CRITERIA	For commercial components / services	For Open Source components	CHALLENGES
Information required	-Product name -Vendor Name -Website -Scope -Type of component (LTI integration, Xblock integration, other) (A contact / e-mail address of maintainers will be required in order to check the compatibility with new version)	-Product Name -Creator / Contact details -Scope -Type of component (Xblock, Pluggins, IDA, theme, other) -Type of license -A versioning system should be required? -Description of how this is /can be integrated in open edX (with screenshots when possible) -Which releases it is compatible with? -Based on previous work?	How to deal with derivative works? How do we file xblocks that have multiple versions.
Actively maintained	A commercial product actively being sold. Indicator for "actively maintained" will be the contact person + link to the source code (commits, etc.)	An open source component actively maintained by one recognized member of the Open edX community.	Checks /confirmations to be performed every year? What if the 'parent' product is actively maintained, but the integration does not have an dedicated Open edX community member (but it still works)?
Available documentation	A product / service website should be available in English. (Highlight if there is a documentation or further information to the product)	There should be at least a minimal set of documentation available online in English, covering: -What the component does. -How to install it -Basic use case with screenshots when applicable.	
Not part of the master platform already		Components that are or eventually land upstream should not be in the extensions catalog in order to keep its original purpose.	

		This applies for common XBlocks such as ORA, drag and drop, etc.	
			How to discover new relevant products not exclusively made for Open edX - Do we want to list LTI components that have not been tested on Open edX?