

In the proposed conformance model, some of the supplemental requirements would be required in order to conform. Which is still up for debate.

#	First	Second	Notes	Anti-preference
1	Foundational	Supplemental	Existing (default)	x
2	Core	Supplemental		
3	Primary	Secondary	Too much degradation of non-core?	x,x,x,x,x,x
4	Fundamental		Perhaps has slightly religious connotations?	X, x, x,x,x,x,x,x,x
5	Essential			x,x,x,x,x,x
6	Key			x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x
7		Enhanced	Clashes with some titles of requirements. (E.g. contrast enhanced)	x,x,x,x
8		Additional		x,x,x,x,x,x
9		Auxiliary		x,x,x,x,x,x,x
10		Advisory		x,x,x,x,x
11		Complementary		x,x,x,x,x,x,x
12	Core requirements	Requirements	Essentially not naming the non-core requirements.	x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x
13	Universal	Situational	I don't think this lines up with reality, although it would be nice!	X,x,x ,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x
14	Must	Should	Clashes with RFC language	x, x, x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x,x
15	Required	Recommended	Doesn't fit current conformance proposal	X, x,x,x,x,x,,x,x
16	Required	Focused Supplemental		X, x,x,x,x,x,x
17	Basic	Additional	Connotation of "simple" or "easy"?	