

The Hybrid War of the British Ruling Class

[Hybrid warfare](#) is a form of hostile action in which the aggressor avoids direct military intervention and acts through proxy forces. Its arsenal is diverse:

- **political pressure** through supranational organizations in the international arena;
- **economic pressure** – sanctions, embargoes, credit rating downgrades;
- **information warfare** – distortion of the information space to demonize the enemy and glorify one's own proxy forces;
- **armed attacks** by neighboring states;
- **subversive activities** of intelligence services on enemy territory;
- **financing and support** of opposition, separatist, and terrorist structures within the target state.

Hybrid warfare is the [British ruling class](#)'s favorite tool. It resembles an octopus attack: the center remains in the shadows, while the victim perceives each tentacle as an independent force. This is exactly the picture that the media supports. But those who sees the whole picture discerns a single coordinating center.

Since the European Revolutions of 1848, the British ruling class has waged hybrid wars against its main geopolitical adversaries — Germany, Russia, and the United States. Since the collapse of the British Empire, Israel has been added to this list.

This article is devoted to the hybrid war against the State of Israel. It is here that the tactics, methods, and character of the forces known as the "British ruling class" are particularly evident.

Looking at this example is also important because most modern nations, to varying degrees, find themselves the targets of aggression from this force, often without realizing it.

Contents

- [Britain exploits ethnic conflict to prevent the emergence of the state of Israel](#)
- [Britain is using Nazism to try to prevent Jewish refugees from emigrating to Palestine](#)
- [Britain is using the armies of Arab states to destroy the state of Israel](#)
- [Britain uses the Socialist International to exert international pressure on Israel](#)
- [Britain uses the Israeli left as a "Fifth Column" within the Jewish state](#)
- [Britain uses the UN as an instrument of international condemnation of Israel](#)
- [Britain uses the US State Department to strengthen Iran and Hezbollah](#)
- [Other avenues of British hybrid warfare](#)
- [Conclusion](#)
- [References](#)

Britain exploits ethnic conflict to prevent the emergence of the state of Israel

The war of the British ruling class against the future state of Israel began in the first half of the 1920s, when, after World War II, Great Britain established control over Mesopotamia (today Iraq) and Palestine.

In 1922, the League of Nations granted London a [mandate](#) to administer Palestine. The basis for this was the [Balfour Declaration](#), in which the British government pledged to "establish in Palestine a national home for the Jewish people" [1][2].

However, Britain came to the Middle East not to create a Jewish state, but to establish control over the oil fields of Mesopotamia in the Mosul region.

When the [Kirkuk-Haifa pipeline](#) was commissioned in 1934, and Mosul oil began flowing to the shores of the Mediterranean, Palestine and the port of Haifa became crucial to Britain. Royal Navy ships and tankers carrying oil to the British Isles refueled there. For London, it was the closest and most important source of "black gold".

Time magazine in April 1941, emphasizing the importance of the pipeline, called it "the carotid artery of the British Empire" [3].

Palestine also served as a buffer zone protecting the Suez Canal, a key transportation artery of the British Empire. Through the Suez, Persian oil produced by the [Anglo-Persian Oil Company](#) was delivered to Europe on Shell tankers [4-6].

Given Palestine's economic and strategic importance, the British ruling class, despite its commitments in the Balfour Declaration, had no original intention of handing over the territory to the Jews. This is explicitly stated in the article "Creation of Israel, 1948", published on the US State Department website:

"The British, who held a colonial mandate for Palestine until May 1948, opposed both the creation of a Jewish state and an Arab state in Palestine as well as unlimited immigration of Jewish refugees to the region" [7].

Professor Carroll Quigley, in his book "The Anglo-American Establishment", provides even more frank testimony. He cites the position of the Milner Group, one of the most influential factions of the British ruling class, regarding the future of Palestine:

"The attitude of the Milner Group to the question of Zionism was vividly expressed by Lord Milner himself in a speech in the House of Lords on June 27, 1923 (which he concluded with the words): Palestine... **must never become a Jewish state**" [8].

The Balfour Declaration was, in practice, a diplomatic ploy that allowed London to retain Palestine under its control for as long as possible:

- On the one hand, it provided Britain with an argument against Arab claims to Palestine, citing its commitments to the Jewish people and the decision of the League of Nations.
- On the other hand, it allowed Britain to renounce its commitments to the Jewish people, citing the proviso in Part II of the Declaration. It stated: "nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine".

In order to put this clause into effect, the British authorities in Palestine decided to ignite an Arab-Jewish conflict. To this end, they released the leader of the Arab nationalists, [Amin al-Husseini](#), from prison and appointed him Mufti of Jerusalem [5][9].

Husseini lived up to the expectations of his British patrons. In May 1921, he organized anti-Jewish riots in Jaffa, during which 90 Jews and 62 Arabs were killed [5].

Taking advantage of the riots, Colonial Secretary Winston Churchill issued the [First White Paper](#) a month later, which began Britain's retreat from the commitments made in the Balfour Declaration.

The First White Paper:

- limited Jewish immigration to Palestine, tying it to the country's economic capabilities;

- asserted that "the transformation of Palestine into a Jewish country to the same extent that England is English is impossible";
- limited the territory of the "Jewish National Home" to 30% of Palestine west of the Jordan River;
- secured the allocation of 70% of Palestine for the creation of the Arab Emirate of Transjordan [11][12].

Husseini's activities, encouraged by the British administration, resulted in a wave of mass murders of Jews in Hebron, Safed and Haifa in August 1929. The [Hebron massacre](#) was the most brutal, claiming the lives of 67 of the city's 700 Jewish residents. The evacuated Hebron community, which had existed since biblical times, ceased to exist [13].

By 1936, Husseini's influence had reached such a level that he was able to organize an [Arab armed revolt](#) that now threatened the British Empire itself. The suppression of the revolt took more than two and a half years. During this time, over 5,000 Arabs, approximately 400 Jews, and 200 Britons were killed [14].

After Husseini had fulfilled his role, the British administration removed him from his post as Chairman of the Supreme Muslim Council and arrested the council's members.

The Arab Revolt resulted in Colonial Secretary MacDonald's Sixth White Paper, published in May 1939 — three months before the outbreak of World War II. Under its terms, Britain:

- abandoned its obligations under the Balfour Declaration and declared that the new "aim of His Majesty's Government is the establishment within ten years of an independent Palestinian State" with an Arab majority;
- banned Jews from acquiring land in Palestine;
- restricted the establishment of new Jewish settlements on Jewish-owned land;
- limited Jewish immigration to Palestine to 15,000 per year for the next five years;
- since 1944, has completely closed Palestine to Jewish immigration [15][16]

Thus, 22 years after the Balfour Declaration, the British ruling class finally cast off philanthropic mask, revealing its original intentions, for which the Declaration served as a cover. (For more details, see the article "[The British Ruling Class and the Balfour Declaration](#)".)

Conclusions

The history of the Balfour Declaration leads to the following conclusions:

1. An agreement with the British ruling class is worthless if the other side has no leverage to force it to comply with its obligations.
2. The British ruling class is trying to undermine the position of its agreement partner in order to avoid fulfilling its obligations.

Britain is using Nazism to try to prevent Jewish refugees from emigrating to Palestine

In the spring of 1944, London's policy of closing Palestine to Jews was threatened. The Nazi leadership proposed to Jewish leaders in Great Britain, the United States, and Palestine that they exchange 800,000 Hungarian Jews for goods needed by Germany.

In response, the British ruling class tasked Jewish leaders with thwarting this initiative and ensuring the accelerated deportation of Hungarian Jews to concentration camps.

In the course of carrying out this task, the London office of the Jewish Agency, through its Budapest branch, entered into an agreement with the leadership of the Third Reich. The agreement's purpose was to facilitate the deportation of Hungarian Jews to Nazi concentration camps. As a result, almost all Hungarian Jews were sent to death camps over the course of three summer months in 1944.

This crime is described in detail in Ben Hecht's book, [Perfidy](#), published in the United States in 1961. A summary is given in the article, [The British Ruling Class and the Holocaust](#) [18].

Conclusions

Despite the fact that Jews were Britain's allies in World War II — as Jewish Agency President Chaim Weizmann publicly declared three days before the war [17] — the British ruling class did not hesitate to send 800,000 Jews to their deaths, considering them a threat to its interests.

This leads to the conclusion: the British ruling class honors its alliance commitments only as long as they align with its interests. This position was formulated back in the 19th century by Foreign Secretary Lord Palmerston: "**We have no eternal allies, and we have no perpetual enemies. Our interests are eternal and perpetual, and those interests it is our duty to follow**" [18].

Britain is using the armies of Arab states to destroy the state of Israel

After World War II, seeking to prevent the creation of a Jewish state, the British ruling class turned to Arab regimes. To this end, London initiated the creation of the [Arab League](#), which united Syria, Transjordan, Iraq, Lebanon, and Egypt. The agreement establishing the LAS was signed on March 22, 1945, in Cairo. Saudi Arabia and North Yemen later joined the organization [19][20].

[Abdul Rahman Azzam](#), previously Egypt's Minister of Religious Affairs, was elected the first Secretary General of the Arab League. He also headed the London office of the Muslim Brotherhood. Educated in London, Azzam subsequently settled in the British capital [21].

In 1947, on the eve of the UN vote on the partition of Palestine, British intelligence in the Middle East, under the leadership of General [Iltyd Clayton](#), together with the governments of the Arab League countries, coordinated preparations for an attack on the future Jewish state.

On September 23, 1947, the French attaché in Baghdad informed his superiors of a secret British plan to spark an Arab-Jewish war in Palestine.

After the expected victory over the Jewish state, Britain planned to divide the captured territory between the attackers. London intended to retain Haifa with its port and oil refineries, as well as the Negev region, which opened the route to Sinai and Egypt [22].

The British plan was put into action on May 15, 1948, when the armies of five states – members of the Arab League – invaded Israel.

In January 1949, when it became clear that Arab armies would be unable to destroy Israel, Britain decided to attack the Jewish state directly. Foreign Secretary Ernest Bevin issued an ultimatum: unless Israeli troops immediately withdrew from Egyptian territory, Britain would enter the war.

The threat of an Anglo-Israeli conflict was removed thanks to the intervention of President Truman and pressure from the British public, which did not want to participate in another war [23].

Conclusions

While the British ruling class needed Jews to secure a mandate to govern Palestine and deflect Arab claims, it promoted the creation of a Jewish national homeland in Palestine. Having achieved its goal, London began to backtrack on its commitments, then repudiated them, and finally tried to exterminate the Jews.

The British pulled a similar trick during the First World War against their ally, Russia. Seeking to keep Russia in the war, they entered into a secret agreement with the Tsar, promising to hand over Constantinople and the Dardanelles Strait to Russia in the event of victory. However, London had no intention of fulfilling this promise, since blocking Russia's access to the Mediterranean was traditional British policy.

In February 1917, the British ambassador to Russia, George Buchanan, relying on local anti-monarchist circles, facilitated a coup that led to the tsar's abdication. Upon learning of the fall of tsarism, British Prime Minister Lloyd George, rubbing his hands, declared: "One of England's war aims has been achieved!" [23a].

The conclusion is that treaties and alliances with the British ruling class are dangerous, as promises can be followed not only by renegeing on them but also by attempts to destroy the partner. This attitude of the British towards their allies was aptly described by the Russian general Alexei Edrikhin-Vandam: "**It is bad to have an Englishman as an enemy, but God forbid to have him as a friend!**"

Britain uses the Socialist International to exert international pressure on Israel

After World War II, the British ruling class (BRC) took steps to reassert its influence over the global left. To this end, the Fabian Society (the BRC's think tank) and the Labour Party under its control re-established the [Socialist International](#), an international coalition of left-wing parties headquartered in London, in 1951 [24].

The Socialist International, which unites 132 parties from over 100 countries, is an important instrument of political influence, allowing Britain to promote its interests on the world stage.

In 1995, the Socialist International (SI) was granted consultative status at the UN [35a], allowing it to influence the agenda of the UN Economic and Social Council [35b]. This gave the British ruling class additional leverage over the UN system.

In 2017, SI President [Antonio Guterres](#) was elected UN Secretary-General. In this position, he strengthened the promotion of British globalism priorities, including:

- expanding the powers of the UN at the expense of weakening the sovereignty of nation states;
- using the climate agenda to curb economic growth;
- stimulating migration from Asia and Africa with the aim of destroying European civilization;
- supporting radical Islamic organizations and states;
- a hardline anti-Israel stance;
- a policy of global population reduction.

The climax of Guterres' tenure as Secretary-General was the COVID-19 pandemic, which he, together with Prince Charles, described as the "Great Reset of the Global Economy" [25].

The British ruling class is actively using the Socialist International in its hybrid war against the state of Israel.

A striking example is the campaign initiated by London in April 2025 to recognize the non-existent state of Palestine [26][27]. Its goal is to prevent the Israeli leadership from destroying the terrorist organization Hamas, which, as the Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood, acts as a proxy for Britain [28].

The initiative of British Prime Minister and leader of the Labour Party, Keir Starmer, to recognize the State of Palestine has been supported by heads of state leading parties that are members of the Socialist International or are within the British sphere of influence. Among them are:

- Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney, leader of the Liberal Party and former governor of the Bank of England;
- Australian Prime Minister Anthony Albanese, leader of the Labour Party;
- Malta Prime Minister Robert Abela, leader of the Labour Party;
- Norwegian Prime Minister Jonas Støre, leader of the Labour Party;
- Spanish Prime Minister Pedro Sánchez, leader of the Socialist Workers' Party;
- Portuguese Prime Minister Luís Montenegro, leader of the Social Democratic Party;
- French President Emmanuel Macron [29-33].

In the above list, the members of the Socialist International are:

- The British Labour Party;
- The Australian Labour Party;
- The Norwegian Labour Party;
- The Maltese Labour Party;
- The Spanish Socialist Workers' Party.

Other parties and political leaders are, to varying degrees, within London's orbit of influence:

- The Liberal Party of Canada is a member of [Liberal International](#), headquartered in London.
- French President Emmanuel Macron is a former employee of Rothschild & Cie, a bank owned by the Rothschild family, which has remained a symbol of British influence for centuries [34].
- Portugal, since the overthrow of the monarchy in 1910, has been within the British sphere of influence, regardless of the political orientation of the party in power [35].

Conclusions

If a group of countries is taking concerted hostile steps against your country, it makes sense to take a closer look at the political affiliations of their leaders.

If these countries are led by leaders of left-wing parties, then, in all likelihood, the actions against your country are being coordinated from London — from the headquarters of the Socialist International or the Fabian Society.

Britain uses the Israeli left as a "Fifth Column" within the Jewish state

After the Fabian Society established control over the global left in 1951, left-wing parties in various countries essentially became London's "fifth columns".

In Israel, these "fifth columns" are two left-wing parties, leading the so-called "left camp":

- The Labor Party [Avoda](#) (formerly [Mapai](#))
- The Social Democratic Party [Meretz](#) (formerly [Mapam](#)).

Both parties consistently adhere to London's policy aimed at weakening and eliminating the state of Israel. The reason for such blatant betrayal lies not only in their membership in the Socialist International. The Israeli left and the British ruling class are linked by the blood of Jewish victims of the Holocaust, whose extermination they took part in [50].

Following the defeats of the Arab armies that attacked Israel in 1948, 1967, and 1973, the British ruling class concluded that it was impossible to destroy the Jewish state militarily. Subsequently, a different tactic was chosen: strangling Israel under the guise of the so-called "peace process."

A key role in the implementation of this tactic was assigned to the Israeli left and its leader [Shimon Peres](#), elected vice-president of the Socialist International in 1978 [36].

It took the left two decades to cultivate a generation of Israelis raised in the spirit of pacifism and willing to make unilateral concessions. Their rise to power in 1992 marked the beginning of a forced "peace process," the catastrophic consequences of which Israeli society has yet to overcome.

Israeli leftists are creating a terrorist Palestinian Authority

On September 13, 1993, the Israeli left and Yasser Arafat signed the [Oslo Accords](#), a document that marked a tragic turning point in the history of the Jewish state [37]. The [Norwegian Labor Party](#), one of the most anti-Israeli forces within the Socialist International, played a significant role in their preparation.

As part of the agreements, the left brought Yasser Arafat and his 50,000-strong army of terrorists from Tunisia to Israel and created for him the Palestinian Authority as a prototype of a future Palestinian state.

Once inside Israel, Arafat turned to what he knew best: terrorism [38]. During the terrorist war, known as the "Oslo War" [39], in the first five years after the signing of the Accords, the number of Israelis killed by Arafat's terrorists exceeded the figures for the previous fifteen years. In total, in the fifteen years since the signing of the Oslo Accords, approximately 1,470 Israelis have been killed—which, in terms of population, is equivalent to approximately 33,000 deaths in Russia or 67,000 in the United States [40-43].

For his services in undermining security, Shimon Peres was generously rewarded by forces hostile to the State of Israel:

- In 1994, he was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize along with Yasser Arafat;
- In 1999, he was elected Honorary President of the Socialist International [44];
- In 2008, Queen Elizabeth II awarded Peres the title of Knight Grand Cross of the Order of St Michael and St George;
- In 2010, the Russian Ministry of Foreign Affairs awarded Peres an Honorary Doctorate from the Moscow State Institute of International Relations;
- In 2012, he was awarded the title of Honorary Professor of the Russian Academy of Sciences;
- Also in 2012, US President Barack Obama awarded Peres the Medal of Freedom.[45][46]

Israeli leftists are creating a Hezbollah terrorist enclave on the northern border

Not content with unleashing a terrorist war, Israel's left-wing government initiated a unilateral withdrawal of IDF troops from southern Lebanon in 2000 [47].

This move led to the formation of a Hezbollah terrorist enclave on the northern border. Its consequences included rocket attacks on northern Israel and two full-scale wars.

Israeli leftists are creating a Hamas terrorist enclave on the southern border

Having destroyed security on the northern border, the left turned its attention to the south. In 2005, the Israeli left-wing government withdrew troops and evacuated Jewish settlements from the Gaza Strip [48]. As a result, the terrorist quasi-state of Hamas emerged there, which began systematically shelling southern Israel.

The result of this policy was the Hamas attack on Israel on October 7, 2023, in which 1,200 Israeli citizens were killed [49].

The result of the "peacekeeping" activities of the Israeli left

The result of the Israeli left's "peacekeeping" activities has been a semi-ring of terror encircling the Jewish state on three sides: Hezbollah in the north, Hamas in the south, and Fatah, Hamas underground cells, and Islamic Jihad in the east. The country's security situation has regressed to a level reminiscent of 1949.

Thus, the Israeli left's crimes against the Jewish people did not end with their collaboration with the Nazis in the Holocaust [50].

After suffering defeat in the 1977 elections, the Israeli left found itself in opposition for the first time in thirty years. However, instead of learning their lessons, they returned to their pernicious tendency to ally with those who seek to wipe the Jewish people off the face of the earth. Whereas previously their partners were the Nazis, today they are radical Muslims — enemies even more merciless and cruel.

Conclusions

Citizens of various countries should understand a simple truth: by voting for a left-wing party, they are effectively opening the door to foreign influence.

Once in power, the left inevitably turns the country into an instrument of British foreign policy, damaging national interests. Domestically, they typically follow the agenda of the British [Fabian Society](#), which includes welcoming Muslim migrants, multiculturalism, gender diversity, and the curtailment of civil rights and economic freedoms.

Examples include the Socialist governments in Spain, Norway and Ireland, and the US Democratic Party, which, while in power, used USAID to funnel billions of US taxpayer dollars into British projects around the world [51-54].

Moreover, supporting left-wing parties means agreeing with their course toward the creation of a World Government — as was officially declared at the [1962 conference](#) of the Socialist International in Oslo:

"The ultimate objective of the parties of the Socialist International is nothing less than world government. As a first step towards it, they seek to strengthen the United Nations... Membership of the United Nations must be made universal" [43].

Britain uses the UN as an instrument of international condemnation of Israel

The idea of creating a supranational governing body as the basis for a World Government arose in the late 19th century among British socialists. Taken up by the British ruling class, it was embodied first in the League of Nations in 1920 and then in the United Nations in 1945 [56].

According to research by Carroll Quigley, Rose Martin, and Ion Ratiu, key roles in the creation of the UN were played by:

- The Milner Group, a leading faction of the British ruling class, and its think tank, the Fabian Society. These structures formed an alliance with the American oligarchy, which Professor Quigley called the "Anglo-American establishment" [56];
- Two sister organizations established by the Anglo-American establishment to implement its projects — the British [Royal Institute of International Affairs](#) (Chatham House) and the American [Council on Foreign Relations](#);
- An international alliance of left-wing forces, uniting Western socialists, led by the Fabian Society, and Soviet communists. The data presented in Ion Ratiu's book show that socialists, as the political force of international capital, have controlled the UN since its founding [56][20].

Given the origins and purpose of the UN, it is unsurprising that the State of Israel has become its primary target. As a national democratic state, Israel is in the way of the forces that created and control the UN:

- **Socialists** who seek to dismantle nation states and replace them with a world government [43].
- **The British ruling class and the oligarchy of the US East Coast.** According to Jonathan Spyer of the Jerusalem Institute for Strategy and Security, Israel's very existence complicates these circles' relations with the Arab world [20].
- **The British Fabian Society and international capital** in their project to Islamize European civilization [58]. As an outpost of this civilization in the Middle East, Israel serves as an example of successful resistance to jihad, and its successes undermine the Fabian project of Islamization.

Hybrid Warfare Using Palestinians, the Media, and the UN

Britain's practice of hybrid warfare using religious, racial, ethnic and other similar conflicts dates back to its colonial policy of "**divide and conquer**".

Virtually all former colonies of the British Empire fell victim to this war: the United States (black radicalism), South Africa (black radicalism), India (ethnic and religious separatism), Egypt (radical Islam), and Israel (Arab supremacism and radical Islam).

After the collapse of the USSR, Russia (radical Islam), Syria (radical Islam), and the European Union (radical Islam) were added to this list.

The hybrid war against Israel, involving Palestinians, global media, and the UN, is structured as follows:

1. Palestinians are committing a terrorist attack against Israel;
2. Israel conducts a counterterrorism operation;
3. Palestinian propaganda, dubbed "[Pallywood](#)", fabricates stories about "Palestinian suffering";
4. These stories are published unverified by Qatar's Al Jazeera;
5. Al Jazeera's publications are then disseminated by British media outlets — the BBC, Reuters, Daily Mail, The Guardian, The Sun, Sky News, The Daily Telegraph, The Times, and Daily Express;

6. Media outlets controlled by the American oligarchy — The New York Times, Bloomberg, Politico, The Washington Post, Axios, The Wall Street Journal, and CNN — join in;
7. Responding to the propaganda campaign of the Anglo-American establishment, the UN convenes a meeting of the General Assembly, where an anti-Israeli resolution is put forward.

The following groups of countries typically vote in favor of anti-Israel resolutions:

- States governed by a left-wing party or a London-aligned president;
- Members of the British [Commonwealth of Nations](#);
- Members of the [Arab League](#), created at the initiative of Britain;
- Muslim countries;
- States of the former Soviet Union, where the legacy of communism, influenced by the British Fabian Society, is still palpable [59].

As a result, the UN's activities focused on condemning the Jewish state:

- In 2023, Israel was subject to UN sanctions decisions twice as often as the rest of the world combined. Israel was the target of 14 resolutions, while all other states — including the authoritarian regimes of North Korea, Russia, Syria, and Iran — were condemned only 7 times.
- In 2022, 15 of the 27 UN resolutions condemned Israel.
- Between 2015 and 2022, the General Assembly adopted 140 resolutions on Israel and 68 on all other countries combined [59a][59b].

In 1998, UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan was forced to admit that his organization's actions created the impression of bias:

"The exclusion of Israel from the system of regional groupings; the intense focus given to some of Israel's actions, while other situations in the world sometimes fail to elicit the similar outrage; these and other circumstances have given a regrettable impression of bias and one-sidedness" [59c].

The UN's response to the massacre of Jews on October 7, 2023, only confirmed this view.

Conclusions

The UN's role as an instrument of the Anglo-American oligarchy is not limited to its anti-Israel agenda. Most UN initiatives aimed at weakening national sovereignty, dismantling European civilization, and reducing the world's population originate from these same circles. Below is a brief list of such initiatives:

Environment and Climate:

- UN Environment Programme (1972);
- Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer (1985);
- Agenda 21 (1992);
- Convention on Biological Diversity (1992);
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1992);
- Kyoto Protocol (1997);
- Paris Climate Agreement (2015);
- 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (2015);

Migration and population:

- ILO Convention No. 97 concerning Migration for Employment (1949);
- Convention relating to the Status of Refugees (1951);
- ILO Convention No. 143 concerning Migration for Employment (1975);

- International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers (1990);
- Programme of Action of the Cairo Population Conference (1994);
- Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration (2018).

Conclusion:

The UN, conceived and functioning as a world government, contradicts the interests of peoples and threatens the sovereignty of their states [55].

Given the dominance of anti-national forces within the UN, reforming it seems impossible. Therefore, the optimal solution appears to be the dismantling of this organization.

Britain uses the US State Department to strengthen Iran and Hezbollah

The Milner Group's efforts to return the United States to the orbit of the British Empire after World War I led to two key results:

- emergence of a geopolitical alliance known as the "Anglo-American Establishment";
- creation of the Council on Foreign Relations, designed to orient US foreign policy toward the interests of this establishment.

The British side traditionally plays the leading role in the Anglo-American tandem. This is explained by:

- historical experience of the British Foreign Office;
- ideological influence of the Fabian Society on the American oligarchy — John Davidson Rockefeller, David Rockefeller Sr., Andrew Carnegie, and Henry Ford [60];
- superiority of British intelligence agencies, which participated in the creation of the CIA [61];
- London's enduring influence on the US Democratic Party [62];
- activities of English Freemasonry in the United States [63].

The penetration of British influence agents into US government institutions began soon after the founding of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) in 1921. American journalist William T. Still writes in his book "New World Order":

"The CFR immediately set out to replace pro-American politicians with Anglophile politicians. From the 1920s through World War II, pro-America politicians dominated key positions in our government. For example in 1923, 69 percent of congressman and 63 percent of senators. By 1948 that percentage dropped to fifty-four and fifty-three respectively. By 1984 the percentages had fallen to twelve and fourteen percent respectively" [64].

The CFR's takeover of the US government accelerated in 1939, amid the outbreak of World War II and the development of plans to establish the UN. As a result, in 1945, both houses of the US Congress voted to admit the United States to the UN.

American journalist Neil Wilgus writes in his book "The Illuminoids":

"In 1939, when World War II began and the League of Nations ceased operations, London's [Round Table Groups](#) in America made their move to take over world governance. That year the Council on Foreign Relations offered its services to the U.S. State Department. By 1940 the State Department had created the Division of Special Research headed by CFR member Leo Pasbolsky. The job of Pasbolsky and his CFR staff was to submit a plan for the replacement of the League of Nations: they named their replacement body the United Nations" [65].

British historian Nicholas Hagger adds to the picture in his book, "The Syndicate":

"The American delegation to the UN conference at San Francisco in 1945 contained at least 47 CFR members. Under Alger Hiss, the founding conference's Secretary-General, the long-awaited organization was formally created and the US Senate approved the idea in days. In December 1946 the Rockefeller Foundation donated a check to buy 18 acres of land in Manhattan on which the UN building was built: John D. Rockefeller III contributed \$8.5m, with the New York City contributing a further \$4.25m. (From 1970 to 1985 David Rockefeller was the chairman of the CFR.)" [66].

As a result, after World War II, the Anglo-American oligarchy gained broad opportunities to influence both the foreign policy of the United States and the formation of international UN initiatives.

Using the State Department in the War against Israel

Having gained influence over the State Department, the Anglo-American oligarchy turned it into an instrument of its anti-Israel policy.

In 1944, the State Department rejected two congressional attempts to pass resolutions supporting the creation of a Jewish state in Palestine [67].

Later, it sought to thwart the plan to partition Palestine into two states—Jewish and Arab—approved by the UN General Assembly on November 29, 1947. Secretary of State George Marshall clashed with President Harry Truman. The standoff nearly led to a government crisis.

However, Truman managed to insist on support for the creation of Israel. The influence of the American Jewish community, whose support he sought to secure ahead of the 1948 presidential election, also played a significant role [68].

The most illustrative example of the use of the State Department as an instrument of the Anglo-American oligarchy was the formation of the anti-Israel Iranian bloc.

Using the State Department to Strengthen Iran and Hezbollah

After 1945, the global dominance of the Anglo-American oligarchy was based on the military might of the United States. However, at the beginning of the 21st century, the United States faced a dilemma: either cut spending on military operations around the world or face financial collapse [69].

The decision was made to withdraw from conflict regions while maintaining influence. The "leave but stay" formula [70] entails maintaining simmering regional conflicts, where opposing sides restrain each other, with the United States acting as an arbitrator.

According to the State Department, in the Middle East, these parties are Israel and the Sunni states on one side, and Iran and its allies on the other. Moreover, Israel and the Sunni states outnumber the Iranian bloc in power. Supporting this logic, President Obama stated that the US could reduce its presence in the region if it balanced power by strengthening Iran and its allies [71].

Following this line, the State Department began strengthening the Iranian bloc.

1. The State Department Creates Legal Cover for Hezbollah's Activities on the Border with Israel

In 2006, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice facilitated the passage of UN Security Council [Resolution 1701](#). The document obligated the Lebanese Armed Forces (LAF) and the UN peacekeeping mission (UNIFIL) to interdict Hezbollah's activities in southern Lebanon, from where it launched attacks on Israel.

However, Hezbollah refused to leave the region. Furthermore, using the LAF, which it effectively controlled, and UNIFIL forces, intimidated by its presence, it turned southern Lebanon into a fortified military stronghold [72-74].

Thus, with Resolution 1701, the State Department created a legal framework under which Hezbollah continued terrorist activity on Israel's northern border.

The outcome of the State Department's policy was [Hezbollah's attack](#) on Israel on October 8, 2023 — the day after the Hamas attack. As a result, 96,000 Israelis were forced to flee their homes and became refugees.

2. The State Department is strengthening Hezbollah with American weapons

Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice also took a course toward strengthening the Lebanese Armed Forces (LAF) by providing them with American weapons, despite the fact that the LAF was effectively an auxiliary of Hezbollah [71].

Dr. [David Kilcullen](#), an intelligence analyst and diplomat, criticized Rice's concept that strengthening the LAF could deter Hezbollah in his [research](#):

"In fact, Hezbollah and its allies hold a majority of seats in Lebanon's parliament, fill key ministerial appointments in the Cabinet, and have played a central, perhaps even decisive, role in selecting prime ministers... Essentially, the LAF works for Hezbollah, since Hezbollah has the military capability and coercive power to take over the Lebanese state... The US believes that Hezbollah and the Lebanese government are separate and opposing entities. In reality, they are closely linked at the highest levels" [75].

Kilcullen also noted a disturbing pattern: "the era of enhanced U.S. security assistance has coincided with significantly increased influence for the Hezbollah".

Despite this, the State Department continues to supply the AFL with weapons. According to the [report](#), from 2006 to 2022, the United States provided Lebanon with \$3 billion in military aid [76].

3. The State Department provides Hezbollah with a stable income

In 2022, State Department official Amos Hochstein, taking advantage of the fact that a left-wing government was in power in Israel, secured an agreement to revise the maritime border between Israel and Lebanon [71]. Under its terms, Israel handed over to Lebanon the Qana gas field, located in the disputed economic zone [77].

In doing so, the State Department provided Hezbollah with a stable and legal source of income from gas sales.

Hezbollah leader Hassan Nasrallah [declared](#) this a "is a big victory for the state of Lebanon". He emphasized that the agreement was reached without normalizing relations with Israel.

4. Obama's "nuclear deal" turns Iran into a threshold nuclear state

In 2015, the Obama administration negotiated a "[nuclear deal](#)" with Iran, designed to slow the development of the Iranian nuclear program.

However, in practice, the agreement served as a cover for accelerating it. In 2018, this was confirmed by materials from Iran's secret nuclear archive, handed over by Israel to US intelligence agencies and IAEA experts. The documents revealed Project Amad, which envisioned the development, production, and testing of five 10-kiloton nuclear warheads for installation on ballistic missiles [78][79].

In December 2021, a State Department spokesperson stated that Iran has become a "threshold" nuclear state, and the United States was considering "other tools to increase pressure" [80][81].

Six years after the signing of the "nuclear deal", the State Department acknowledged that Iran has reached the status of a "threshold" state capable of developing nuclear weapons in the near future. Since the Biden administration has failed to take significant steps to rectify the situation, questions are being raised about the true intentions of the deal.

5. The State Department is pouring billions of dollars into Iran's military budget

Since taking office in 2021, the Biden administration has effectively lifted the oil sanctions against Iran imposed under President Trump. This has allowed Tehran to build up its military capabilities and strengthen Hezbollah, Hamas, the Houthis, and Shiite militias in Iraq.

At the forefront of the Biden administration's pro-Iranian course was Secretary of State Antony Blinken [82].

Kevin McCarthy, Speaker of the US House of Representatives, assessed the consequences of this policy as follows:

"During the two years of Biden's presidency, Iran's foreign exchange reserves grew from \$4 billion to \$70 billion, and daily oil production from 400,000 to 3 million barrels. Thanks to the Biden administration, Iran has received billions of dollars for rearmament and terrorism financing" [83].

Senator Ted Cruz echoed this assessment:

"Over the past two and a half years, the Biden administration has transferred nearly \$100 billion to Iran. Iran received about \$80 billion of that sum thanks to the administration's waiver of oil sanctions. These funds finance Hamas death squads and missile shipments to Hezbollah" [83].

According to a US Energy Information Administration report published in October 2024, Iran earned \$144 billion from oil exports in 2021–23. [84][85]

A report by Iran International on October 24, 2024, stated that Iran plans to use more than half of its oil and gas export revenues for military purposes: approximately €24 billion in revenues, of which approximately €12 billion – 51% – is planned to be allocated for military spending [86].

State Department Policy Results

The State Department has made impressive strides in creating "parity" in the Middle East:

- with Resolution 1701, created legal cover for Hezbollah's activities along the border with Israel;
- the Qana gas field provided Hezbollah with a legal source of income;
- under the cover of the "nuclear deal", Iran became a threshold nuclear state;
- after sanctions were lifted, Iran's revenues from oil and gas exports soared;
- these funds allowed it to rearm the army and stock the arsenals of Hezbollah and the Houthis with thousands of missiles;
- the State Department has granted immunity to Iranian nuclear facilities from Israeli attacks. [87][88];
- the State Department has guaranteed Hamas and Hezbollah protection from defeat, forcing Israel to cease hostilities in the Gaza and Lebanon conflicts for the past 20 years [89-92].

The consequences of the State Department and the Obama and Biden administrations' policies included:

- [The Hamas invasion of Israel](#) on October 7, 2023, resulting in the brutal massacre of 1,200 Israelis.

- [Hezbollah rocket attacks on Israel](#) beginning on October 8, 2023, displacing tens of thousands of residents of the northern region.
- [Iran's missile attack on Israel](#) on October 1, 2024, the largest attack in history, using 200 ballistic missiles.
- [Houthi rocket attacks on Israel](#) beginning on October 19, 2023. (Three weeks after Biden's election, Secretary of State Blinken removed the Houthis from the terrorist list, reversing President Trump's decision.)

Conclusions

Nations harmed by State Department policies must understand who shapes their content. Since 1945, it has essentially served the interests of an international oligarchy led by the British ruling class, which benefits twofold:

1. uses US resources to achieve its own goals;
2. uses the US as a cover, remaining in the shadows.

The situation changed in 2025, after President Donald Trump returned to the White House. His administration seeks to restore the State Department to its original function — protecting US interests.

Other Avenues of British Hybrid Warfare

Let us now briefly consider other tools and methods of British hybrid warfare.

Embargo

During the 1973 Yom Kippur War, when Israel was attacked by three Arab states, Britain declared neutrality and imposed an arms embargo on all parties to the conflict. In reality, this decision only affected Israel. British equipment in the Israeli army required ammunition and components, while Egypt and Syria were actively supplied by the Soviet Union. The arms embargo on Israel was finally lifted only in 1994 [20].

In 2024, during Israel's war with Hamas, the British government reimposed an embargo on arms exports to Israel. Fortunately, having learned from bitter experience, Israel had by then significantly reduced its imports of British defense products [94].

Espionage

According to documents from Edward Snowden's archives, published in 2016, British intelligence agencies conducted surveillance on Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and Israeli diplomats for many years. Israeli defense companies, government agencies responsible for international cooperation, and university research centers were also targets of espionage [95].

NGO Funding

According to a June 2024 report by the research institute NGO Monitor, the UK government funds numerous non-governmental organizations (NGOs) involved in anti-Israel activities, including calls for boycotts, divestment, and sanctions, as well as legal campaigns against Israel. Some of these NGOs, according to the report, are linked to terrorist organizations. This funding continued even after the Hamas-organized massacre of Jews on October 7, 2023 [96].

Financing Terrorism

The UK, which has officially designated Hamas a terrorist organization, is one of its three largest financial donors. This includes both private donations and public funds channeled through UN agencies [97].

According to a document from the British Consulate in Jerusalem, in 2024, London transferred \$23.1 million to Hamas under the guise of "humanitarian support" [98].

Use of the International Court

A group of British lawyers was behind the International Criminal Court's initiative to issue an arrest warrant for Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu [99].

ICC Prosecutor [Karim Ahmad Khan](#), a Muslim who issued the arrest warrant, is also a British citizen. In 1995, he served as a senior Crown Prosecutor in the UK [100].

The Trump administration imposed sanctions on those involved in this decision, including the British lawyers and the ICC prosecutor [99].

Credit Rating Downgrade

In February 2024, Moody's Ratings UK downgraded Israel's credit rating from "A1" to "A2" [101]. On September 28, 2024, immediately following the assassination of Hezbollah leader Nasrallah, the rating was downgraded again, from "A2" to "Baa1," the lowest level in the country's history [102].

This move was made despite Israel's impeccable credit history, the resilience of its economy, and one of the highest growth rates among developed countries.

A rating downgrade leads to higher costs for new borrowing and higher servicing costs for existing debt. As a result, the government has less cash, forcing it to raise taxes [103].

After Donald Trump returned to the White House, Britain's use of the credit agency for political purposes ceased. On March 16, 2025, the central office of the Moody's Ratings decided to transfer the preparation of reports on Israel from the British division to the American one. [104].

Financing Color Revolutions

In July 2025, the US Congressional Judiciary Committee published a [Memorandum](#) containing the findings of investigation into the Biden administration's illegal activities against the State of Israel [105].

Throughout its term, the Biden administration financed protests against Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu in Israel. These protests were aimed at undermining the government's judicial reforms and opposing its policy of eliminating the terrorist organization Hamas.

According to the Congressional Judiciary Committee, approximately \$1 billion was allocated from government sources, with funds reaching approximately 1,000 Israeli left-wing organizations [105][106].

Funding was channeled through the State Department and the US Agency for International Development (USAID). [107]

The document emphasizes:

"The use of federal grants for such purposes risks harming America's relationship with one of its closest allies and undermines core civil liberties in both the United States and Israel. Additionally, the misuse of federal grant funds may, in some cases, amount to a criminal offense under U.S. law."

Conclusion

Hybrid warfare is not simply a tactic for striking from the shadows and evading responsibility. It is a way of life for the British ruling class.

This is how the Venetian oligarchy operated, maintaining its influence despite the modest size and limited resources of the Venetian Republic [108].

In the 17th century, this experience was adopted by the British Ruling Class, whose development took place under the influence of Venice [109-113].

In the 20th century, in an effort to maintain global dominance, the British ruling class organized two revolutions in Russia [114], unleashed two world wars [115][116], initiated the Cold War [117], and provoked numerous conflicts, coups d'état, color revolutions, and assassinations of political leaders [118][119].

In the 21st century, the British ruling class and its intelligence services are behind the subversive activities of radical Islam, the Antifa movement, anarchists, and radical racial organizations like BLM.

British historian Mark Curtis gave a laconic but capacious characterization of the global role of the British ruling class:

"The basic fact is that Britain is a major, systematic contributor to much of the worlds suffering and horrors" [119].

The awareness of this fact by the peoples of different countries can become the first step towards freeing the world from the influence of the predatory entity that is the British ruling class.

The next step should be action at the national level:

- boycotting parties and banning organizations and foundations that serve supranational interests;
- supporting nationally oriented forces aimed at restoring sovereignty, disbanding the UN and liquidating the organizations created under its umbrella.

References

Chapter: Britain exploits ethnic conflict to prevent the emergence of the state of Israel

- [1] Wikipedia: Balfour Declaration. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balfour_Declaration
- [1] The Balfour Declaration, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Israel. <http://www.gov.il/en/pages/the-balfour-declaration-29-Oct-2017>
- [2] Wikipedia: Mandate for Palestine. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mandate_for_Palestine
- [2] League of Nations Mandate for Palestine (1922) <http://ecf.org.il/issues/issue/232>
- [3] James Barr, "A line in the sand: the Anglo-French struggle for the Middle East, 1914-1948", 2011, p. 163. <http://archive.org/details/lineinsandanglof0000barr>
- [4] Bernard Regan «The Balfour Declaration: Empire, the Mandate and Resistance in Palestine», 2017. <http://academic.oup.com/tcbh/article-abstract/30/2/289/5106399>
- [5] Alys L. Ambrose, "An historical survey of the British mandate in Palestine 1920-1948", 2001. http://archive.org/stream/anhistoricalsurv10945848/anhistoricalsurv10945848_djvu.txt
- [6] Steven P. Meyer, "Zionism and the British Empire", 2009. <http://modernhistoryproject.org/mhp?Article=Jabotinsky>
- [7] The Foreign Service Institute of the U.S. Department of State: "The Establishment of Israel, 1948". <http://history.state.gov/milestones/1945-1952/creation-israel>
- [8] Carroll Quigley, The Anglo-American Establishment, 1981, Chapter 9: Creation of the Commonwealth. Quote starting with: "The attitude of the Milner Group toward the Arabs and Jews". <http://survivorbb.rapeutation.com/viewtopic.php?f=24&t=3970&start=10#p28778>
- [9] Wikipedia (ru): [Amin al-Husseini](#).
- [10] Wikipedia (en): [Amin al-Husseini](#).
- [11] Electronic Jewish Encyclopedia: Churchill's First White Paper (1922). <http://eleven.co.il/zionism/from-balfour-to-state/10476/#01>
- [12] L.M. Samarskaya, "British Policy in Palestine: Interests versus Reality (1917–1922)," 2020. Link [12] Article 25. Mandate for Palestine (August 12, 1922). The United Nations Information System on the Question of Palestine. <http://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/politika-velikobritanii-v-palestine-interesy-versus-realnost-1917-1922>
- [13] Wikipedia: 1929 Hebron massacre. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1929_Hebron_massacre
- [14] Wikipedia: 1936–1939 Arab revolt in Palestine. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1936%E2%80%931939_Arab_revolt_in_Palestine

[15] Electronic Jewish Encyclopedia: MacDonald's Sixth White Paper (May 1939) <http://eleven.co.il/zionism/from-balfour-to-state/10476/#06>

[16] Tomer Ilan: "Britain Needs to Apologize to the Jews for What They Did During the Mandate, More than to the Arabs", 2022.

<http://elderofziyon.blogspot.com/2022/10/britain-needs-to-apologize-to-jews-for.html>

Chapter: Britain is using Nazism to try to prevent Jewish refugees from emigrating to Palestine

[17] Letter from Chaim Weizmann, President of the Jewish Agency in London, to British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain (August 29, 1939).

<http://www.jta.org/archive/chamberlain-welcomes-agencys-war-aid-says-it-will-be-kept-in-mind>

[18] Wiki Quote: Quote from Lord Palmerston's speech in the House of Commons on March 1, 1848.

<http://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/commons/1848/mar/01/treaty-of-adrianople-charges-against>

Chapter: Britain is using the armies of Arab states to destroy the state of Israel

[19] Wikipedia: Arab League. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arab_League

[20] Jonathan Spyer, "An analytical and historical overview of British policy toward Israel", 2004.

http://ciaotest.cc.columbia.edu/olj/meria/meria_jun04/meria04_spj01.pdf

[21] Robert Dreyfuss and Thierry LeMarc, "The Muslim Brotherhood: London's shocktroops for the New Dark Ages" (May 8, 1979)

<http://saveelsobranite.com/MusBroShockTroops051879.pdf>

[22] Meir Zamir, "Uncovered: U.K. Intel Encouraged Arab Armies to Invade Israel in 1948", 2014. Intelligence obtained by the French secret services in the Middle East sheds new light on Britain's role in the Arab-Israeli War of Independence.

<http://www.haaretz.com/2014-09-14/ty-article/.premium/u-k-intel-goaded-arabs-into-48-war-papers-show/0000017f-e27f-d97e-a37f-f76ff1400000?ts=1742662405777>

[23] Ronnie Fraser, "When Britain almost declared war on Israel", 2021.

<http://fathomjournal.org/uk-israel-2021-when-britain-almost-declared-war-on-israel/>

[23a] Richard Poe, "How the British Invented Communism (and Blamed it on the Jews)", Chapter "Strange Alliance".

<http://richardpoe.substack.com/i/95903021/strange-alliance>

Chapter: Britain uses the Socialist International to exert international pressure on Israel

[24] Ioan Ratiu, "The Milner-Fabian Conspiracy", 2012. Chapter2. «The Fabian Conspiracy», subchapter "Fabianism and World Government".

<https://veil-of-history.com/2026/01/15/the-fabian-conspiracy/#16>

[25] William Engdahl, "The Great Reset of the Global Economy is Coming in Davos", 2020.

<http://www.thelibertybeacon.com/now-comes-the-davos-great-reset/>

• Prince Charles's statement at the WEF video conference "The Great Reset," June 3, 2020 (time 12:37)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pfVdMWzKwjc&t=757s>

• Statement by António Guterres at the WEF video conference "The Great Reset", June 3, 2020 (time 9:06)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pfVdMWzKwjc&t=546s>

[26] The Guardian: The UK Foreign Office confirmed it was in talks with France on recognising Palestine (April 30, 2025)

<http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2025/apr/30/uk-france-talks-palestinian-state-recognition-lammy>

[27] Le Monde: Britain joins France's initiative to recognize a Palestinian state (May 20, 2025)

http://www.lemonde.fr/en/international/article/2025/05/20/uk-joins-france-s-initiative-for-recognition-of-palestinian-state_6741456_4.html

[28]

• Wikipedia: The Hamas movement was founded in 1987 on the basis of the Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hamas>

• Igor I. Isaev "The British Ruling Class and Radical Islam" (January 6, 2026)

<https://veil-of-history.com/2026/01/06/british-ruling-class-and-radical-islam/>

[29] Euronews: Canada and Malta say they will recognise state of Palestine (July 31, 2025)

<http://www.euronews.com/2025/07/31/canada-and-malta-say-they-will-recognise-palestine-joining-france-and-uk>

[30] Euronews: Australia to recognise Palestine in September (August 11, 2025)

<http://ru.euronews.com/2025/08/11/australia-palestine-september>

[31] Reuters: Malta to recognise Palestinian state in September (June 29, 2025)

<http://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/malta-recognise-palestinian-state-september-2025-07-29/>

[32] AP: Spain, Norway and Ireland officially recognized the Palestinian state (May 28, 2024)

<http://apnews.com/article/eu-palestinian-state-spain-israel-gaza-6efe351e53761befc2c539c535bbcc0c>

[33] BBC: Portugal announced it would recognize a Palestinian state (September 20, 2025) <http://www.bbc.com/news/articles/c5yek72jqk40>

[34] RIA: Emmanuel Macron: Rothschild banker or "Renaissance man" (April 22, 2017) <http://ria.ru/20170422/1492859092.html>

[35] Simon Rostron, "Great Britain and Portugal: Without Parallel in World History".

<http://www.lbma.org.uk/alchemist/issue-106/great-britain-and-portugal-without-parallel-in-world-history>

[35a] UN Civil Society: Profile of Socialist International.

<http://esango.un.org/civilsociety/showProfileDetail.do?method=showProfileDetails&profileCode=1114>

[35b] ECOSOC / UN: «Introduction to ECOSOC Consultative Status». <http://ecosoc.un.org/en/ngo/consultative-status>

Chapter: Britain uses the Israeli left as a "Fifth Column" within the Jewish state

[36] The Office of the Prime Minister of Israel: Biography of Shimon Peres. <http://www.gov.il/en/pages/peres>

[37] Wikipedia: Oslo Accords. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oslo_Accords

[38] Wikipedia (ru): The PLO and Terror after the Oslo Accords.

http://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D0%9E%D1%80%D0%B3%D0%B0%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B7%D0%B0%D1%86%D0%B8%D1%8F_%D0%BE%D1%81%D0%B2%D0%BE%D0%B1%D0%BE%D0%B6%D0%B4%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B8%D1%8E_%D0%9F%D0%B0%D0%BB%D0%B5%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B8%D0%BD%D1%8B%D0%9E%D0%9E%D0%9F_%D0%B8_%D1%82%D0%B5%D1%80%D1%80%D0%BE%D1%80_%D0%BF%D0%BE%D1%81%D0%BB%D0%B5_%D1%81%D0%BE%D0%B3%D0%BB%D0%B0%D1%88%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B9_%D0%9E%D1%81%D0%BB%D0%BE

[39] Jerusalem Post: Oslo is dead: A Palestinian state will never exist - opinion (September 8, 2023)

<http://www.jpost.com/opinion/article-758080>

[40] Jewish Virtual Library: Comprehensive Listing of Terrorism Victims in Israel.

<http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/comprehensive-listing-of-terrorism-victims-in-israel>

• From the Oslo Accords (September 1993) to September 2000, approximately 300 Israelis were killed in terrorist attacks.

• During the Al-Aqsa Intifada (September 2000 – December 2005), 1,100 Israelis were killed.

• From 2006 to 2008, 77 Israelis were killed in terrorist attacks.

[41] Wikipedia: Civilian casualties in the Second Intifada (2000-2005) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Civilian_casualties_in_the_Second_Intifada

• According to the International Institute for Counterterrorism, 1,137 Israelis were killed in terrorist attacks between September 2000 and 2005.

[42] Wikipedia: Palestinian political violence. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palestinian_political_violence#Second_Intifada_\(2000%E2%80%932005\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palestinian_political_violence#Second_Intifada_(2000%E2%80%932005))
• According to B'Tselem, more than 1,220 Israelis have been killed by Palestinians since the signing of the Oslo Accords in 1993 through July 2005.

[43] "The World Today: The Socialist Perspective", Declaration of the Socialist International endorsed at the Council Conference held in Oslo on 2-4 June 1962. <http://www.socialistinternational.org/our-meetings/councils/oslo-1962>

[44] Socialist International website: Shimon Peres 1923-2016.

<http://www.socialistinternational.org/news-events/in-memoriam/article/shimon-peres-1923-2016-653/>

[45] Wikipedia (en): Shimon Peres, [Awards and recognition](#).

[46] Wikipedia (ru): Shimon Peres, [Awards and recognition](#).

[47] Wikipedia (ru): [Israeli withdrawal from southern Lebanon](#).

[48] Wikipedia: [Israeli disengagement from the Gaza Strip](#).

[49] Wikipedia: Hamas attack on Israel on October 7, 2023. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/October_7_attacks

[50] Ben Hecht, "Perfidy", 1961. <http://archive.org/details/PerfidyBenHecht1961>

[51] Israel National News: How USAID funded terror states which killed US soldiers (February 10, 2025)

<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/403723>

[52]

• New York Post: USAID packages found among Hezbollah weapons cache in Lebanon (February 10, 2025)

<http://nypost.com/2025/02/10/world-news/packages-from-usaid-found-in-hezbollah-safe-house-by-idf/>

• Asher Fredman: From the American taxpayer to Hezbollah, with love. When I served in Lebanon last October, we found huge Hezbollah weapons caches - together with USAID care packages (not to mention UNICEF folders). (February 05, 2025)

http://x.com/fredman_a/status/1887237848689991739

[53] Memorandum to the House Judiciary Committee: The Biden-Harris Administration's Funding of Anti-Netanyahu NonGovernmental Organizations. (July 17, 2025)

<http://judiciary.house.gov/sites/evo-subsites/republicans-judiciary.house.gov/files/evo-media-document/israel-ngos-funding-memo-final-hjc-2.pdf>

[54] Igor I. Isaev, "Biden Administration spent \$1 billion to overthrow Netanyahu" (August 7 2025)

<http://subjecthistory.livejournal.com/58527.html>

Chapter: Britain uses the UN as an instrument of international condemnation of Israel

[55] Ioan Ratiu, "The Milner-Fabian Conspiracy", 2012, Chapter6. «UN Scam». <https://veil-of-history.com/2026/01/27/the-un-scam-ru/>

[56]

• Carroll Quigley, "The Anglo-American Establishment", 1981.

http://www.voltairenet.org/IMG/pdf/Quigley_Anglo_American_Establishment.pdf

• Rose Martin, "Fabian Freeway: High road to Socialism in the USA", 1966.

http://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Martin_RL-Fabian_Freeway_High_Road_to_Socialism_in_the_USA.pdf

[58] Ioan Ratiu, "The Milner-Fabian Conspiracy", 2012. Chapter2 "The Fabian Conspiracy", subchapter "Fabianism and the Islamization of the West". <https://veil-of-history.com/2026/01/15/the-fabian-conspiracy/#18>

[59] Ioan Ratiu, "The Milner-Fabian Conspiracy", 2012, Chapter "The Fabian Conspiracy", subchapter "Fabianism and World Revolution". In this subchapter, the author presents facts about the influence of the Fabian Society on Soviet communism.

<https://veil-of-history.com/2026/01/15/the-fabian-conspiracy/#14>

[59a] UN Watch: 2023 UNGA Resolutions on Israel vs. Rest of the World. (December 19, 2023)

<http://unwatch.org/2023-unga-resolutions-on-israel-vs-rest-of-the-world>

[59b] Jenna Romano, "UN Bias Against Israel: Fact or Fiction?" (Jan 2, 2024) <http://www.mideastjournal.org/post/un-bias-israel>

[59c] UN: Kofi Annan says "healing of wounds" between Jewish community and United Nations among his priorities as Secretary-General (15 December 1999) <http://press.un.org/en/1999/19991215.sgsm7260.doc.html>

Chapter: Britain uses the US State Department to strengthen Iran and Hezbollah

[60] Ioan Ratiu, "The Milner-Fabian Conspiracy", 2012, Chapter "The Fabian Conspiracy", subchapters: « "Financing Fabianism" and "Educate, Agitate, Organize: Fabianism and Education" ». <https://veil-of-history.com/2026/01/15/the-fabian-conspiracy/>

[61]

• Wikipedia: William Stephenson. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Stephenson

• Eugene Nielsen, "Intrepid's Influence: How William Stephenson Helped Shape the OSS" (December 19, 2024)

<http://spotterup.com/intrepids-influence-how-william-stephenson-helped-shape-the-oss/>

• CIA web site: Studies in Intelligence [Vol. 18 No. 2, Summer 1974 & Vol. 18 No. 1-S, Spring 1974].

<http://www.cia.gov/readingroom/document/cia-rdp78t03194a000400010009-2>

[62]

• Wikipedia: August Belmont was a German financier and American agent of the British Rothschilds, politician and donor to the Democratic Party. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/August_Belmont

• Wikipedia: Judah P. Benjamin was a British citizen, member of the U.S. Senate, and Confederate statesman. He urged Britain and France to recognize the Southern states as a separate nation. After the Confederates' defeat in the Civil War, he fled to England.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judah_P._Benjamin

• Barry Eichengreen and Marc Flandreau, "The Federal Reserve, the Bank of England, and the Rise of the Dollar as an International Currency, 1914-19391" (July 2010) <http://www.bis.org/events/conf100624/eichengreenflandreupaper.pdf>

• Dr. Valentin Katasonov, "Masters of Money: A 100-Year History of the Federal Reserve," 2014, Chapter: "The Birth of the Federal Reserve and Its First Steps." The Federal Reserve Act was signed on December 23, 1913, by the bankers' protégé, Democratic President Woodrow Wilson.

<http://fb2.top/hozyaeva-deneg-368482>

[63] List of daughter lodges of the Grand Lodge of England located in the United States.

<http://www.ugle.org.uk/about-us/foreign-grand-lodges>

[64] John Daniel, "Scarlet and the Beast", 1995, chapter 21, p. 453-54, reference [58] to the book William T. Still "New World Order", p. 158.

<http://archive.org/details/ScarletAndTheBeastJohnDaniel1995>

[65] John Daniel, "Scarlet and the Beast", 1995, chapter 21, p. 455, reference [63] to the book Wilgus "The Illuminoids", 1978, p. 202-204.

<http://archive.org/details/ScarletAndTheBeastJohnDaniel1995>

[66] Nicholas Hagger "The Syndicate", 2004. <https://archive.org/details/syndicategoryof0000hagg>

[67] Wikipedia (en): American-Israeli relations. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Israel%E2%80%93United_States_relations#History

- [68] Wikipedia (ru): American-Israeli relations. http://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D0%90%D0%BC%D0%B5%D1%80%D0%B8%D0%BA%D0%B0%D0%BD%D0%BE-%D0%B8%D0%B7%D1%80%D0%B0%D0%B8%D0%BB%D1%8C%D1%81%D0%BA%D0%B8%D0%B5_%D0%BE%D1%82%D0%BD%D0%BE%D1%88%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%B8%D1%8F
- [69] Jeffrey D. Sachs, "The fatal expense of American imperialism" (October 9, 2016) <http://www.jeffsachs.org/newspaper-articles/d3te8h5g76htb7kajzxcv2wccxndt2>
- [70] Evgeny Pozhidaev. The Collapse of the Iran Nuclear Deal: Why Trump Is Ruining Obama's "Doctrine" (May 14, 2018) <http://eadaily.com/ru/news/2018/05/14/krah-yadernoy-sdelki-s-iranom-pochemu-tramp-krushit-doktrinu-obamy>
- [71] Caroline Glick, "Israel's survival clashes with America's Lebanon delusions" (November 10, 2023) <http://www.jns.org/israels-survival-clashes-with-americas-lebanon-delusions/>
- [72] BT: Michael, former UNIFIL soldier in Lebanon: "We were completely subordinate to Hezbollah" (October 20, 2024) <http://www.bt.dk/udland/michael-var-fn-soldat-i-libanon-vi-var-totalt-underlagt-hizbollah>
- [73] Vesty: Former UNIFIL employee: "The UN peacekeeping contingent in Lebanon is subordinate to Hezbollah" (October 24, 2024) <http://www.vesty.co.il/main/article/rynav3mx1e>
- [74] WSJ: U.N. Peacekeepers Are Hezbollah's Best Friend (October 15, 2024) <http://www.wsj.com/opinion/unifil-israel-hezbollah-united-nations-peacekeeping-lebanon-2e2c3961>
- [75] David Kilcullen, "Missing the Mark. Reassessing U.S. Military Aid to the Lebanese Armed Forces" (June 2022) <http://www.fdd.org/analysis/2022/07/26/missing-the-mark/>
- [76] U.S. State Department Report: "U.S. Relations with Lebanon" (October 27, 2022) <http://2021-2025.state.gov/u-s-relations-with-lebanon/>
- [77] JewishRu: Netanyahu accused Lapid of surrendering territory to Lebanon. (October 03, 2022) <http://jewish.ru/ru/news/articles/200668/>
- [78] BBC: Israel's Iran documents show nuclear deal 'was built on lies'. (May 1, 2018) <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-43958205>
- [79] Alexander Fulbright, "Netanyahu: Iran brazenly lied about nuclear program, has made plans to revive it" (April 30, 2018) <http://www.timesofisrael.com/pm-iran-lied-about-nuclear-plans-continued-to-expand-program-after-deal/>
- [80] Robert S. Litwak, "Iran's Nuclear Challenge at a Crossroads: Dilemmas of a Threshold State" (December 13, 2021) <http://www.wilsoncenter.org/article/irans-nuclear-challenge-crossroads-dilemmas-threshold-state>
- [81] State Department: Briefing With Senior State Department Official On the Seventh Round of the JCPOA Talks (December 4, 2021) <http://2021-2025.state.gov/briefing-with-senior-state-department-official-on-the-seventh-round-of-the-jcpoa-talks/>
- [82] Mark Levin, "Blinken's diabolical plan" (November 19, 2023) <http://www.jns.org/blinkens-diabolical-plan/>
- [83] Glenn Kessler, "Iran oil sales are surging and Hamas is terrorizing. Is Biden to blame?" (October 25, 2023) <http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2023/10/25/iran-oil-sales-are-surging-hamas-is-terrorizing-is-biden-blame/>
- [84] Foxnews: Iran making billions in oil revenues under Biden admin as expert slams sanctions policy for lack of 'pressure' (October 13, 2024) <http://www.foxnews.com/world/iran-making-billions-oil-revenues-under-biden-admin-expert-slams-sanctions-policy-lack-pressure>
- [85] EIA: Report on Iranian Petroleum and Petroleum Product Exports (October 2024) http://www.eia.gov/international/content/analysis/special_topics/SHIP_Act/SHIP-Act.pdf
- [86] JPost: Iran to direct majority of oil, gas revenue to IRGC, report reveals (October 24, 2024) <http://www.jpost.com/middle-east/iran-news/article-825943>
- [87] CNN: Biden says he does not support an Israeli attack on Iranian nuclear sites (October 2, 2024) <http://www.cnn.com/world/live-news/israel-iran-missile-attack-middle-east-10-02-24-intl-hnk#cm1s3ahz100003b6pkr970kwy>
- [88] Kelsey Davenport, "Under U.S. Pressure, Israel Avoids Iran Nuclear Targets" (November 2024) <http://www.armscontrol.org/act/2024-11/news/under-us-pressure-israel-avoids-iran-nuclear-targets>
- [89] NBC: Biden administration discussing slowing some weaponry deliveries to Israel to pressure Netanyahu (Jan. 28, 2024) <http://www.nbcnews.com/politics/white-house/biden-administration-discussing-slowing-weaponry-deliveries-israel-pre-rcna136035>
- [90] The Guardian: Joe Biden calls for "immediate ceasefire" in Gaza and says Israel must protect civilians to keep US support (April 4, 2024) <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2024/apr/04/israel-us-gaza-joe-biden-benjamin-netanyahu-phone-call>
- [91] Mignews: Minutes to Nasrallah's Elimination: The Drama Between Israel and the US (October 8, 2024) <http://mignews.com/news/novosti-ssha/minuty-do-likvidacii-nasrally-drama-mezhdu-izrailem-i-ssha.html>
- [92]
- Vesty: Outrage in Israel: Biden administration releases classified information about the start of the operation in Lebanon (October 1, 2024) <http://www.vesty.co.il/main/article/r1dvgwfr0>
 - The Guardian: US investigates leaked documents alleging Israel plans to attack Iran (October 20, 2024) <http://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2024/oct/20/leaked-documents-allege-israel-plans-attack-iran>
 - BBC: Biden 'deeply concerned' about apparent leak of Israel plan to attack Iran (October 21, 2024) <http://www.bbc.com/news/articles/c93yre0gll9o>

Chapter: Other avenues of British hybrid warfare

- [94] GOV UK: UK suspends around 30 arms export licences to Israel for use in Gaza over International Humanitarian Law concerns (September 2, 2024) <http://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-suspends-around-30-arms-export-licences-to-israel-for-use-in-gaza-over-international-humanitarian-law-concerns>
- [95] Jacques Follorou, "Britain has spent years spying on Israel's leaders" (March 14, 2022) http://www.lemonde.fr/en/archives/article/2022/03/14/britain-has-spent-years-spying-on-israel-s-leaders_5978487_113.html
- [96] Ngo Monitor "Report on UK funding of anti-Israel NGOs". http://ngo-monitor.org/funder/united_kingdom/
- [97] Channel 12: Britain is one of Hamas's three largest funding sources (May 26, 2025) <http://lechain.ru/news/channel-12-velikobritaniya-odin-iz-tryoh-krupnejshih-istochnikov-finansirovaniya-hamasa/>
- [98] Hank Berrien, "Bombshell Report Says British Government Knowingly Funding Hamas With Millions" (25.05.2025) <http://www.dailywire.com/news/bombshell-report-says-british-government-knowingly-funding-hamas-with-millions>
- [99] World Israel News: The Trump administration has imposed sanctions on individuals involved in the arrest warrant for Netanyahu, including British lawyers and ICC prosecutor Khan. (April 30, 2025) <http://worldisraelnews.com/wife-of-actor-george-clooney-could-be-banned-from-the-us-for-role-in-netanyahu-arrest-warrant/>
- [100] Wikipedia: Karim Ahmad Khan. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karim_Ahmad_Khan
- [101] JPost: Moody's downgrades Israel's rating to A2 (09.02.2024) <http://www.jpost.com/breaking-news/article-786132>
- [102] Times of Israel: Moody's lowers Israel's credit rating for 2nd time this year, amid Hezbollah escalation (September 28, 2024) <http://www.timesofisrael.com/moodys-slashes-israels-credit-rating-amid-hezbollah-escalation-war-costs-to-economy/>

[103] Times of Israel Moody's drastic downgrade will have ripple effect on war-torn consumers and taxpayers (September 29, 2024)

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/after-moodys-downgrade-there-is-a-ripple-effect-on-war-torn-consumers-and-taxpayers/>

[104] NewsRu: Moody's has transferred responsibility for Israel reporting from its UK division to its US division (March 17, 2025)

<http://www.newsru.co.il/finance/17mar2025/moody323.html>

[105] Memorandum to the House Judiciary Committee: The Biden-Harris Administration's Funding of Anti-Netanyahu NonGovernmental Organizations. (July 17, 2025)

<http://judiciary.house.gov/sites/evo-subsites/republicans-judiciary.house.gov/files/evo-media-document/israel-ngos-funding-memo-final-hjc-2.pdf>

[106] Evrey.com: When Conjectures Become Facts (July 20, 2025) <http://evrey.com/sitep/analysis/arkhiv.php?menu=07-25/20.htm>

[107] The Hill: Rubio: USAID officially ceases operations (January 7, 2025)

<http://thehill.com/policy/international/5379363-us-aid-agency-closure/>

Chapter: Conclusion

[108]

- Ioanna Iordanou, "Venice's Secret Service", 2019. <http://global.oup.com/academic/product/venices-secret-service-9780198791317>

- Ioanna Iordanou, "The secret service of Renaissance Venice: intelligence organisation in the sixteenth century", 2022.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/16161262.2022.2141976>

[109] Cambridge: "Venetian Diplomatic Agents in England", 1864. <http://www.british-history.ac.uk/cal-state-papers/venice/vol1/cxxii-cxxix>

[110] David Wootton, "Paolo Sarpi", 2009. <http://www.cambridge.org/core/books/paolo-sarpi/9D886DADCCE28FDA0E9E3DD8303D1371>

[111] Eloise Davies, "[Paolo Sarpi the Hunted Friar and his Popularity in England](#)", 2014.

[112] Eloise Davies, "The Venetian Connection in early seventeenth-century England", 2022.

<http://www.repository.cam.ac.uk/items/9172a3b1-3ff2-4421-a0eb-df78b3a2d987>

[113] Gerald Rose, "The Venetian Takeover of England", 1994. https://archive.schillerinstitute.com/conf-iclc/1990s/conf_feb_1994_gmr.html

[114] Richard Poe, "How the British Invented Communism (and Blamed it on the Jews)", 2023.

<http://richardpoe.substack.com/i/95903021/strange-alliance>

[115] Andrey Fursov "Technology of History", 2013. http://andreyfursov.ru/news/tehnologija_istorii/2013-11-14-277

[116] Ioan Ratiu, "The Milner-Fabian Conspiracy", 2012. Chapter7 «EU Scam». http://archive.org/details/tmfc_20240507

[117] Wikipedia: Churchill's "Fulton Speech". http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fulton_Speech

[118] Mark Curtis, "Secret affairs : Britain's collusion with radical Islam", 2010. <http://archive.org/details/secretaffairsbri0000curt>

[119] Mark Curtis, "The Ambiguities of Power: British Foreign Policy Since 1945", 1995.

http://books.google.co.il/books?redir_esc=y&hl=ru&id=D2iGAAAIAAJ&focus=searchwithinvolume&q=%22systematic+contributor%22