

Main



Starlarkify Python flags

Please read Bazel [Code of Conduct](#) before commenting.

- **Authors:** [Greg Estren](#) (gregce@bazel.build)
- **Status:** Implementing (Sep 8, 2025)
- **Reviewers:**
 - [Richard Levasseur](#) ([rules_python](#) maintainer)
- **Created:** Sep 8, 2025
- **Updated:** Oct 23, 2025
- **Tracking issue:** https://github.com/bazel-contrib/rules_python/issues/3252

Overview

Replace all Python language flags built into Bazel with Starlark definitions owned by rule owners.

Python subtask of [Starlarkify native Bazel flags](#).

This is an internal Bazel & rules_python cleanup change. It's mostly a no-op for end users. The goal is to give Python rule owners full control over Bazel's Python support.

"bazel_py" flags

These Python flags are defined in [BazelPythonConfiguration.java](#).

Goal: delete `ctx.fragments.bazel_py` and supporting Bazel code.

Sources: [BazelPythonConfiguration.java](#), [builtin_exec_platforms.bzl](#)

flag	type	default	references	exec value	decision
--python_top	Label	null	Starlark API	target value	Starlarkify
--python_path	String	null	Starlark API	target value	Starlarkify
--experimental_python_import_all_repositories	bool	true	Starlark API	target value	Starlarkify

Other builtin logic:

- [BazelPyBuiltins.java](#): nothing flag related
- [BazelPythonConfiguration.java](#)



- Fails build if `--python_path` isn't absolute. Move to Python `.bzl` logic. Challenge: OS-specific absolute path differences.
- Fails build if `--python_top` is set with `--incompatible_use_python_toolchains`. Remove check and remove ability to not use toolchains.

Summary:

- Remove `--incompatible_use_python_toolchain`
- Starlarkify everything else.

"py" flags

These Python flags are defined in [PythonOptions.java](#).

Goal: delete `ctx.fragments.py` and supporting Bazel code.

Sources: [PythonOptions.java](#), [builtin_exec_platforms.bzl](#)

flag	type	default	references	exec value	decision
<code>--build_python_zip</code>	TriState	<code>auto</code>	Starlark reference	target value	Different default for Windows host machines. Use this or this or this ?
<code>--incompatible_remove_old_python_version_api</code>	bool	<code>true</code> blazerc=true	none	target value	No-op since 2020. Remove.
<code>--incompatible_allow_python_version_transitions</code>	bool	<code>true</code> blazerc=true	none	target value	No-op since 2020. Remove.
<code>--incompatible_py3_is_default</code>	bool	<code>true</code>	trivial Bazel ref	target value	PY2-related. Remove.
<code>--incompatible_python_disable_py2</code>	bool	<code>true</code> blazerc=true	outdated Starlark ref?	target value	PY2-related. Remove.
<code>--incompatible_py2_outputs_are_suffixed</code>	bool	<code>true</code>	old Bazel ref	target value	PY2-related. Remove.
<code>--python_version</code>	Python Version	null	old Bazel refs	depends on PY2 vs. PY3	PY2-related. Remove.
<code>--force_python</code>	Python Version	null	old Bazel refs	default	PY2-related. Remove.
<code>--host_force_python</code>	Python Version	blazerc=PY3	old Bazel refs	target value	PY2-related. Remove.
<code>--incompatible_disable_legacy_py_provider</code>	bool	<code>true</code> blazerc=true	none	default	No-op since 2022. Remove, but check Bazel incompatible tracking bug status .
<code>--incompatible_use_python_toolchains</code>	bool	<code>true</code>	none	target value	Delete since flipped 2020.
<code>--incompatible_default_to_explicit_init_py</code>	bool	<code>false</code>	<code>default_to_explicit_init_py</code>	target value	Starlarkify

			y		
--python_native_rules_allowlist	Label	null blazerc set	native_rules_allowlist	target value	Starlarkify
--incompatible_python_disallow_native_rules	bool	false blazerc=true	disallow_native_rules	target value	Remove? Outdated?
--experimental_py_binaries_include_label	bool	false	include_label_in_linkstamp	target value	Starlarkify
--experimental_build_transitive_python_runfiles	bool	false blazerc=false	None	default	Graveyarded 2023. Delete.

Summary:

- Remove outdated no-op flags.
- Replace Tristate --python_preload_swigdeps with pure bool if possible.
- Remove PY2/3 checking logic if possible.
- Support --host_* semantics with pending [Starlark API](#) or short-term hack.
- Move -python_is_target_config_internal_only_do_not_use somewhere more generic.
- Starlarkify everything else.

Progress

Destination code:

- [rules_python pre-existing Starlark flags](#) - these are unrelated to native flags
- [rules_python ctx.fragments calls](#)
- no bazelbuild/ `ctx.fragments.py` or `ctx.fragments.bazel.py` references
- [rules_python transition logic](#) - has some programmatic complexity

Migration steps:

- Completed ▾ [Mention](#) migration on rules_python, bazelbuild
- Completed ▾ Define equivalent Starlark flags
- Completed ▾ [Update](#) rules_python to support both native and Starlark flags.
Builds still read native flags.
- Completed ▾ [Define](#) flag aliases in rules_python's MODULE.bazel so --python_* syntax continues working
- Completed ▾ Test that transitions still work when using Starlark flags
- Completed ▾ Test rules_python & bazelbuild CI works when using Starlark flags
- Completed ▾ Update rules_python to read from Starlark flags. This requires Bazel 9 or newer. Older Bazel versions will continue to use native flags.
- Not Started ▾ Remove `ctx.fragments.bazel.py`
- Not Started ▾ Remove `ctx.fragments.py`
- In Progress ▾ Remove native flags. Bazel 10+ now *must* use Starlark flags.
- Not Started ▾ Remove `BazelPythonConfiguration.java`
- Not Started ▾ Remove `PythonOptions.java`

- Not Started ▾ Update documentation if needed
- Not Started ▾ Starlarkify java tests or retain or remove as-is
- Not Started ▾ Check what's still needed in
[src/main/java/com/google/devtools/build/lib/rules/python](#)

Documentation

Python flags aren't particularly documented in [Bazel's core docs](#) but any such references will be moved to [rules_python](#).

Bazel's core docs [mirror](#) Python rule definitions. Flags will also be documented here.

Compatibility

Since this is an internal cleanup between [rules_python](#) and Bazel, we're trying to make it as much of a no-op to users as possible.

But there will be some differences because Starlark and built-in Bazel flags aren't exactly the same:

- Starlark flags don't support `$ bazel build //foo --flagname flagvalue`. This must be `$ bazel build //foo --flagname=flagvalue`.
- Starlark flags don't work with [ctx.fragments.py](#) or [ctx.fragments.bazel_py](#) Starlark APIs. The purpose of those APIs is to expose built-in Bazel logic to Starlark. We consider it an improvement to eliminate them. But if anyone besides [rules_python](#) has Starlark code that reads them it will fail.
- `$ bazel build //foo --some_python_flag` will no longer work if both:
 - the user hasn't [loaded rules_python](#) into their workspace
 - we've removed the native flag definition from Bazel.

In that case, Bazel will emit a "No such flag" error.

This is a no-op for anyone building Python since Bazel's Python support already requires loading [rules_python](#). But it can trigger if someone has, say, a [genrule](#) that `select()`s on `--some_python_flag`.

Bazel 9 will deprecate, but not remove, native flags. So this can't be an issue until Bazel 10.

There's enough skew that we'll gate Starlark Python flags behind two Bazel 9 [incompatible flags](#):

- `--incompatible_remove_ctx_py_fragment` ([#27079](#))
- `--incompatible_remove_ctx_bazel_py_fragment` ([#27080](#))

We expect users to address failures with reasonable user-side cleanups. For example, anyone reading [ctx.fragments.py](#) can read the Starlarkified flags just as `rules_python` does.

Bazel 8.x and lower will continue to work as they do now with any supported `rules_python` version.

Overall, we don't anticipate major disruption.

Document History

Date	Description
Sep 8, 2025	First proposal
Oct 23, 2025	Progress update, updated backward compatibility details

Testing



Testing Starlark Python flags

Addendum tab for [Starlarkify Python Flags](#)

Overview

Moving Python flags from Bazel to `rules_python` requires changes to the following:

- `rules_python` .bzl files
- `rules_python`'s `MODULE.bazel`
- core bazel code

This tab describes how to test changes by properly staging all needed modifications.

Manual testing

1. Clone <https://github.com/bazelbuild/bazel>. This is the main testing workspace.
2. In this workspace, build a custom `bazel@head`:

```
Shell
$ bazelisk build //src:bazel
$ mkdir b
$ cp -f bazel-bin/bazel b/bazeldev
```

3. Clone https://github.com/bazel-contrib/rules_python into another directory.
4. Go back to the `bazelbuild/bazel` workspace and open its `MODULE.bazel`.
5. Add the following to `MODULE.bazel`:

```
Shell
local_path_override(module_name = "rules_python", path =
"/path/to/rules_python"
```

This may require updating other `bazel_dep` dependencies in the main `MODULE.bazel` since `rules_python` at head is newer than the released `rules_python`. I had to update `bazel_skylib` from 1.7.1 to 1.8.1.

6. Define a flag in [rules_python/python/cofig_setting/BUILD.bazel](#).
7. Open `rules_python/python/private/flags.bzl` and [change](#) the second `_POSSIBLY_NATIVE_FLAGS` tuple entry from `"native"` to `"starlark"`.



This forces Python to read the Starlark version of that flag even when

`--incompatible_remove_ctx_py_fragment` and
`--incompatible_remove_ctx_bazel_py_fragment` are unset.

8. Back in `bazelbuild/bazel`, create a simple python binary:

```
Shell
$ cat testapp/BUILD
load("@rules_python//python:py_binary.bzl", "py_binary")

py_binary(
    name = "py",
    srcs = ["py.py"],
)

$ cat testapp/py.py
print("Hello world!")
```

9. Test that the binary works:

```
Shell
$ b/bazelde run //testapp:py
```

10. Run `rules_python` and `bazelbuild` tests:

```
Shell
$ cd ../rules_python
$ bazelisk test //...

$ cd ../bazel
$ bazelisk test //...
```

See also

- <https://github.com/bazelbuild/bazel/pull/27015> - "add flag_alias function"
- <https://rules-python.readthedocs.io/en/latest/devguide.html>
- https://github.com/bazel-contrib/rules_python/issues/3252
- https://github.com/bazel-contrib/rules_python/issues/3252#issuecomment-330482982:

"FYI: I've added a CI job that uses bazel rolling. It won't shorten the iteration cycle, but you'll be able to more commit changes here with more confidence they work and won't

regress. If they do, we'll see it in typical PRs. The CI job is non-blocking, so we can still merge, but it'll be much more visible."