Nonlinearities and Approximations
Minimization of the Sum of Absolute Deviations - transformation

$$\epsilon_i = Y_i - \sum_j X_{ji}\, b_j$$

1

2. A constraint set is formed by moving the $\sum X_{ji}b_j$ term to the left side of the equation.

$$\epsilon_i + \sum_j X_{ji}\, b_j = Y_i$$

3. The basic problem of minimizing the summed absolute values of all ε_i is:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Min} \quad & \sum_i |\epsilon_i| \\ \textit{s.t.} \quad & \epsilon_i + \sum_j X_{ji}\, b_j = Y_i \quad \textit{for all } i \\ & \epsilon_i \gtrless 0 \qquad b_j \gtrless 0 \quad \textit{for all } i \textit{ and } j \end{aligned}$$

4. Conversion to LP problem: $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_i^+ - \epsilon_i^-$

5. The resultant problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Min} \quad & \sum_i |\epsilon_i^+ - \epsilon_i^-| \\ & \epsilon_i^+ - \epsilon_i^- + \sum_j X_{ji}\, b_j = Y_i \quad \textit{for all } i \\ & \epsilon_i^+, \epsilon_i^- \geq 0 \qquad b_j \gtrless 0 \quad \textit{for all } i \textit{ and } j \end{aligned}$$

Which is still nonlinear

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of the Sum of Absolute Deviations

- transformation

6. $\epsilon_i^+ * \epsilon_i^- = 0,$ which can be written as:

$$\left|\epsilon_i^+ - \epsilon_i^-\right| = \left|\epsilon_i^+\right| + \left|\epsilon_i^-\right| = \epsilon_i^+ + \epsilon_i^-$$

whenever $\epsilon_i^+ * \epsilon_i^- = 0$

7. The formula then becomes:

$$\textit{Min} \quad \sum_i \left(\epsilon_i^+ + \epsilon_i^-\right)$$

$$\textit{s.t.} \quad \epsilon_i^+ - \epsilon_i^- + \sum_j X_{ji}\, b_j = Y_i \quad \textit{for all } i$$

$$\epsilon_i^+ * \epsilon_i^- = 0 \quad \textit{for all } i$$

$$\epsilon_i^+ , \epsilon_i^- \geq 0 \qquad b_j \begin{matrix} \leq \\ - \\ > \end{matrix} 0 \quad \textit{for all } i \textit{ and } j$$

This is an LP formulation except for the constraint on the product of ε_i^+ and ε_i^-. However, this constraint can be dropped.

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of the Sum of Absolute Deviations

- transformation

Reduced Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Min \quad |\epsilon| \quad &= \quad \epsilon^{+} + \epsilon^{-} \\ \epsilon^{+} - \epsilon^{-} \quad &= \quad Y \\ \epsilon^{+}, \epsilon^{-} \quad &\geq \quad 0 \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the first constraint: $\epsilon^{+} = Y + \epsilon^{-}$

Y = 4			Y = -6		
ε^{+}	ε^{-}	$\varepsilon^{+} + \varepsilon^{-}$	ε^{+}	ε^{-}	$\varepsilon^{+} + \varepsilon^{-}$
4	0	4*	0	6	6*
16	12	28	14	20	34
Z + 4	Z	2Z + 4	Z	Z + 6	2Z + 6

* These cases are the only ones in which ε^{+} * ε^{-} equals zero.

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of the Sum of Absolute Deviations

- transformation An Example:

Price of Raw Oranges	Quantity of Oranges Sold	Quantity of Juice Sold
10	8	5
5	9	1
4	10	9
2	13	8
6	15	2
9	17	3

$$Min \quad \sum_i |\epsilon_i|$$

$$s.t. \qquad \epsilon_i = Y_i - b_0 - X_{il}\, b_i - X_{i2}\, b_2 \quad for\ all\ i$$

$$\epsilon_i \underset{<}{\overset{>}{-}} 0 \qquad b_0, b_1, b_2 \underset{>}{\overset{<}{-}} 0 \qquad for\ all\ i$$

An Alternative Formulation would be:

$$Min \quad \sum_i \left(\epsilon_i^+ + \epsilon_i^-\right)$$

$$s.t. \qquad \epsilon_1^+ - \epsilon_1^- = 10 - b_0 - 8b_1 - 5b_2$$

$$\epsilon_2^+ - \epsilon_2^- = 5 - b_0 - 9b_1 - 1b_2$$

$$\epsilon_3^+ - \epsilon_3^- = 4 - b_0 - 10b_1 - 9b_2$$

$$\epsilon_4^+ - \epsilon_4^- = 2 - b_0 - 13b_1 - 8b_2$$

$$\epsilon_5^+ - \epsilon_5^- = 6 - b_0 - 15b_1 - 2b_2$$

$$\epsilon_6^+ - \epsilon_6^- = 9 - b_0 - 17b_1 - 3b_2$$

$$\epsilon_i^+, \quad \epsilon_i^- \geq 0 \qquad b_0, b_1, b_2 \underset{>}{\overset{<}{-}} 0 \quad for\ all\ i$$

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of the Sum of Absolute Deviations

- transformation An Example:

Table 9.1. Minimization of Sum of Absolute Deviations Formulation

	ε_1^+	ε_1^-	ε_2^+	ε_2^-	ε_3^+	ε_3^-	ε_4^+	ε_4^-	ε_5^+	ε_5^-	ε_6^+	ε_6^-	b_0	b_1	b_2	
Obj	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				Min
1	1	-1											1	8	5	=10
2			1	-1									1	9	1	=5
3					1	-1							1	10	9	=4
4							1	-1					1	13	8	=2
5									1	-1			1	15	2	=6
6											1	-1	1	17	3	=9

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of the Sum of Absolute Deviations

- transformation An Example:

Table 9.2. Solution of Minimization of Absolute Deviation Sum Example

Objective function = 11.277

Variable	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
ε_1^+	5.787	0	Obs 1	0	1
ε_1^-	0	2.000	Obs 2	0	-0.660
ε_2^+	0	1.66	Obs 3	0	0.191
ε_2^-	0	0.340	Obs 4	0	-1
ε_3^+	0	0.809	Obs 5	0	-0.532
ε_3^-	0	1.191	Obs 6	0	1
ε_4^+	0	2.000			
ε_4^-	2.723	0			
ε_5^+	0	1.532			
ε_5^-	0	0.468			
ε_6^+	2.766	0			
ε_6^-	0	2.000			
b_0	3.426	0			
b_1	0.191	0			
b_2	-0.149	0			

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of Largest Absolute Deviation

- transformation

$$Min \quad \left[\underset{i}{Max} \left| \in_i \right| \right]$$

$$s.t. \qquad \in_i \; = \; Y_i \; - \sum_j X_{ji} \; b_j \quad for \; all \; i$$

$$\in_i, \quad b_j \; \begin{matrix} \leq \\ - \\ > \end{matrix} \; 0 \qquad for \; all \; i \; and \; j$$

Min ε

$$\in \geq \quad Y_i \; - \sum_j X_{ji} \; b_j$$

$$\in \geq \; - \left(Y_i \; - \sum_j X_{ji} \; b_j \right)$$

The Composite Linear Program is:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} Min & \in & & & & \\ s.t. & - \in & - & \sum_j X_{ji} \; b_j & \leq & -Y_i \quad for \; all \; i \\ & - \in & + & \sum_j X_{ji} \; b_j & \leq & Y_i \quad for \; all \; i \\ & \in \geq 0 & & b_j \; \begin{matrix} \leq \\ - \\ > \end{matrix} \; 0 & & for \; all \; j \end{array}$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Minimization of Largest Absolute Deviation

- transformation Example:

Rows	ε	b_0	b_1	b_2		
Objective	1				Minimize	
1^+	-1	-1	-8	-5	≤	-10
1^-	-1	1	8	5	≤	10
2^+	-1	-1	-9	-1	≤	-5
2^-	-1	1	9	1	≤	5
3^+	-1	-1	-10	-9	≤	-4
3^-	-1	1	10	9	≤	4
4^+	-1	-1	-13	-8	≤	-2
4^-	-1	1	13	8	≤	2
5^+	-1	-1	-15	-2	≤	-6
5^-	-1	1	15	2	≤	6
6^+	-1	-1	-17	-3	≤	-9
6^-	-1	1	17	3	≤	9
			1		≤	0
				1	≥	0

Table 9.3. Solution of Largest Absolute Deviation Example

Variables	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
ε	3.722	0	1^+	0	-0.222
b_0	7.167	0	1^-	7.44	0.0
b_1	-0.111	0	2^+	4.89	0.0
b_2	0.000	2.056	2^-	2.56	0.0
			3^+	5.78	0.0
			3^-	1.67	0.0
			4^+	7.44	0.0
			4^-	0	-0.5
			5^+	3.22	0.0
			5^-	4.22	0.0
			6^+	0	-0.278
			6^-	7.44	0.0

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Optimizing a Fraction

- transformation

$$\text{Max} \quad \frac{C_0 + \sum_j C_j X_j}{d_0 + \sum_j d_j X_j}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_j a_{ij} X_j \leq b_i \quad \text{for all } i$$

$$X_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } j$$

where: $d_0 + \sum_j d_j X_j \neq 0$

Transformation to LP:

$$y_0 = \left[d_0 + \sum_j d_j X_j \right]^{-1}$$

$$y_0^{-1} = d_0 + \sum_j d_j X_j$$

$$d_0 y_0 + \sum_j d_j X_j y_0 = 1$$

$$\text{Max} \quad C_0 y_0 + \sum_j C_j X_j y_0$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_j a_{ij} X_j y_0 / y_0 \leq b_i \quad \text{for all } i$$

$$d_0 y_0 + \sum_j d_j X_j y_0 = 1$$

$$y_0, \quad X_j \geq 0.$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Optimizing a Fraction

- transformation

More Transformations:

$$\textbf{Let } y_j = X_j\, y_0$$

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{Max} & C_0 y_0 + \sum_j C_j y_j & & \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_j a_{ij} y_j / y_0 & \le & b_i \quad \text{for all } i \\ & d_0 y_0 + \sum_j d_j y_j & = & 1 \\ & y_0, \quad y_j & \ge & 0. \end{array}$$

$$\sum_j a_{ij} y_j \le b_i y_0$$

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{Max} & C_0 y_0 + \sum_j C_j y_j & & \\ \text{s.t.} & -b_0 y_0 + \sum_j a_{ij} y_j & \le & 0 \quad \text{for all } i \\ & d_0 y_0 + \sum_j d_j y_j & = & 1 \\ & y_0, \quad y_j & \ge & 0 \quad \text{for all } j \end{array}$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Optimizing a Fraction / Example

- transformation

Suppose that it is desirable to solve the following problem

$$\frac{1.8X_1+1.7X_2}{10+4X_1+4.1X_2} \quad s.t. \qquad 1.5X_1 + X_2 \leq 6 \quad 3X_1 + 4X_2 \leq 30X_1, \quad X_2 \geq 0$$

Then the transformed problem is

$$\begin{array}{lrcrcrcl}
\text{Max} & & & 1.8\,y_1 & + & 1.7\,y_2 & & \\
\text{s.t.} & -6\,y_0 & + & 1.5\,y_1 & + & y_2 & \leq & 0 \\
 & -20\,y_0 & + & 3.0\,y_1 & + & 4\,y_2 & \leq & 0 \\
 & 10\,y_0 & + & 4.0\,y_1 & + & 4.1\,y_2 & = & 1 \\
 & y_0 & & y_1, & & y_2, & \geq & 0
\end{array}$$

Once a solution to this problem is obtained, the values of the original variables are recovered using the formulas

$$X_1 = y_1 / y_0$$
$$X_2 = y_2 / y_0$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Optimizing a Fraction / Example

- transformation

Table 9.4. Solution to the Example for Optimizing a Fraction

Objective function = 0.2899					
Variable	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
y_0	0.032	0	1	0	0.342
y_1	0.042	0	2	0	0.042
y_2	0.126	0	3	0	0.290

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Grid Point Approximations- Separable Programming -

$$f(X) \cong F(X) = f\left(\hat{X}_k\right) + \frac{f\left(\hat{X}_{k+1}\right) - f\left(\hat{X}_k\right)}{\hat{X}_{k+1} - \hat{X}_k}\left(X - \hat{X}_k\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \lambda_k \hat{X}_k + \lambda_{k+1} \hat{X}_{k+1} \\ &\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1} = 1 \\ &\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$F(X) \cong \lambda_k f\left(\hat{X}_k\right) + \lambda_{k+1} f\left(\hat{X}_{k+1}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max} \quad & \sum_j f_j\left(X_j\right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_j g_{ij}\left(X_j\right) \leq b_i, \quad \text{for all } i \\ & X_j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max} \quad & \sum_j \sum_\mu \lambda_{j\mu} f_j\left(\hat{X}_{j\mu}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_j \sum_\mu \lambda_{j\mu} g_{ij}\left(\hat{X}_{j\mu}\right) \leq b_i, \quad \text{for all } i \\ & \sum_\mu \lambda_{j\mu} = 1 \quad \text{for all } j \\ & \lambda_{j\mu} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } j \text{ and } \mu \end{aligned}$$

$$X_j = \sum \lambda_{j\mu} \hat{X}_{j\mu}$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Separable Programming / Example 1

Suppose we approximate the problem.

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll} \text{Max} & (4-.25X) & X & & & - & (1+.25Z) & Z & \\ & & X & - & 3Y & & & & \leq \quad 0 \\ & & & & 2Y & - & & Z & \leq \quad 0 \\ & & X, & & Y, & & & Z & \geq \quad 0 \end{array}$$

To set this problem up, suppose we use values of X equal to 1,2,3,4,5,6 and the same values for Z. The separable programming representation is in Table 12.

	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_6	Y	β_1	β_2	β_3	β_4	β_5	β_6	
max	3.75	7	9.75	12	13.75	15		-1.25	-3	-5.25	-8	-10.125	-15	
constraint 1	1	2	3	4	5	6	-3							≤0
constraint 2							2	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	≤0
convex 1	1	1	1	1	1	1								≤1
convex 2								1	1	1	1	1	1	≤1

Note that λ_2 stands for the amount of the grid point X=2 utilized having an objective value equal to the nonlinear function of X evaluated at X=2. The GAMS formulation is called SEPARABL and the resultant solution is shown in Table 9.5. The objective function value is 7.625. The model sets $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = 0.5$ amounting to 50% of grid point X_4 and 50% of X_5 or X=4.5. The value of Y = 1.5. Simultaneously $\beta_1 = 1$ implying Z = 3.

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Solution:

Table 9.5. Solution to the Step Approximation Example

Objective function = 7.625

Variable	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
λ_1	0	-3.000	1	0	1.750
λ_2	0	-1.500	2	0	2.625
λ_3	0	-0.500	3	0	5.000
λ_4	0.5	0	4	0	2.625
λ_5	0.5	0			
λ_6	0	-0.500			
Y	1.5	0			
B_1	0	-1.250			
B_2	0	-0.375			
B_3	1	0			
B_4	0	-0.125			
B_5	0	-0.750			
B_6	0	-1.875			

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Separable Programming / Example 2

Suppose we wish to approximate the following problem

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \text{Max} & 3X - 3Y & & \\ \text{s.t.} & X - (20 + 2Y - .2Y^2) & \leq & 0 \\ & X, \quad Y & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Selecting a grid for Y of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, the separable programming formulation becomes

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} \textit{Max} & 3X - 0\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 - 6\lambda_3 - 9\lambda_4 - 12\lambda_5 - 15\lambda_6 & & \\ \textit{s.t.} & X - 20\lambda_1 - 21.8\lambda_2 - 23.2\lambda_3 - 24.2\lambda_4 - 24.8\lambda_5 - 25\lambda_6 & \leq & 0 \\ & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_6 & = & 1 \\ & X, \quad \lambda_1, \quad \lambda_2, \quad \lambda_3, \quad \lambda_4, \quad \lambda_5, \quad \lambda_6 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Separable Programming / Example 2

Table 9.6. Solution to the Constraint Step Approximation Problem

Objective function = 63.6

Variable	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
X	23.2	0	1	0	3
λ_1	0	-3.6	2	0	63.6
λ_2	0	-1.2			
λ_3	1	0			
λ_4	0	0			
λ_5	0	-1.2			
λ_6	0	-3.6			

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Functions of Multiple Variables

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text{Max} & CX & - & \sum_j d_j Y_j & & \\
\text{s.t.} & X & - & H(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_n) = & 0 & \\
 & & & Y_j \leq & b_i & \text{for all } j \\
 & X, & & Y \geq & 0 &
\end{array}
$$

where there are multiple inputs and one output (for simplicity). The output X is a function of the levels of the multiple inputs (Y_j). Also the function $H(Y_1 \ldots Y_n)$ has to be such that this problem has a convex constraint set.

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Homogeneous of Degree One

$$H(\alpha Y) \quad = \quad \alpha H(Y)$$

$$H(\alpha_u \hat{Y}_{iu}) \quad = \quad \alpha_u H(\hat{Y}_{ju})$$

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
Max & CX & & - & \sum_j d_j Y_j & \\
s.t. & X - \sum_u \alpha_u H(\hat{Y}_{ju}) & & & = 0 & \\
 & \sum_u \alpha_u Y_{ju} & - & Y_j & = 0 & \text{for all } j \\
 & & & Y_j & \le b_j & \text{for all } j \\
 & X, \quad \alpha_u, & & Y_j & \ge 0 & \text{for all } u \text{ and } j
\end{array}$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Homogeneous of Degree One / Example

Consider the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{lrcrcrcl}
Max & 4X & - & 20Y_1 & - & 100Y_2 & & \\
s.t. & X & - & 21Y_1^{0.75}Y_2^{0.25} & & & = & 0 \\
 & & & Y_1 & & & \leq & 50 \\
 & X, & & Y_1, & & Y_2 & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lrcrcrcrcrcl}
Max & 4X & & & & & - & 20Y_1 & - & 100Y_2 & & \\
s.t. & X & - & 29.7\alpha_1 & - & 168\alpha_2 & - & 59.4\alpha_3 & & & \leq & 0 \\
 & & & \alpha_1 & + & 8\alpha_2 & + & 4\alpha_3 & - & Y_1 & = & 0 \\
 & & & 4\alpha_1 & + & 8\alpha_2 & + & \alpha_3 & & - Y_2 & = & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & Y_1 & & \leq & 50 \\
 & X, & & \alpha_1, & & \alpha_2, & & \alpha_3, & Y_1, & & \geq & 0
\end{array}
$$

Table 9.8. Solution to Example Problem for Homogeneous of Degree 1

Objective function = 719.8

Variable	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
X	742.5	0	1	0	4
α1	0	-315.6	2	0	34.4
α_2	0	-403.2	3	0	100
α_3	12.5	0			
Y_1	50	14.4			
Y_2	12.5	0			

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Homogeneous of Degree Less Than One

$$H(\alpha Y) \quad < \quad \alpha H(Y)$$

$$X = aY_1^{b_1}Y_2^{b_2}\ldots Y_n^{b_n} = a\prod_j Y_j^{b_j}$$

$$Y_j = \alpha_u Y_{ju}$$

$$X = a\prod_j \left(\alpha_u Y_{ju}\right)^{b_j} = a\left(\prod_j Y_{ju}^{b_j}\right)\alpha_u^{\sum_j b_j}$$

$$\sum_j b_j \leq 1$$

The problem of interest becomes:

$$\begin{array}{llllllll}
Max & CX & - & & \sum_j d_j Y_j & & & \\
s.t. & X & - \sum_u \sum_L H(Y_{ju}\alpha_{uL})\lambda_{uL} & & & = & 0 & \\
 & & \sum_u \sum_L (Y_{ju}\alpha_{uL})\lambda_{uL} & - & Y_j & = & 0 & for\ all\ j \\
 & & \sum_u \sum_L \lambda_{uL} & & & = & 1 & \\
 & & & & Y_j & \leq & b_j & for\ all\ j \\
 & X, & & \lambda_{uL}, & Y_j & \geq & 0 &
\end{array}$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Homogeneous of Degree Less Than One Example

Consider the example problem

$$\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} \text{Max} & 0.5X & - & 2Y_1 & - & 2Y_2 & \\ & X & - & 21Y_1^{.5}Y_2^{.25} & & = & 0 \\ & & & Y_1 & & \leq & 10 \\ & & & Y_1, & Y_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Table 9.9. Approximations for the Homogenous of Degree Less Than One Example

X	Y_{11}	Y_{12}	X	Y_{12}	Y_{22}	X	Y_{13}	Y_{23}
21	1	1	29.7	2	1	25.0	1	2
59.4	4	4	49.9	4	2	70.6	4	8
80.5	6	6	67.7	6	3	95.7	6	12
118.1	10	10	99.3	10	5	140.4	10	20

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Homogeneous of Degree Less Than One

Example

Table 9.10. Formulation of the Homogeneous Degree Less than One Example

Rows	X	λ_{11}	λ_{12}	λ_{13}	λ_{14}	λ_{21}	λ_{22}	λ_{23}	λ_{24}	λ_{31}	λ_{32}	λ_{33}	λ_{34}	Y_1	Y_2	RHS
Obj	0.5													-2	-2	max
x bal	1	-21	-59.4	-80.5	-118.1	-29.7	-49.9	-67.7	-99.3	-25.0	-70.6	-95.7	-140.4			= 0
Y bal		1	4	6	10	2	4	6	10	1	4	6	10	-1		= 0
		1	4	6	10	1	2	3	5	2	8	12	20		-1	= 0
convex		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			$\leq$ 1
Y lim														1		$\leq$ 10

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Homogeneous of Degree Less Than One

Example

Table 9.11. Solution to the Homogenous of Degree Less Than One Example

Objective function = 19.651

Variable	Value	Reduced Cost	Equation	Slack	Shadow Price
X	99.3	0	x bal	0	0.500
λ_{11}	0	-5.506	Y_1 bal	0	2.850
λ_{12}	0	-0.856	Y_2 bal	0	2.000
λ_{13}	0	0.000	convex	0	11.156
λ_{14}	0	-0.606	Y lim	0	0.85
λ_{21}	0	-4.006			
λ_{22}	0	-1.581			
λ_{23}	0	-0.404			
λ_{24}	1	0.000			
λ_{31}	0	-5.519			
λ_{32}	0	-3.237			
λ_{33}	0	-4.384			
λ_{34}	0	-9.434			
Y_1	10	0.000			
Y_2	5	0.000			

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen

Nonlinearities and Approximations

Iterative Approximations

Based on the concept of a Taylor series expansion. This method solves the problem :

$$\begin{aligned} Max \quad & f(X) \\ & g(X) \leq b \\ & L_j \leq X_j \leq G_j \end{aligned}$$

The Approximating Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Max \quad & f(X_0) + \frac{d}{dX} f(X_0)\,(X - X_0) \\ s.t. \quad & g_i(X) = g_i(X_0) + \frac{d}{dX} g_i(X_0)\,(X - X_0) \leq b_i \\ & L_j \leq X_j \leq G_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_j = X_j - X_{0j}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Max \quad & f(X_o) + \sum_j \frac{d}{dX_j} f(X_o)\mu_j \\ & \sum_j \frac{d}{dX_j} g(X_o)\mu_j \leq b_i - g_i(X_o) \\ & -Lim_j^- \leq \mu_j \leq Lim_j^+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Lim_j^- &= \min\left[\beta_j,\ X_{oj} - L_j\right] \\ Lim_j^+ &= \min\left[\beta_j,\ G_j - X_{oj}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$X_{0j}^{k+1} = X_{0j}^{k} + \mu_j^*$$

copyright 1997 Bruce A. McCarl and Thomas H. Spreen