra</u>	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: <u>Ellis Gran</u>	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: <u>Karla Campbell</u>	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	7	9
Defense/Defendant <u>chandra</u>	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: <u>Jesse Green</u>	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant <u>Camdon</u>	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: <u>Bobby Marlings</u>	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant <u>Kate</u>	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: <u>CHARLIE BAKER</u>	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Kate</u>		7	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		N/A	N/A
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		74	89
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or <u>D</u>	

Team 2, Round 2 (Lost to Shorewood #3 83-75)

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Drachfield Central II (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Shorewood III (Team I.D. #)

DATE: _____

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Cameron/Kara</u> <u>Cameron</u>				
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>ANSh</u>	Direct Examination <u>Ansh</u>	7		7
First Witness: <u>Black (Chandra)</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Kara</u>			8
<u>Chandra</u>	Witness Presentation	8		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Cameron</u>	Direct Examination <u>Kara</u>	8		
Second Witness: <u>Gera (Maggie)</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Laurelei</u>			9
<u>Maggie</u>	Witness Presentation	8		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Nabil</u>	Direct Examination <u>Ansh</u>	6		
Third Witness: <u>Lumpbell (Asher)</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Ansh</u>			7
<u>Aashir</u>	Witness Presentation	6		
Defense/Defendant <u>Nabil</u>	Direct Examination <u>Laurelei</u>			7
First Witness: <u>Dsher (Jan)</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Nabil</u>	6		
	Witness Presentation			7
Defense/Defendant <u>ANSh</u>	Direct Examination <u>Kara</u>			8
Second Witness: <u>Green (Ava)</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Ansh</u>	5		
	Witness Presentation			8
Defense/Defendant <u>Cameron</u>	Direct Examination <u>Ansh</u>			7
Third Witness: <u>Markings (Georgia)</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Cameron</u>	7		
	Witness Presentation			7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>ANSh</u>				
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.				
			75	83
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P or <u>D</u>	

Team 2, Round 3 (Lost to Whitefish Bay #2 91-90)

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Blentz 2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: WFBay 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1-20-18 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Cambon</u>			8	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Kate</u> Direct Examination			8	
First Witness: <u>Blart</u> Cross-Examination				8
<u>Chandra</u> Witness Presentation			9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Cambon</u> Direct Examination			8	
Second Witness: <u>Dran.</u> Cross-Examination				8
<u>Maggie</u> Witness Presentation			8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Nash</u> Direct Examination			8	
Third Witness: <u>Campbell</u> Cross-Examination				9
<u>Aashir</u> Witness Presentation			8	
Defense/Defendant <u>Cambon</u> Direct Examination				8
First Witness: <u>Markings</u> Cross-Examination			8	
				9
Defense/Defendant <u>Kate</u> Direct Examination				8 9
Second Witness: <u>Dioen.</u> Cross-Examination			8	
				9 10
Defense/Defendant <u>Nash</u> Direct Examination				8
Third Witness: <u>Baker</u> Cross-Examination			9	
				9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Kate</u>			8	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.			90	87
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION


Regionals (Waukesha County Courthouse, 3/10/18)

Team #1: Overall 2-2, finished 8th out of 15 teams)

Wisconsin High School		MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT		TEAM SCORE SUMMARY SHEET		
Fill out for each team and hand out with the score sheets.						
Team #: <u>1538</u>		Team Name: <u>Brookfield Central High School #</u>				
ROUND	OPPONENT	WIN LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS	SIDE	ROOM
1.	<u>1812</u>	<u>W</u> L	<u>2</u>	<u>184</u> - <u>167</u>	<u>P</u> D	---
2.	<u>1525</u>	W <u>L</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>156</u> - <u>162</u>	<u>P</u> D	---
3.	<u>1553</u>	W <u>L</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>193</u> - <u>184</u>	<u>P</u> D	---
4.	<u>1665</u>	<u>W</u> L	<u>3</u>	189 <u>190</u> - <u>130</u>	P <u>D</u>	---
TOTALS		Win Loss Record: <u>2-2</u>	Ballots: <u>6</u>	Points: <u>722</u>		

Team #1: Round #1 (def. Hartford 184-167)

WISCONSIN HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1538 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1812 (Team I.D. #)


DATE: 2/10/15 11:00 AM ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Apenna</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Apenna</u>	Direct Examination	<u>8</u>	
First Witness: <u>B. Lort</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>7</u>
<u>Adarsh</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Ty Law</u>	Direct Examination	<u>8</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Ellis</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>
<u>GWSN</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>9</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination	<u>8</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Carla</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>9</u>
<u>GWSN</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
First Witness: <u>Lili</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Second Witness: <u>Ty Law</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>9</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
Third Witness: <u>GWSN</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>10</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>9</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Ty Law</u>		<u>10</u>	<u>7</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>91</u>	<u>74</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		<u>P</u> or D <u>80</u>	

Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1538 Defense/Respondent: 1812
(Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/10/18 TJ, P, I, P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Aparna</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Aparna</u>	Direct Examination	<u>9</u>	
First Witness: <u>Blair</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
<u>Ashley</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>8</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Tylan</u>	Direct Examination	<u>9</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Ellis</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>7</u>
<u>Savva</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>8</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination	<u>8</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Karla</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
<u>Gwen</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant <u>B</u>	Direct Examination		<u>9</u>
First Witness: <u>Baker Lili</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>8</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>9</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
Second Witness: <u>Melissa Tylan</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Third Witness: <u>Gwen Aparna</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>9</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Tylan</u>		<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		<u>92</u>	<u>87</u>

Team #1: Round #2 (lost to Kettle Moraine 162-156)

WISCONSIN HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1538 Defense/Respondent: 1525
(Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2.10.18 T2, R2, P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Aparna</u>			<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Aparna</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
First Witness:	<u>BLURT</u> <u>Blurt</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>7</u>
	<u>Adarsh</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Tylan</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
Second Witness:	<u>GRAN</u> <u>Ellis</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>
	<u>Surva</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>hzi</u>	Direct Examination	<u>5</u>	
Third Witness:	<u>CHHIPPELL</u> <u>Karla</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
	<u>Gwssu</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>6</u>	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
First Witness:	<u>GREEN</u> <u>Aparna</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>8</u>	
		Witness Presentation	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		<u>6</u>
Second Witness:	<u>MICKINS</u> <u>Tylan</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
		Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Third Witness:	<u>BAKER</u> <u>Lili</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>5</u>	
		Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Tylan</u>			<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			<u>74</u>	<u>75</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)				P or <u>D</u>

WISCONSIN HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1538 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1525 (Team I.D. #)



DATE: 2/10/18 TZ, RZ, P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Aparna</u> <u>Tyler</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Aparna</u>	<u>8</u>	
First Witness:	<u>B. Lort</u>		<u>8</u>
	<u>Adarsh</u>	<u>9</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Tyler</u>	<u>5</u>	
Second Witness:	<u>Greene</u>		<u>7</u>
	<u>Santa</u>	<u>8</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>P. Lili</u>	<u>6</u>	
Third Witness:	<u>Quinn</u>		<u>8</u>
	<u>Karla</u>	<u>7</u>	
	<u>Gwen</u>		
Defense/Defendant			<u>8</u>
First Witness:	<u>Green</u>	<u>9</u>	
	<u>Aparna</u>		<u>9</u>
Defense/Defendant			<u>6</u>
Second Witness:	<u>Markings</u>	<u>9</u>	
	<u>Tyler</u>		<u>9</u>
Defense/Defendant	<u>Baker</u>		<u>8</u>
Third Witness:	<u>421</u>	<u>7</u>	
			<u>9</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Tyler</u> <u>Tyler</u> <u>A Lily</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>82</u>	<u>87</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D <u>(2)</u>	

Team #1: Round #3 (lost to Brookfield Academy #3 on ballot, won on points 193-184)

				SCORE SHEET
Prosecution/Plaintiff: <u>1538</u> <small>(Team I.D. #)</small>		Defense/Respondent: <u>1553</u> <small>(Team I.D. #)</small>		
DATE: <u>2/10/18</u>		ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 <u>3</u> 4		
<p>Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.</p>				
Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> PROSECUTION DEFENSE </div>		
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Adanna</u>		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> 9 9 </div>		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Adanna</u>	Direct Examination	10		
First Witness: <u>Blair</u> Adanna	Cross-Examination	9		
<u>Adanna</u>	Witness Presentation	10		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Ty Low</u>	Direct Examination	9		
Second Witness: <u>Green</u> <u>Ellis</u>	Cross-Examination	9		
<u>Savina</u>	Witness Presentation	10		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination	8		
Third Witness: <u>Campbell</u> <u>Karla</u>	Cross-Examination	7		
<u>Gusw</u>	Witness Presentation	6		
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	9	
First Witness: <u>Martha</u> <u>Ty Low</u>	Cross-Examination	9		
	Witness Presentation	10		
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: <u>Green</u> <u>Adanna</u>	Cross-Examination	10		
	Witness Presentation	10		
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness: <u>Becker</u> <u>Lili</u>	Cross-Examination	8		
	Witness Presentation	10		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Ty Low</u>		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> 8 8 </div>		
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> 97 99 </div>		
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or <u>D</u>		

MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: ~~1524~~ **1538** (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: ~~1526~~ **1553** (Team I.D. #)

DATE: **2/10/18** **T2, P3, P** ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 **3** 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Aparna			10	10
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Aparna	Direct Examination	10	
First Witness:	Blairt	Cross-Examination		8
	Adarsh	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Tylan	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness:	Ellis	Cross-Examination		9
	Savita	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Lili	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Karla	Cross-Examination		6
	Gwen	Witness Presentation	6	
Defense/Defendant	Tylan	Direct Examination		9
First Witness:	Markings	Cross-Examination	9	
		Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		5
Second Witness:	Green	Cross-Examination	10	
	Aparna	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	Baker	Cross-Examination	8	
	Lili	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylan			8	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			96	85

P or D

Team #1: Round #4 (def. Oconomowoc #2 190-130)



Wisconsin High School
**MOCK TRIAL
TOURNAMENT**



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: ~~1538~~ 1665 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1538 (Team I.D. #)
 DATE: 2/10/18 TJ, RYD ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
 Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
----------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	---------------------

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Lili</u>			<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination		<u>6</u>	
First Witness: <u>Aparna</u>	Cross-Examination			<u>10</u>
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination		<u>5</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Savita</u>	Cross-Examination			<u>8</u>
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination		<u>6</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Lili</u>	Cross-Examination			<u>9</u>
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination			<u>9</u>
First Witness: <u>Adarsh</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>	
<u>Gwen</u>	Witness Presentation			<u>9</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination			<u>9</u>
Second Witness: <u>Bobby</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>6</u>	
<u>Tyler</u>	Witness Presentation			<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination			<u>8</u>
Third Witness: <u>Savita</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>7</u>	
<u>Karla</u>	Witness Presentation			<u>8</u>
<u>Gwen</u>				<u>8</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Aparna</u>			<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TIEBREAKER: (circle one) <u>Chen</u>			<u>69</u>	P or D <u>97</u>

Wisconsin High School **MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT**



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1665 Defense/Respondent: 1538
 (Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/10/18 +1 P.D. ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
 Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective Fair Good Excellent Outstanding
 1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Lili</u>		<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
First Witness: <u>P. Blunt</u> <u>Apurna</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>9</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>8</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
Second Witness: <u>E. Gian</u> <u>Sawra</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>K Campbell</u> <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>6</u>	
Defense/Defendant <u>Apurna</u>	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
First Witness: <u>J. Green</u> <u>Green</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>6</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>9</u>
Defense/Defendant <u>Lili</u>	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
Second Witness: <u>B. Markings</u> <u>Bobby</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>6</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>9</u>
Defense/Defendant <u>Sawra</u>	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
Third Witness: <u>C. Butler</u> <u>Karla</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>5</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Apurna</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		<u>61</u>	P or <u>D</u> <u>93</u>

Team #2: Overall 3-1, finished 5th out of 16 teams)

Wisconsin High School
**MOCK TRIAL
TOURNAMENT**



**TEAM SCORE
SUMMARY SHEET**

Fill out for each team and hand out with the score sheets.

Team #: 1539

Team Name: BC #2
Brookfield Central
High School #2

ROUND	OPPONENT	WIN LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS	SIDE	ROOM
1.	<u>1806</u>	<u>W</u> L	<u>3</u>	<u>153</u> - <u>135</u>	<u>P</u> D	—
2.	<u>1665</u>	<u>W</u> L	<u>3</u>	<u>179</u> - <u>155</u>	<u>P</u> D	—
3.	<u>1525</u>	<u>W</u> L	<u>2</u>	<u>134</u> - <u>133</u>	<u>P</u> D	—
4.	<u>1554</u>	W <u>L</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>125</u> - <u>142</u>	<u>P</u> D	—
TOTALS	Win Loss Record: <u>3-1</u>		Ballots: <u>8</u>	Points: <u>591</u>		

Team #2: Round #1 (def. Oconomowoc #3 143-135)

Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1539 Defense/Respondent: 1806
(Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)


DATE: 2/10/18 T2, P2, P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	<u>Cameron</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>ANSH</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
First Witness: <u>Perry Blunt Pym</u>	<u>Andrew</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>
		Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Ellis Cameron</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Ellis</u>	<u>Magniz</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>4</u>
		Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Nabil</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Karl</u>	<u>Asghar</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>4</u>
		Witness Presentation	<u>8</u>	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		<u>6</u>
First Witness: <u>Jessie</u>	<u>ANSH</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
		Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		<u>6</u>
Second Witness: <u>Brian</u>	<u>Cameron</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>6</u>	
		Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		<u>6</u>
Third Witness: <u>Charlie</u>	<u>Nabil</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>6</u>	
		Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	<u>ANSH</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			<u>64</u>	<u>61</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P or D	

Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1539 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1806 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/10/2018 T2, P1, P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Cameron</u>		<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Ansh</u>	Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
First Witness: <u>Perry Blart Perry</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>9 9</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Cameron</u>	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Ellis Grant Ellis</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>6</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Nabil</u>	Direct Examination	<u>8</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Carl Campbell</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
First Witness: <u>Jesse Gordon</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>8</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
Second Witness: <u>Bobby N. Cameron</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>8</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Third Witness: <u>Charles B. Nabil</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Ansh</u>		<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>79</u>	<u>74</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Team #2: Round #2 (def. Oconomowoc #2 179-152)



Wisconsin High School
**MOCK TRIAL
TOURNAMENT**



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1665 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 6539 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/10/18 72,820 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

**Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.**

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
----------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	---------------------

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Emily / Andrew</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination <u>Emily</u>	<u>6</u>	
First Witness: <u>Perry Blunt - Andrew</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Andrew</u>		<u>9</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination <u>Emily</u>	<u>7</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Kyle Campbell - Kate</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Kate</u>		<u>8</u>
<u>Third</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination <u>Emily</u>	<u>4</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Ellen Grant - Cameron</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Cameron</u>		<u>8</u>
<u>Second</u>	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination <u>Andrew</u>		<u>9</u>
First Witness: <u>Jesse Green - Andrew</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Emily</u>	<u>7</u>	
<u>Andrew</u>	Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination <u>Cameron</u>		<u>8</u>
Second Witness: <u>Bobby Mackin - Bobby</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Emily</u>	<u>9</u>	
<u>Maggie</u>	Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination <u>Kate</u>		<u>8</u>
Third Witness: <u>Charlie Baker - Charlie</u>	Cross-Examination <u>Emily</u>	<u>7</u>	
<u>Ashtyn</u>	Witness Presentation		<u>9</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Emily, Kate</u>		<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>77</u>	<u>93</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

Wisconsin High School



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1665 Defense/Respondent: 1539
(Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)


DATE: 2/10/2018 TZ, RZ, D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.


Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	<u>Zoey Kroening</u> Emily Kehl / <u>Andrew</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Emily Kehl</u> Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
First Witness:	<u>Andrew Ng</u> <u>Andrew</u> Cross-Examination		<u>9</u>
	<u>Summer Arnold</u> Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Emily Kehl</u> Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
Second Witness:	<u>Cameron Cameron</u> Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
	<u>Natalie Cram</u> Witness Presentation	<u>6</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Zoey Kroening</u> Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
Third Witness:	<u>Kate Syler</u> <u>Kate</u> Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
	<u>Sarah Letscher</u> Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	<u>Andrew</u> <u>Andrew</u> Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
First Witness:	<u>Zoey Kroening</u> <u>Grey</u> Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
	<u>Ansh Kasturi</u> <u>Ansh</u> Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	<u>Cameron</u> <u>Cameron</u> Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Second Witness:	<u>Zoey Kroening</u> <u>Bobby</u> Cross-Examination	<u>8</u>	
	<u>Maagie Larose</u> <u>Maagie</u> Witness Presentation		<u>8</u>
Defense/Defendant	<u>Kate</u> <u>Kate</u> Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Third Witness:	<u>Emily Kehl</u> <u>Charlie</u> Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
	<u>Aashir</u> <u>Aashir</u> Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	<u>Emily Kehl</u> / <u>Kate</u> <u>Andrew</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>78</u>	<u>- 2 86</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or <u>(D)</u>	

Team #2: Round #3 (def. Kettle Moraine 136-126)



MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1525 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1539 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/10/18 T2, P3, D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
----------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	---------------------

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Andrew</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
First Witness: <u>Gran Camron</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>7</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	<u>7</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Blair Andrew</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>6</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>8</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	<u>6</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Camperell Katz</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>8</u>
	Witness Presentation	<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>6</u>
First Witness: <u>Green Andrew</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>7</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>7</u>
Second Witness: <u>Camron Bobby</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>6</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		<u>8</u>
Third Witness: <u>Katz Charlotte</u>	Cross-Examination	<u>6</u>	
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Katz</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>04</u>	<u>04-10m</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		<u>74</u>	P or D <u>75</u>

Wisconsin High School **MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT**



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1525 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1539 (Team I.D. #)



DATE: 2/10/18 T2, R3, D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
 Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.


Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10
----------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	---------------------

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Andrew</u>			<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination		<u>4</u>	
First Witness: <u>Camron</u>	Cross-Examination			<u>8</u>
	Witness Presentation		<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination		<u>6</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Andrew</u>	Cross-Examination			<u>6</u>
	Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination		<u>5</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Kate</u>	Cross-Examination			<u>4</u>
	Witness Presentation		<u>6</u>	
Defense/Defendant <u>Andrew</u>	Direct Examination			<u>6</u>
First Witness: <u>Green</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>	
<u>Ansh</u>	Witness Presentation			<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant <u>Camron</u>	Direct Examination			<u>5</u>
Second Witness: <u>Bobby</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>	
<u>Maggie</u>	Witness Presentation			<u>5</u>
Defense/Defendant <u>Kate</u>	Direct Examination			<u>5</u>
Third Witness: <u>Charli</u>	Cross-Examination		<u>5</u>	
<u>Aashir</u>	Witness Presentation			<u>5</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Kate</u>			<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			<u>62</u>	<u>-1 for 1m</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			<u>62</u>	P or D <u>61</u> <u>61</u>

Team #2: Round #4 (lost to Brookfield Academy 142-125)

				SCORE SHEET	
Prosecution/Plaintiff: <u>1539</u>		Defense/Respondent: <u>1554</u>			
(Team I.D. #)		(Team I.D. #)			
DATE: <u>2-10-2018</u>		<u>12:24 P</u>		ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 <u>4</u>	
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.					
Not Effective 1-2	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10	
			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS <u>Cameron</u>			<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Ansh</u> Direct Examination			<u>6</u>		
First Witness: <u>Pam</u> Cross-Examination				<u>9</u>	
<u>Andrew</u> Witness Presentation			<u>5</u>		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Cameron</u> Direct Examination			<u>7</u>		
Second Witness: <u>Ellis</u> Cross-Examination				<u>6</u>	
<u>Maggie</u> Witness Presentation			<u>7</u>		
Prosecution/Plaintiff <u>Nabil</u> Direct Examination			<u>6</u>		
Third Witness: <u>Karl</u> Cross-Examination				<u>6</u>	
<u>Ashtyn</u> Witness Presentation			<u>6</u>		
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination				<u>7</u>	
First Witness: <u>Nabil</u> Cross-Examination			<u>6</u>		
				<u>8</u>	
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination				<u>5</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Cameron</u> Cross-Examination			<u>6</u>		
				<u>7</u>	
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination				<u>5</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Ansh</u> Cross-Examination			<u>4</u>		
				<u>6</u>	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS <u>Ansh</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			<u>64</u>	<u>75</u>	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)				P or <u>D</u>	

WISCONSIN HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1539 Defense/Respondent: 1554
(Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2-10-18 12:41P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective 1-2 Fair 3-4 Good 5-6 Excellent 7-8 Outstanding 9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	<u>Cameron</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Ansh</u>	<u>6</u>	
First Witness: <u>Perry Black</u>	<u>Perry</u>		<u>7</u>
	<u>Andrew</u>	<u>6</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Cameron</u>	<u>8</u>	
Second Witness: <u>Elli</u>	<u>Gravell</u>		<u>6</u>
	<u>Maggie</u>	<u>7</u>	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	<u>Nash</u>	<u>5</u>	
Third Witness: <u>Karl Bumpell</u>	<u>Karl</u>		<u>5</u>
	<u>Aashir</u>	<u>6</u>	
Defense/Defendant			<u>8</u>
First Witness: <u>Charlie Baker</u>	<u>Nash</u>	<u>5</u>	
			<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant			<u>4</u>
Second Witness: <u>Bobby MacCameron</u>		<u>4</u>	
			<u>6</u>
Defense/Defendant			<u>5</u>
Third Witness: <u>Jesse Green</u>	<u>Ansh</u>	<u>5</u>	
			<u>4</u>
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	<u>Ansh</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>7</u>
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		<u>61</u>	<u>67</u>
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or <u>D</u>	

Waukesha Regional - 2/10/2018

Rank		Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Win-Loss	Ballots	Points
1	Brookfield Acad 1554	W / 3 / 171	W / 2 / 170	W / 2 / 142	W / 3 / 142	4 - 0	10	625.00
2	Brookfield Acad 1552	W / 3 / 197	W / 3 / 204	L / 1 / 133	W / 3 / 196	3 - 1	10	730.00
3	Hartford 1812	L / 1 / 167	W / 3 / 173	W / 3 / 199	W / 3 / 161	3 - 1	10	700.00
4	Kettle Moraine 1525	W / 3 / 189	W / 3 / 162	L / 1 / 136	W / 3 / 210	3 - 1	10	697.00
5	Brookfield Central 1539	W / 3 / 153	W / 3 / 179	W / 2 / 134	L / 0 / 125	3 - 1	8	591.00
6	Hartford 1524	L / 1 / 151	L / 1 / 165	W / 3 / 187	W / 3 / 159	2 - 2	8	662.00
7	Wauwatosa West 1507	W / 3 / 169	L / 1 / 169	W / 3 / 137	L / 0 / 160	2 - 2	7	635.00
8	Brookfield Central 1538	W / 2 / 184	L / 0 / 156	L / 1 / 193	W / 3 / 189	2 - 2	6	722.00
9	Kettle Moraine 1526	L / 0 / 163	W / 3 / 164	L / 0 / 167	W / 3 / 190	2 - 2	6	684.00
10	Waukesha West 1804	L / 0 / 151	W / 2 / 158	W / 3 / 180	L / 0 / 145	2 - 2	5	634.00
11	Brookfield Acad 1553	W / 2 / 170	L / 0 / 193	W / 2 / 184	L / 0 / 155	2 - 2	4	702.00
12	Pewaukee 1534	L / 0 / 174	L / 0 / 143	L / 0 / 167	Bye / 0 / 161	1 - 3	0	645.00
13	Oconomowoc 1805	L / 0 / 126	Bye / 0 / 155	L / 0 / 169	L / 0 / 170	1 - 3	0	620.00
14	Oconomowoc 1665	Bye / 0 / 139	L / 0 / 155	L / 0 / 122	L / 0 / 140	1 - 3	0	556.00
15	Oconomowoc 1806	L / 0 / 131	L / 0 / 143	Bye / 0 / 125	L / 0 / 100	1 - 3	0	499.00