## **Methods in Range Ecology**

Final Project Poster Rubric

	Poor	Needs Improvement	Average	Excellent
Introduction and Objectives	No introduction to the topic of study and does not state objectives	Minimal introduction to the topic of study; states objectives	Some introduction and justification of the topic of study; states objectives	Clearly introduces and justifies the topic of study; states objectives clearly
	0	6	8	10
Study Site (can include pictures/maps of the site)	No study site description	Minimal study site description	Some study site description, can envision site	Study site description allows audience to clearly understand the characteristics of the site
	0	6	8	10
Methods (can include pictures of vegetation sampling techniques)	No description of methods	Description of methods given, but unclear	Some description of methods, including analysis used, but could not repeat	Could repeat the methods used, including analysis
	0	6	8	10
Results (can primarily be figures and tables)	No results given	Results briefly stated, but unclear	Some results given, but not all objectives addressed	Results given are clear and address stated objectives
	0	6	8	10
Discussion (bullet points okay, be sure to elaborate in presentation)	No discussion	Brief discussion, but unclear on implications of results	Some discussion, but not all results discussed	All results discussed, with management implications clear (or challenges of study discussed)
	0	6	8	10
Total points possible:				50