



Title Must Be Typed Using 12 Book Antiqua Font, Bold

Author

Author Affiliation, Country

Corresponding Author: ✉ email

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received

June 15, 2023

Revised

September 24,
2023

Accepted

December 26,
2023

ABSTRACT

An abstract of maximum 250 words is required for any submitted manuscript. Abstract is written using Book Antiqua-10. It is written narratively containing at least the aim, method, and the result. It is written in 1 paragraph. Each manuscript should have 3 to 6 Keywords written under the abstract. The keywords should help the audience search the relevant literature to their interest.

Key words: *first keyword, second keyword, third keyword*

Journal Homepage

<https://www.attractivejournal.com/index.php/aj/>

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

Published by

CV. Creative Tugu Pena

INTRODUCTION

The manuscript should be the original work either as a research or reference studies which have never been published in any form of publication. The manuscript is single-spaced. The margin text from the top, left, right, and bottom are 3 cm, 3 cm, 3 cm and 3 respectively. The manuscript is written using Book Antiqua-12. The suggested organization of the paper consists of: Introduction - Methods - Results and Discussion - Conclusion. Each part should explicitly declare the contents.

Please explore in more words the background of your paper and your current research position among other research on related themes. You should discuss here as well your research's relations with those of other researchers; literature review, especially on most relevant, newly academic works published in high reputation journals, is a must. Please try to answer the question: why you believe that your research question is so important to answer and how you think your answer would be a contribution to the existing scholarship on the subject.

Here you may discuss every aspect of the issue. It is necessary to build an argument and to provide original data discussed and compared to research and works of other scholars. In other words, the way to discuss an issue here is by combining the data and the discussion. So, it is not recommended to separate merely data description from the analysis on it. Every quotation is written down in a specific way that can be identified as a "quotation" different from your original text. Then describe your purpose for this research.

METHOD

The research methodology section describes in detail how the study was conducted. A complete description of the methods used enables the reader to evaluate the appropriateness of the research methodology. You must describe exactly what you did: what and how experiments were run, what, how much, where, when, and why equipment and materials were used. The main consideration is to ensure that enough detail is provided to verify your findings and to enable the replication of the research.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of the Results and Discussion is to state your findings and make interpretations and/or opinions, explain the implications of your findings, and make suggestions for future research. In the Result section, summarize the collected data and the analysis performed on those data relevant to the issue that is to follow. The Result should be clear and concise. It should be written objectively and factually, and without expressing personal opinion. It includes numbers, tables, and figures (e.g., charts and graphs). Number tables and figures consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text.

Table 1. An example of a table

An example of a heading	Column A (t)	Column B (T)
Add an entry	1	2
Add an entry	1	2
Add an entry	1	3

In the Discussion section should explore the significance of the results of the study. A combined Result and Discussion section is also appropriate. This section allows you to offer your interpretation and explain the meaning of your results. Emphasize any theoretical or practical consequences of the results. The Discussion section should be a reasoned and justifiable commentary on the importance of your findings. This section states why the problem is important; what larger issues and what propositions are confirmed or disconfirmed by the extrapolation of these findings to such overarching issues.

The discussion will always connect to the introduction by way of the research questions or hypotheses you posed and the literature you reviewed, but it does not simply repeat or rearrange the introduction; the discussion should always explain how your study has moved the reader's understanding of the research problem forward from where you left them at the end of the introduction.

CONCLUSION

The conclusion is a summary of the results and discussion and should be written in paragraphs instead of numbering. Moreover, it can also be added to

the prospect of the development of research results and application prospects of further studies into the next (based on results and discussion). The main conclusions of the study should be presented in a short Conclusions section. Do not repeat earlier sections.

REFERENCES

All references must follow the APA 7th Edition as far as possible. It is suggested the use of a reference manager, such as Zotero or Mendeley.

Examples :

- Anna, UHL, Chamot. (2005). Language learning strategy instruction: current issue and research, *annual review of applied linguistic*. Volume 25, No. 1, p. 12-130. Retrieved 06 May, 2019. From: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190500061>
- Anan Sutisna, M. P. (2021). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Bidang Pendidikan*. UNJ PRESS. [Google Scholar](#)
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., & Sorensen, C. (2010). *Introduction to research in education*. USA: Wadsworth. [Google Scholar](#)
- Balla, Ervin. (2016). A general outlook of the importance of the English language in the world today. *Academic journal of inter disciplinary studies*. Volume 5, No. 3, p. 499-503. Retrieved 04 May, 2019. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2016.v5n3s1p499>
- Cozma, Mihaela. (2015). The challenge of teaching English to adult learners in today's world. *Procedia-social and behavioral sciences*. Volume 197, p. 1209-1214. Retrieved 04 May, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.380>
- Herlianawati, A., Lestari, L., & Suwono, M. (2017, October). Learning English through the Use of Classroom Language in Community Service. In *Social Sciences, Humanities and Economics Conference (SoSHEC 2017)* (pp. 81-86). Atlantis Press. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2991/soshec-17.2018.16>
- Kattlen Cotton . (2001). *Principals and students achievement*. Alexandria: association for supervision and curriculum development. [Google Scholar](#)
- Lightbown, P.M, and Spada, N. (2006). *How language are learned*. Oxford: Oxford university press
- Marguerite, Lodico, Pean. T, Spaulding, Ketherine. (2006). *Methods in educational research from theory to practice*. San Francisco: Josses-bass.
- Megawati, F. (2016). Kesulitan mahasiswa dalam mencapai pembelajaran bahasa Inggris secara efektif. *PEDAGOGIA: Jurnal pendidikan*, 5(2), 147-156. <https://doi.org/10.21070/pedagogia.v5i2.246>
- Moule, P., & Hek, G. (2011). *Making sense of research: An introduction for health and social care practitioners*. Sage.
- Nan, L. 2020. A Survey on Thai University Students ' Use of English Outside of the Classroom : A Survey on Thai University Students ' Use of English Outside of the Classroom : A Case Study (August). [Google Schoolar](#)
- B., Geoffrey, Brumfit, C., Falvell, R., Peter, H., and Pincas , A. (2003). *Teaching English as foreign language*. University of London institute of education: Routledge London and New York [Google Scholar](#)

- Souriyavongsa, T., Rany, S., Jafre Zainol Abidin, M. & Lai Mei, L. 2013. Factors Causes Students Low English Language Learning: A Case Study in the National University of Laos. *International Journal of English Language Education* 1(1): 179–192. [Google Scholar](#)
- Scollon, Ron. (1991). Topic confusion on English-Asian discourse. *Suzanne wong-scollen*. Volume 10, No. 3. p. 456-465. Retrieved 03 April, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-971X.1991.tb00145.x>
- Stanzione, C. & Schick, B. 2014. Environmental language factors in theory of mind development: Evidence from children who are deaf/hard-of-hearing or who have specific language impairment. *Topics in Language Disorders* 34(4): 296–312. doi:10.1097/TLD.0000000000000038. [Google Scholar](#)
-

Copyright Holder :

© Name (2023).

First Publication Right :

© Attractive : Innovative Education Journal

This article is under:

