

John's comments

1: The hostname of the examples alternates between example.org and data.example.org; example = 2.1
[Done. Changed all data.example.org to example.org](#)

2: You've got "a lot" (not pervasive, but more than a few) places with content-length=0 and status code 200. IIRC 200 is legal in that case, but 204 is likely more natural (and it allows you to drop the C-L as well, if you are in the "shorter is better" camp).
[Changed the 200 + C-L = 0 to 204](#)

3: You've got relative URIs in Location headers; that's not legal, they must be absolute URIs, see <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2616#section-14.30>
[Changed all location headers to absolute URIs](#)

4: In all your "create" flows, the text reads as if it assumes that *because post is allowed and the uri is of an LDPC*, that create is the only possible outcome. This is not what the spec says. **5.2.3.13** covers this specifically. I'm not sure myself that I'd want to smack readers in the face with that cold hard reality, but I wanted to remind you as the authors so the decision to do so or not is a conscious one. This gap is why I was pushing on a more specific definition of what came to be the Accept-Post header. **We can try to add a hint that POST create pattern might not be guaranteed but in a non-confusing manner.**

5: Some of the included examples get cut off on the right margin when printed on US Letter format paper (same would be true on A4). Ex's 11 and 22 are examples ;-) of this. It seems to be cases where the Turtle representation is using the comma notation for repeated predicates so several objects appear on the same source line. Ala Henry's change in the source, the answer here is just inserting CRLFs.
[CRLFs were not yet included as the merges were done manually. Before publishing we can free the doc and include CRLFs.](#)

6: Your delete responses contain etags. **4.2.1.3** does not require them (I'm not sure what the semantics would even be on this - I guess it's the value prior to the deletion, but I can't think of any client use for it).
[Removed etags on deletion.](#)

7: You do a conditional delete in at least one case. That's legal, but it led me to wonder if that's "important" since it's not mentioned in the text.
[Mentioned it in the text.](#)

8: (fun one) you could christen "the bug tracker application" one of the following
The Products That have Bugs = TPTB
Track Products Having Bugs = TPHB
The [or Track] Products that have Bugs = interpretable either way
...such is how I spent time on planes, sadly

9: Ex 24: text says either turtle or json-ld but Accept header only has Turtle
[Changed.](#)

10: Ex 26: first use of <> but its significance not discussed until later.

Done. Added a sentence to describe the use of the <> in the example.

11: The refs for json-ld and turtle are "rather dated"; both are Recs now for several months. I didn't see a localBiblio entry for them, so you might need to submit a patch to Robin to fix spec.js; check the archives first, I know Steve S has submitted other patches, maybe these are among them; I see the json-ld one is still downlevel in the LDP editor's draft, so that's probably from spec.js, but Turtle is current so we probably have a localBiblio entry for that one.

That is because we used the keys TURTLE and JSON-LD instead of simple turtle and json-ld. Changed the references in the primer and submitted a patch to the specref repo too.

Henry's commits

1. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/d84c7023f4cb> -> All examples were changed to use example.org while addressing the previous comments
2. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/2427707479eb> -> Having both types were intentional (and was based on Raul's tests) but most seem to agree that we only need to have ldp:BasicContainer type. Changed. Did the same for all the container examples.
<https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/02cb95e08389>
3. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/953e9e94e9c1> -> Agreed. Does this mean we have to include the other headers that are present in OPTIONS such as Accept-POST, Accept-Patch has to be included in GET responses ?
4. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/1e5e2ed2fc19> -> Fixed the typo. The text of options has to be rewritten.
5. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/bcc53c410281> -> Fixed
6. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/4fae4a466f10> -> Fixed the typo.
7. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/14912fa2e498> -> Removed the e-tags from the delete response.
8. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/1bfa36027066> -> Merged all the changes.
9. <https://dvcs.w3.org/hg/ldpwg/rev/25851aac7002> -> Addressed the @prefix and CL issues.

Henry's comments

ISSUE 1 - The o:hasDoc relation is not defined and it is not clear what it adds in addition to ldp:contains. A distinction without a distinction is more confusing than not.

ISSUE 2 - Suggest replacing o:hasphoto with foaf:depiction since that relation is well known, and whose ontology can be looked up. Also make the graph inside the document more meaningful: When an object that is not a document is being described show a document with a node (a small circle) inside the document to which external resources can point. So in the case of a person a node inside a document representing a person, perhaps with a relative URI <#me> would make this clearer.

ISSUE 3 - A bug is different from a bug report: a Bug can be the same as another bug reported by someone else, even if the bug reports are different, being created by different people at different times, with different histories. Distinguish in the diagram the bug from the bug report.

ISSUE 4 - Since GET on a container returns Allow this section must be rewritten with that in mind. Note, that it is also possible for a GET to return the Accept-Post headers which would reduce the need for OPTIONS. [DONE](#)

ISSUE 5 - Many examples below are missing the @prefix and the Content-Length [DONE](#)

ISSUE 6 - Add an example with PATCH when a PATCH format is settled on. [Waiting for the patch format to be settled.](#)

ISSUE 7 - Does a delete need to show the type of the deleted resource? Or the etag? [DONE](#)

ISSUE 8 - Explain why this can't be done by PATCHing the other resource when needed.