

INTERNAL ONLY – NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

**DETAILED “RUN OF SHOW” AGENDA FOR:
CANNRA MID-YEAR MEETING:
FEDERAL CANNABIS POLICY CHANGE AND IMPLICATIONS FOR STATES**

June 23, 3PM-5PM EST

Goals for CANNRA engagement with elected officials/offices:

- 1) To pitch CANNRA as a valued partner on cannabis policy
- 2) To underscore some of the important implications for states of federal legalization

3:00 PM EST – CANNRA BRIEF Welcome and Opening Remarks

- Norm and Gillian (?) ☐ Very brief welcome, quick recap of who CANNRA is, agenda for the day.
- Norm to introduce Representative Joyce

3:05 PM EST – Remarks from Congressman David Joyce (R-OH)

Confirmed: Rep David Joyce (R-OH) to speak for ~10 min and take a couple questions.

- Possible questions
 - o How do you see the role of state governments and federal governments with regard to cannabis. Are there good models for state and federal collaboration in any other areas that we can look to?
 - o What might the objectives be for revenue generation for feds and states, respectively.

3:15ish – 4:30ish PM EST – Roundtable dialogue between elected officials, staffers, CANNRA members

☑ Proposed format for each of the topics below will be:

- 1) Have one regulator “tee-up” the topic in 3-4 minutes, from a multi-state perspective
- 2) Have 2-3 regulators spend 2-4 minutes each sharing illustrative examples from the field
- 3) Pose a question to the elected officials/staffers (if they are on...)

☑ Seeking diversity in representation of states

Roundtable Discussion Topics: Planning for 75-90 MIN TOTAL HERE FOR SESSION

Protections for state regulatory frameworks ☑ 25 MIN TOTAL

- Goal: Complicated state regulatory frameworks and licensing – important to understand for federal policymaking – need to talk with and hear from states living this.

☑ **Broad Tee-up: Norm (NY) ☑ 5 MIN max, tee up the major CANNRA comments on topic**

☑ **State examples to illustrate:**

- o Market structure/licensing process – (Steve – OR) ☐ 3 min or less
- o Social equity – (Danielle – IL) ☐ 3 min or less
- o Medical protections/programs – (Lila – CT) ☐ 3 min or less
 - Quick background on CT program (#s, etc.)
 - Need federal framework that preserves, protects, and enhances state medical programs. How to preserve a program. Reducing cost/access/barriers, supply, products. Patient protections – discrimination, etc. Data information sharing. E.g., PDMP. Product consistency baseline.

☑ Discussion: ☑ 5-10 min max ☑ Allow questions- encourage staffers, have backups.

- What do you suggest states do to protect our state structures?
- What do your colleagues need to hear about state regulatory frameworks to understand potential implications?

Support/harmony/minimum standards ☑ 30 MIN TOTAL

- Goal for federal agencies to create a minimum standard for anything that has interstate commerce. Challenge of states creating their own systems and approaches in many areas.

☑ **Tee-up: Shawn (MA) ☑ 5 MIN max, tee up the major CANNRA comments on topic – consumer safety aspect (evaluating safety federally vs. state agency)**

☑ **State examples to illustrate**

- o Product types, ingredients, additives – Shannon (CO)
 - CO reality developing systems to regulate products that need more oversight, ingredients, etc.
 - Need faster process out of congress to set minimum standards (vs. FDA timeline)
 - Need minimum standards for ingredients, certain product types
- o Packaging and labeling – (Rick – WA)

INTERNAL ONLY – NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

- WA State approach to packaging and labeling
 - Innovative industry
 - Consumer awareness issues
 - Minimum standards for packaging and labeling
 - o Testing – Lori or Michele (also hit on pesticides)
 - Need for standard validated methods
 - Minimum standards for analyte testing and methods
 - Ability for state lab engagement
 - Federal guidance in specific areas
 - o Hemp – (Norm - NY)
 - Example of what happens without minimum standards
- ☑ Discussion: ☑ 5-10 min max ☑ Allow questions- encourage staffers, have backups.

Investment: 20 MIN

☑ Tee-up – Andrew (MI) ☑ 5 MIN max, tee up the major CANNRA comments on topic.

Support for research, support for states who are trying to conduct research, data collection at a national level, support for state level data collection, banking, protections for educational intuitions, seed to sale

☑ State examples to illustrate

- o Research/Data – (Gillian – CANNRA) <3 min
 - Challenges conducting research with real world products
 - Insufficient support federally for data monitoring (gaps in systems, questions, etc.)
 - Even if there isn't wholesale change at the federal level, we need to continue getting selective guidance. Urgently needed in academic and research institutions so they can engage in it without fear of losing federal funds.
 - Even if federal legalization is delayed, - not able to collect baseline data. Not able to make changes before have a commercial industry.
 - Reliance on federal data systems – needed now to make well informed policy decisions
 - Individual states are going to Canadian researchers to tap into data collection systems
 - o Systems (banking, etc.) – (Tyler – NV) 3 min
- ☐ Discussion: ☐ 5-10 min max ☐ Allow questions- encourage staffers, have backups.
- Back-up questions: Consider one for health Canada; near term opportunities for universities to get more engaged in research?

Gillian to introduce Representative Blumenauer.

4:30ish Closing remarks from elected officials – Rep Blumenauer (D-OR) to speak for ~10 min and take a couple questions

CANNRA CLOSING: <5 MIN – NORM AND GILLIAN

- Reach out for briefings, to be put in touch with your state regulator