

№ 3/ n	Article Element	Compliance with Requirements (fully compliant / requires clarification / non-compliant) Please select the appropriate option.	Remark
1	Title Does the title clearly describe the essence of the article?		
2	Introduction Does the introduction include and properly present all necessary elements (problem statement; review of recent research and publications; aim of the article; research object; research methods)? Does the introduction accurately describe what the author intended to achieve, and is the research problem clearly defined? Generally, the introduction should summarize relevant studies to provide context and explain which previous research, if any, is being challenged or requires further investigation. It should describe the experiment, hypothesis, overall experimental design, or methodology.		
3	Methods Does the author clearly explain how the data were collected? Is there a step-by-step procedure to address the stated research questions? Is there sufficient information to reproduce the study? Are the main research steps clearly highlighted and arranged in order of importance? If new methods are used, are they explained in detail? Is the sampling procedure described? Has the author provided accurate descriptions of the factual material?		
4	Results The author should verbally explain the findings of the study. Results should be clearly grouped and follow a logical sequence. The reviewer needs to determine whether an appropriate analysis was conducted.		
5	Figures and Tables If present, please check their content and, if possible, suggest improvements. How informative are the figures and tables? Do the figures provide accurate descriptions? Are all figures and tables cited in the text? If the tables include borrowed data, are the sources properly referenced? Are the graphical elements consistent in style?		
6	Conclusion / Discussion		

№ 3/ п	Article Element	Compliance with Requirements (fully compliant / requires clarification / non-compliant) Please select the appropriate option.	Remark
	Are the conclusions supported by the presented results? Are the research findings explained? Do the conclusions appear convincing? Does the article support or challenge previous theories? Are the potential theoretical and practical applications of the results indicated? Do the conclusions align with the research aim or hypothesis? Do the conclusions explain how the research contributes to the advancement of scientific knowledge?		
7	Language If the article is of low quality due to grammatical errors, this should be noted in the review and brought to the editor's attention.		
8	Incorrect Statements If you suspect that the article contains incorrect results, please inform the editors.		
9	Accuracy and Correctness of References Please check the accuracy of the information listed in the "References" section. All entries in the reference list should correspond to citations in the text. All hyperlinks should be active. The reviewer may suggest additional scientific sources that, in their opinion, could improve the quality of the reviewed article.		
10	Overall Assessment of the Scientific Article		

Reviewer

« _____ » _____ 20__ p.

CONFIDENTIALITY

All manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown or discussed with anyone, except with persons authorized by the editor.

Reviewer information is not shared with the author. You should also not attempt to contact the author.

Acknowledged by: _____

Reviewer: _____

Signature / Initials, Surname