

**TITLE SHOULD BE CLEAR, BRIEF AND INFORMATIVE  
ABBREVIATION AND FORMULA SHOULD BE AVOIDED. RELATED  
TO THE VARIABLES AND/OR THE CONTENT OF ARTICLE. NO  
MORE THAN 16 WORD. (TIMES NEW ROMAN, 12)**

**Firs Author<sup>1\*</sup>; Second Author<sup>2</sup>; ..., Last Author<sup>xx</sup> (Times New Roman, 12)**

<sup>1</sup>*Firs Affiliation, Country (Times New Roman, 12)*

<sup>2</sup>*Second Affiliation, Country (Times New Roman, 12)*

<sup>xx</sup>*Last Affiliation, Country (Times New Roman, 12)*

*\*Correspondence Address: xxxxxxxxx@xxxx.xxx*

**Abstract (must be in English and Arabic):** All information about the formatting requirements is contained in this document. Please review it carefully. You may use the document as a template and copy/paste your paper content here – this is probably the easiest option. Several styles have been included in this template to facilitate formatting – you may find it easier to use them instead of formatting each segment differently. Note that it is important to adhere to the requirements exactly, as this will form the camera-ready version that will be used to produce the Proceedings. The limitations on the length of the document are as follows. The abstract should 150 – 250 words and written in English and Arabic.

**Keywords:** *4-7 keywords, separate with the semicolon and alphabetical order*

### **Introduction / Pendahuluan / المقدمة**

The introduction contains the purpose of the study and why the study is conducted. The main section of an article should start with an introductory section which provides more details about the paper's purposes, motivation, research methods and findings. The introduction should be relatively nontechnical, yet clear enough for an informed reader to understand the manuscript's contribution.

In general, the article in ARMALA Journal is written in English, Arabic and Indonesian. The article also consists of 1 column, using "Times New Roman", font size 12, and space 1,5. in Arabic Using "Traditional Arabic, font size 18, and space 1.

This template is designed to assist the author in preparing manuscript; it is an exact representation of the format expected by the editor. To use this template, please just *Save As* the MS Word file to the document, then copy and paste the document here. To copy and paste the text to this template document, please use “Special Paste” and choose “Unformatted Text”.

In Introduction, Authors should state the objectives of the work at the end of introduction section. Before the objective, Authors should provide an adequate background, and brief literature survey in order to record the existing solutions / method, to show which is the best of previous researches, to show the main limitation of the previous researches, to show what is the achievement (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper. Avoid a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

### **Method / Metode / منهج البحث**

Materials and methods should make readers be able to reproduce the experiment. It should be provided sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods that already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described. Do not repeat the details of established methods.

It is both conventional and expedient to divide the method section into labeled subsections. These usually include a section with descriptions of the participants or subjects and a section describing the procedures used in the study. The latter section often includes description of (a) any experimental manipulations or inter-ventions used and how they were delivered-for example, any mechanical apparatus used to deliver them; (b) sampling procedures and sample size and precision; (c) measurement approaches (including the psychometric properties of the instruments used); and (d) the research design. If the design of the study is complex or the stimuli require detailed description, additional subsections or subheadings that divide the subsections may be warranted to help readers find specific information.

These subsections include the essential information to comprehend and replicate the study. Insufficient detail will make the reader confused; too much detail will burden the reader with irrelevant information. It should be considered when using appendices and/or a supplemental website for more detailed information.

#### *Participant (Subject) Characteristics*

Appropriate identification of research participants is critical to the science and practice of psychology, particularly for generalizing the findings, making comparisons across replications, and using the evidence in research syntheses and secondary data analyses. If humans participated in the study, report the eligibility and exclusion criteria, including any restrictions based on demographic characteristics.

#### *Research Design*

Specify the research design in the method section. Were subjects manipulated, or were they observed naturalistically? If multiple conditions were created, how were participants assigned to conditions, through random assignment or some other selection mechanism? Was the study conducted as a between-subjects or a within-subject design?

### **Result and Discussion / Hasil dan Pembahasan / نتائج البحث**

Results should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Highlight the differences between the results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

The discussion should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

In the discussion, it is the most significance section of the article. Here you get the chance to make your data. Make the discussion corresponding to the results, but do not reiterate the results. Often should begin with a brief summary

of the main scientific findings (not experimental results). The following components should be covered in discussion: How do your results relate to the original question or objectives outlined in the Introduction section (what)? Do you provide interpretation scientifically for each of your results or findings presented (why)? Are your results consistent with what other investigators have reported (what else)? Or are there any differences?

After presenting the results, you are in a position to evaluate and interpret their implications, especially with respect to your original hypotheses. Here you will examine, interpret, and qualify the results and draw inferences and conclusions from them. Emphasize any theoretical or practical consequences of the results. (When the discussion is relatively brief and straightforward, some authors prefer to combine it with the Results section, creating a section called Results and Discussion.)

Open the Discussion section with a clear statement of the support or nonsupport for your original hypotheses, distinguished by primary and secondary hypotheses. If hypotheses were not supported, offer post hoc explanations. Similarities and differences between your results and the work of others should be used to contextualize, confirm, and clarify your conclusions. Do not simply reformulate and repeat points already made; each new statement should contribute to your interpretation and to the reader's understanding of the problem.

Your interpretation of the results should take into account (a) sources of potential bias and other threats to internal validity, (b) the imprecision of measures, (c) the overall number of tests or overlap among tests, (d) the effect sizes observed, and (e) other limitations or weaknesses of the study. If an intervention is involved, discuss whether it was successful and the mechanism by which it was intended to work (causal pathways) and/or alternative mechanisms. Also, discuss barriers to implementing the intervention or manipulation as well as the fidelity with which the intervention or manipulation was implemented in the

study, that is, any differences between the manipulation as planned and as implemented.


Acknowledge the limitations of your research, and address alternative explanations of the results. Discuss the generalizability, or external validity, of the findings. This critical analysis should take into account differences between the target population and the accessed sample. For interventions, discuss characteristics that make them more or less applicable to circumstances not included in the study, how and what outcomes were measured (relative to other measures that might have been used), the length of time to measurement (between the end of the intervention and the measurement of outcomes), incentives, compliance rates, and specific settings involved in the study as well as other contextual issues.

End the Discussion section with a reasoned and justifiable commentary on the importance of your findings. This concluding section may be brief or extensive provided that it is tightly reasoned, self-contained, and not overstated. In this section, you might briefly return to a discussion of why the problem is important (as stated in the introduction); what larger issues, those that transcend the particulars of the subfield, might hinge on the findings; and what propositions are confirmed or disconfirmed by the extrapolation of these findings to such overarching issues.

Result and discussion should be presented in the same part, clearly and briefly. Discussion part should contain the benefit of research result, not repeat result part. Result and discussion part can be written in the same part to avoid extensive quotation.

**Table 1.** Table Title

No	Description	Information
1	Description 1	Information 1
2	Description 2	Information 2
3	Description 3	Information 3



**Figure 1.** Figure Title

### Conclusion / Kesimpulan / الخلاصة

Conclusions should answer the objectives of research. Tells how your work advances the field from the present state of knowledge. Without clear Conclusions, reviewers and readers will find it difficult to judge the work, and whether or not it merits publication in the journal. Do not repeat the Abstract, or just list experimental results. Provide a clear scientific justification for your work, and indicate possible applications and extensions. You should also suggest future experiments and/or point out those that are underway.

### References / المراجع / Daftar Pustaka

#### (Examples:)

Mujib, Fathul dan Nailur Rahmawati. *Metode Permainan-Permainan Edukatif dalam Belajar Bahasa Arab*. Jogjakarta: Diva Press, 2013.

Alfiyan, Muhammad Ifan. “ Ṭarīqah al-Ta’līm al-Kitābah wa Tiqniyātihi Ligairi al-Nāṭiqīna bi al- Lughah al-Arabiyyah.” *Jurnal al-Arabia*, Vol. 6, No. 2 (2014): 165-176.

Aḥmad, Muṣṭafa al-Hādi. “Tadrīs al-Imlā al-Arabi li Muta’allimī al-Lugah al-Arabiyyah li al-Ajānib.” *Tesis*. Kharṭoum: Ma’had al-Kharṭoum al-Dauli, 1983.

Muhbib. “Tantangan dan Prospek Pendidikan Bahasa Arab.” Accessed on June 12, 2015. <http://www.atida.org/melayu/index.php?option>

**Note:**

**AUTHOR GUIDELINES**

The length of the manuscript is around 12-25 pages.

Articles are written by systematic and the following provisions.

1. Title written in a concise and informative, maximum 16 words (single-spaced, Bold, Center, English; **Times New Roman** 12, Arabic; **Traditional Arabic** 18 UPPERCASE) should reflect the substance of the variables described in the article body.
2. Name of Author: without titles, the author can be an individual or a team (Capitalize Each Word, Times New Roman 12, Traditional Arabic 16).
3. Affiliation: name of the institution (Capitalize Each Word, Times New Roman 12, Traditional Arabic 16).
4. E-mail Address, which is located under the affiliation (Times New Roman 12, Traditional Arabic 16).
5. Abstract (in Arabic and English): the length of 75-250 word, abstracts written in one paragraph includes: scientific review article consists of a discussion of the core issues and substantially while the results of the research article consist of goals, methods, and results. The abstract is single-spaced.
6. Keywords: terms that reflect the essence of the concept within the scope of the problem may consist of several pieces of terms.

**THE BODY OF MANUSCRIPT**

1. Research Article consist of an A. INTRODUCTION, B. METHODS, C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION and D. CONCLUSIONS.
2. Review Article consist of an A. INTRODUCTION (preliminary scientific study) that shows the problems and framework of analysis, sub-subtitle that contains the B. DISCUSSION and C. CONCLUSIONS.
3. Reference / Bibliography: use Chicago Manual of Style 16th edition (full note) citation rules, cultivated from a primary source, and if it comes from the

most current cultivated journals (5-7 years) and just acknowledgment of the source designated in the article body. Instead, the name that is referenced in the body must exist in the bibliography. Second row to the right of the entrance 7 letter. Here are some examples of writing a bibliography of several types of sources. Recommended to use Citation Software Ex. Mandeley, Zotero etc.