Forum: Commission on the Status of Women (CSW)

Question of: The Effects of Economic Sanctions on Women's Employment in

Iran

Student Officer: Minjoo Kim, Deputy Assistant President (DAP)

Introduction

The threat of economic sanctions have defined the past few decades' strategies in diplomacy—where threats of direct conflict have been sidelined in favor of a more subtly cruel threat of international isolation wielding national starvation. The popularization of this tactic is largely accredited to its extensive use against the Islamic Republic of Iran, which has faced numerous rounds of sweeping international sanctions since its its 1979 Revolution in global efforts to block nuclear proliferation (Drury and Peksen). These sanctions brought about widespread, unintended consequences far beyond the scope of justice. The socioeconomic devastation could not be contained and limited; instead, it indiscriminately seeped into every corner of Iran's economy, with a particular vengeance on those most vulnerable: women (Abdi). Women faced disproportionate losses in employment, economic independence and opportunity—exacerbating an already disappointing asymmetry in labor involvement and economic rights (Toprak).

In fact, Iran already ranks near the bottom globally in gender equality, with women's labor participation at roughly 13.4% in 2024, compared to nearly 66.3% for men (Oghazi et al.). Sanctions have widened the gap by barring women from jobs.-The US's reimposition of sanctions in 2018 did little to help. As a result, female unemployment skyrocketed to nearly 20%, structurally shifting in the female labor market such that 60% of women found work in the informal economy, known for precarious and unregulated conditions (Rezaei-Moghaddam et al.). Groups of more vulnerable women lost their economic standing entirely.

In 1979, sanctions in Iran began under the US Carter administration. Issued in response to the hostage crisis, Executive Order 12170 froze about \$12 billion in Iranian government assets (Farzanegan). The sanctions were an undeniable success. Already weak in the aftermath of political turmoil, the order had a devastating effect, crippling Iran's access to much needed financial reserves. Iran's economy inevitably collapsed. Following this, the U.S. unilaterally maintained incoherent and wholly inconsistent methods of placing arbitrary sanctions against Iran. Despite the lack of strategy, the devastating effect remained, and Iran's economy continued to suffocate (Dell'Anno and Maddah). The mid 2000s saw an



end to such aimless strategies, as the international community clambered to halt the growing threat of Iran's nuclear capabilities (Gutmann et al.).

In July 2006, the UN Security Council adopted Resolution 1696, demanding that Iran suspend its uranium enrichment; the resolution did not impose sanctions. Such escalations came swiftly with UNSCR 1737 in December of the same year. Resolution 1737 introduced the UN's first nuclear-related sanctions, with the 1737 Sanctions Committee established to oversee compliance. This marked a turning point in the use of sanctions: they were no longer a weightless threat but a shared weapon of international policy (Drury and Peksen). The following decades saw further escalation, imposing additional restrictions such as limiting petroleum exports, cutting off Iran's banking access, and confining trade. The mounting pressures took a measurable toll. In 2015, the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) was signed and endorsed by UNSCR 2231. The JCPOA provided sanction relief, and saw improvements in female job prospects and social infrastructure investment (UN Women. However, the relief did not last. The US withdrawal from the deal in May of 2018 saw the reimposition of sanctions, reversing improvements and crafting a fresh crisis for working women (Abdi). Within a year, inflation exceeded 40%, the Iranian rial lost nearly 70% of its value, and female unemployment rose to almost 20%, nearly double the male rate (Oghazi et al.).

While Iran has undertaken a variety of policy efforts—microfinance initiatives and limited labor protection, isolated and piecemeal—global interest has most commonly focused on nuclear compliance and dismissed the humanitarian and gender aspects of the sanctions regime (Moghadam). Multilateral institutions have rarely placed women's rights at the heart of sanctions policy or post-sanctions reconstruction strategy (Al-Ali). This issue ties in closely with the topic of SEOMUN XXVIII, "Voices of Tomorrow." Iranian women are not just victims of geopolitics abroad. They are businesswomen, physicians, educators, and change-makers (Atta; Millar). Silencing their economic voices doesn't just perpetuate further inequality in Iran, but also delays the international shift towards more inclusive development and gender justice. Any viable conversation about the future must include those most affected by policies now. This report will examine the historical path of sanctions on Iran, the mechanism of action and gendered effects, and the national and global responses thus far. By putting Iranian women's work at the forefront of this conversation, delegates are invited to rethink the morality, efficacy, and unforeseen effects of global economic tools that touch them, and to envision solutions that represent the voices of people tomorrow.

Definition of Key Terms

Economic Sanctions

Economic sanctions are measures aimed to alter the behavior of an actor regime. Previous sanctions imposed on Iran included prohibitions on trade and asset freezes. Despite being crafted for the purpose of alleviating nuclear ambitions, the measures have led to disproportionate impacts on women's labor participation in weak sectors such as service, manufacturing, and education (Drury and Peksen). Although sanctions are intended to target a specific party, their economic damages often affect civilians (Gutmann et al.).

Secondary Sanctions

Secondary sanctions apply to third parties that engage in transactions with the sanctioned nation. Unlike primary sanctions, which prohibit a country's own citizens or companies from trade, secondary sanctions deter outsiders through the threat of fines, asset freezes, or exclusion from the sanctioning country's financial system (Oghazi et al.). Their purpose is to isolate the target economy by making it costly for others to maintain normal commercial ties. In the context of Iran's sanctions, secondary sanctions have been central to the US' strategy, with measures such as NDAA §1245 penalizing third-party groups that transact with Iranian counterparties in specified sectors such as oil and finance (Drury and Peksen). Secondary sanctions have kept foreign firms away from investing in Iran's private sector out of fear of losing access to U.S. markets, curbing job opportunities, and foreign capital inflows (Abdi). Iranian women, who already face legal and societal impediments to entrepreneurship, are locked further out of formal employment and economic opportunities (Rezaei-Moghaddam et al.).

Informal Economy

Informal economy refers to economic activity outside state regulation, including unregistered businesses and unpaid labor (Moghadam). Iranian women, especially those impacted by economic sanctions, are pushed into these areas. In the status quo, women's economic activity in Iran is primarily within the informal economy – it consists of 60% of women's employment and 35% of men's (Toprak). This sector of the economy poses various dangers including but not limited to unstable work, lack of legal protections and potential for abuse (Abdi). Work within the informal economy also provides little opportunity to access social mobility.

Gender Employment Gap

The Gender employment Gap measures the difference in employment between men and women. Iran performs consistently poorly in global rankings. In 2024, statistics revealed that a mere 13.4% of

women in Iran participate in the labor force, whereas men participated at a significantly higher rate of 66.3% (Oghazi et al.).

Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA)

On July 20, 2015, a key breakthrough in diplomacy was achieved. The Iranian Nuclear Deal, otherwise known as the JCPOA was signed into action. The deal was a joint agreement between many countries, namely the P5 nations, Germany and Iran. It was codified in UNSCR 2231 (UN Women). Iran was to concede its nuclear program and comply through international verification programs in exchange for direly needed sanction relief. Following the deal, Iran proved to international inspections its commitment to limit its nuclear activity, and consequently, relief began to roll out on January 16, 2016. The effects of the relief were immediate. Finally, Iran's economy had room to breathe, lowering inflation rates while improving economic growth. Gender disparity in the labor force also decreased, and it seemed that Iran's economy would finally heal after decades of attack. Unfortunately, this growth came to a staggering halt in May 2018. In an act of betrayal towards the promises and goals of the JCPOA, President Donald Trump reinstated sanctions with a vengeance.

Timeline of Key Events

November 4, 1979 - Iran Hostage Crisis and First Sanctions Against Iran

The Iranian Revolution proved tumultuous for all parties involved—especially for foreign expats diplomats dispatched to Iran. For 444 days following the revolution, 52 American diplomats were held captive in Tehran, against cries of condemnation from the U.S government and international community alike. The first U.S. sanctions were imposed as retaliation, and the sanctions saw the freezing of \$12 billion in Iranian assets frozen. The industry that was the most impacted were Iran's commercial sectors. This includes textiles and small businesses- sectors where women were most active (Farzanegan, 2014).

July 31, 2006 - United Nations Security Council Resolution 1696

Growing concerns over Iran's uranium enrichment program led the UNSC to adopt the UNSCR 1696 (Drury and Peksen). Resolution 1696 formally demanded Iran suspend its enrichment and related activities. It was the first U.N resolution to do so. Notably, unlike future measures, this resolution did not impose sanctions. Instead, it laid the groundwork for binding sanctions that were soon to follow.

December 23, 2006 - United Nations Security Council Resolution 1737

Just months after UNSCR 1696, the UN escalated its response to the Iranian Nuclear Crisis to include sanctions. UNSCR 1737 was adopted and was the first significant multilateral UN sanction on Iran's nuclear program. The sanctions were hefty- from the prohibition of nuclear-related technology exports to freezing the assets of important people and organizations. The economic sanctions were meant to be targeted. However, the impact of the sanctions spread to unintended consequences. This included a chain reaction of national sanctions that extended into banking and trade, especially from the US and the EU. Women's employment in finance, services, and mid-sized businesses that depend on imports and foreign contracts decreased as a result of these financial restrictions (Toprak). Women were highly reliant on private sector employment, which took a heavy toll.

January 23, 2012 - EU Oil Embargo

In the midst of mounting economic pressures, Iran was met with a major external shock. The EU prohibited European firms from receiving insurance for tankers that carried Iranian shipments. It also placed a full embargo on Iranian crude oil.

This had a decisive effect in crippling the Iranian economy. Tehran relied on selling its oil abroad, especially to European markets. The EU was also the leading market for maritime insurance. Curtailing Iran's ability to the EU, in combination with heavy U.S. sanctions on Iran's Central Bank, proved devastating to Iran's economy. The embargo proved to be especially detrimental to the private service sector, where many women were employed.

July 14, 2015 – Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA)

Promising phased sanctions relief in exchange for restrictions on Iran's nuclear program, the JCPOA represented a significant milestone in international diplomacy. The immediate economic effects were evident such as eased inflation, a return of foreign investment, and modest improvements in employment statistics. Women also saw small improvements in education and in social fields, but these changes were fragile and uneven. The agreement also created space for broader discussions about linking sanctions relief to inclusive economic development. Yet the fragility of these improvements revealed how heavily women's economic prospects depended not only on domestic reforms but on the durability of external commitments. On July 20th, 2015, the deal received formal endorsement from the United Nations through Security Council Resolution 2231.

January 16, 2016 – Implementation Day

Iran's compliance to the JCPOA was checked by the IAEA, and compliance was confirmed. The verification was met with swift relief of nuclear-related sanctions, as per deal. The relief saw widespanning improvements in Iran's economy. Inflation slowed, foreign investment rose, and women experienced modest gains in education and service-sector employment. These improvements, however, were uneven and fragile.

May 8, 2018 - U.S. Withdrawal from JCPOA and "Maximum Pressure" Campaign

President Donald Trump withdrew from the JCPOA and reinstated broad secondary sanctions. This policy reversal led to the departure of foreign businesses, a dramatic increase in inflation, and Iran's currency crash. As expected, women were again disproportionately affected by job losses as the government reallocated resources to state-run, male-dominated sectors like defense and oil. The governmental decision to focus more on male-dominated sectors had the consequence of sending women in the informal sector. Trust in sanctions relief as a means of promoting inclusive growth was severely damaged by the withdrawal (Dell'Anno and Maddah).

September 2022 - Death of Mahsa Amini and Nationwide Protests

After Mahsa Amini, at the age of 22, was killed in police custody for allegedly breaking hijab laws, women initiated one of the largest civil movements in Iran. Although not directly linked to sanctions, the turmoil demonstrated the connection between gender inequality and economic downfall. By undermining the industries that women depend on, sanctions increase these tensions by lowering women's autonomy (Millar). It changed the focus of the global discussion from nuclear compliance to gender justice and human rights.

Position of Key Member Nations and Other Bodies

Islamic Republic of Iran

Iran has consistently called the sanctions "illegal", viewing the impositions as an unlawful and unfair form of economic warfare. While the government admits the gender inequality prevalent in the nation, Iran argues that sanctions only exacerbate socioeconomic issues. Iran insists that progress on women's rights come after sanctions are relieved and that external pressures are hindering domestic reforms (Moghadam). Yet, critics claim that Iran has restricted female labor participation due to its discriminatory laws and severely underfunded gender equality initiatives.

United States of America

The United States claims that sanctions are necessary to stop Iran's nuclear and regional actions. Although there are humanitarian exceptions, they have not resulted in proper safeguards for women's employment. The US government has opposed calls to change sanctions frameworks to include gender-sensitive mechanisms. As the US typically views women's rights as secondary to national security objectives, there has not been an incentive for the government to make changes in frameworks. However, the Congress is now willing to reevaluate the gender and humanitarian impacts (Drury and Peksen).

European Union

Via the JCPOA, the EU has attempted to balance diplomacy and support of specific sanctions. Particularly during the sanctions relief period from 2015 to 2017, EU institutions have expressed concern about the gendered effects of sanctions (UN Women). Thus, the EU has offered the Iranian civil society limited assistance. However, the EU's capacity to consistently support programs that prioritize gender has been restricted due to its adherence to secondary sanctions.

People's Republic of China

China has shown a general preference towards diplomacy over sanctions on Iran, and has consistently opposed US-led unilateral sanctions against Iran. There have been multiple accounts of Beijing protesting such measures as illegitimate. Notably, though China holds veto power within the UN Security Council, China refrains from invoking this power, often opting to to protect Iran from the sanctions imposed by the West through abstentions and behind-the-scenes negotiations. China is a key economic partner of Iran as a major buyer of its oil. Additionally, China also provides Iran with technological infrastructure. Chinese digital surveillance technology, such as face recognition, is employed by the Iranian government to identify resistance. This includes women who defy hijab rules.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

In Iran, the UNDP has been involved in limited interventions to support women's economic empowerment, primarily through small grants and technical training programs. Even these efforts have been strained due imposed financial transfer restrictions. The UNDP has pushed for more policy coordination between sanctions committees and gender-focused UN bodies and has underlined the importance of evaluating sanctions regimes from a gender perspective (Al-Ali).

Suggested Solutions

In order to combat the impact of economic sanctions on women, a gender sensitive framework is crucial. Committees within the UN responsible for sanctions can integrate gender specific evaluations before imposing sanctions. This will allow policymakers to anticipate the consequences caused by the sanctions, and minimize harm done to women's employment. For instance, broadening the scope of exemptions to cover employment, especially within sectors where women are primarily employed, can be effective in preventing the collapse of key industries uplifting women.

Furthermore, women's livelihoods can be directly supported through increasing support of humanitarian corridors that bypass state actors. This could be achieved through closely working with relevant NGOs or cooperatives. Given that sanctions have prevented financial transfers, mechanisms like humanitarian banking corridors could be institutionalized, allowing international funds to reach women-led enterprises and community organizations without violating sanctions law.

Delegates should also consider the post-sanctions recovery strategies that place a focus on women's empowerment. Historically, when sanctions relief is introduced, women's employment tends to rise in education and service sectors. Thus, considering how future relief packages will ensure commitments to women's economic participation through conditional funding for gender equality programs or workplace protection can be a critical step in addressing this issue.

Bibliography

- Acs, Zoltan J., Sameeksha Desai, and Jolanda Hessels. "Entrepreneurship, Economic Development and Institutions." Small Business Economics, vol. 31, 2008, pp. 219–234.
- Al-Ali, Nadje. "Reconstructing Gender: Iraqi Women between Dictatorship, War, Sanctions and Occupation." Reconstructing Post-Saddam Iraq, Routledge, 2007, pp. 187–206.
- Atta, Sara. "Iran Women Athletes Make History in Sporting Events." Tehran Times, 25 June 2023, www.tehrantimes.com/news/486167/Iran-women-athletes-make-history-in-sporting-events
- Baikovich, Anat, Vered Wasserman, and Tamar Pfefferman. "Evolution from the Inside Out': Revisiting the Impact of (Re)productive Resistance among Ultra-Orthodox Female Entrepreneurs."

- Organization Studies, vol. 43, no. 8, 2022, pp. 1247–1271.
- Boudreaux, Christopher J., Boris N. Nikolaev, and Peter Klein. "Socio-Cognitive Traits and Entrepreneurship: The Moderating Role of Economic Institutions." Journal of Business Venturing, vol. 34, 2019, pp. 178–196.
- Coleman, Susan. "Gender, Entrepreneurship, and Firm Performance: Recent Research and Considerations of Context." Handbook on Well-Being of Working Women, edited by Mary L. Connerley and Jiyun Wu, Springer, 2016, pp. 375–391.
- Dell'Anno, Roberto, and Mohammad Maddah. "Natural Resources, Rent Seeking and Economic Development: An Analysis of the Resource Curse Hypothesis for Iran." Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, vol. 15, no. 1, 2022, pp. 47–65.
- Drury, A. Cooper, and Dursun Peksen. "Women and Economic Statecraft: The Negative Impact

 International Economic Sanctions Visit on Women." European Journal of International Relations,
 vol. 20, no. 2, 2014, pp. 463–490.
- Drury, A. Cooper, and Dursun Peksen. "Economic Sanctions and Women's Status in Target Countries."

 Handbook on Gender in World Politics, edited by Jill Steans, Edward Elgar, 2016, pp. 245–262.
- Jennings, Jennifer E., and Candida G. Brush. "Research on Women Entrepreneurs: Challenges to the Broader Entrepreneurship Literature?" *Academy of Management Annals*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2013, pp. 663–715.
- Moghadam, Valentine M. "Women's Employment Issues in Contemporary Iran: Problems and Prospects in the 1990s." *Iranian Studies*, vol. 28, no. 3–4, 1995, pp. 175–202.
- Oghazi, Pejvak, Pankaj C. Patel, and Alireza Hajighasemi. "Gendered Crisis Approach: Exploring the Gendered Impact of Iranian Sanctions on Nascent Entrepreneurship Outcomes." *International*

- Small Business Journal, vol. 42, no. 8, 2024, pp. 1016–1046. doi.org/10.1177/02662426241241481.
- Rezaei-Moghaddam, Kiumars, Fatemeh Badzaban, and Mehdi Fatemi. "Entrepreneurial Resilience of Small and Medium-Sized Businesses among Rural Women in Iran." *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, vol. 29, no. 1, 2023, pp. 75–98.
- Sappleton, Natalie. "Gender and Business Performance: The Role of Entrepreneurial Segregation."

 *Women Entrepreneurs and the Myth of 'Underperformance', edited by Adam Lindgreen, Alain Fayolle, Colette Henry, et al., Edward Elgar, 2018, pp. 213–229.
- Toprak, Elif G. *The Impacts of Economic Sanctions on Iranian Women*. Middle East Technical University, 2021.
- UN Women. *Underpinning the Prevention of Violence against Women*. UN Women, Nov. 2015, www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2015/11/prevention-framework.
- Abdi, Afsaneh. "Feminist International Political Economy of Sanctions: The Shifting Gendered Regimes of Labor and Survival in Iran." *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, vol. 27, no. 1, 2025, pp. 81–104. doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2025.2454462.
- Farzanegan, Mohammad Reza. "Military Spending and Economic Growth: The Case of Iran." *Defence and Peace Economics*, vol. 25, no. 3, 2014, pp. 247–269.

 doi.org/10.1080/10242694.2012.723160.
- Gutmann, Jerg, Matthias Neuenkirch, and Florian Neumeier. "Sanctioned to Death? The Impact of Economic Sanctions on Life Expectancy and Its Gender Gap." *Journal of Development Studies*, vol. 57, no. 1, 2021, pp. 139–162. doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2020.1746277.
- Institute of International Education. Iran Opportunity Award. Institute of International Education, 2024,



www.iie.org/programs/iran-opportunity-award/.

Millar, Colin. "Iran, Women and the Ongoing Struggle for Football 'Freedom." The New York Times, 29

July 2024,

www.nytimes.com/athletic/5646953/2024/07/29/iran-women-and-the-ongoing-struggle-for-football-freedom/.