

1. Meeting Information

Date/Time of the Meeting:	August 02, 2016, 16:00-17:00 CET
Inviting person:	Kenneth Nagin, IBM
Minutes takers:	Kenneth Nagin, all
Purpose of the meeting:	Weekly interlock of the Cloud team
Venue:	teleconference
Phone details (if PhC):	https://www.teleconference.att.com/servlet/glbAccess?process=1&accessCode=5546993&accessNumber=1809417783#C2 Passcode: 30306767 Meeting notes on FIWARE Community Page: https://drive.google.com/open?id=0Bxi5YI_caUcxVXUzOFI5eGVtem8

2. Attendees

Please mark your name in the table below if you have attended the meeting.

Name	Company - Chapter/WG/GE	Attended?
Alex Glikson	IBM	
Joaquin Salvachua	UPM	
Manuel Escriche	TID	
Fernando López	TID	Yes

Henar Muñoz	TID	
Alvaro Alonso	UPM	
Kenneth Nagin	IBM	Yes
Yosef Moatti	IBM	Yes

3. Agenda

- **Sprint Planning**
- **R5 Open Specification**
 - Status: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xcqrhBSSWGSCWnXrq8GQPKO7sdGBA13U_z8Pu4rDeY/edit
 - R5 Wiki Update: http://forge.fiware.org/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/fiware/index.php/Summary_of_FIWARE_Open_Specifications_R5
- **Infrastructure monitoring**
 - General (Fernando)
 - Spain monitor's openstack
 - Up to each lab to monitor their own OpenStack services
 - Storlet Monitoring (Yosef)
- **Storlet deployment in Spain**
 - Tried to deploy manually.
 - Require to automate deployment (IBM)
 - Spain should send IBM problem list
- **Assess switching to Horizon-based UI for cloud infrastructure capabilities** [CLD-1281](#) (Alvaro)
 - Juano intends to arrange a conference call on the issue.
 - Alex: Given that for Cloud capabilities the FIWARE portal doesn't have

significant advantages compared to Horizon any more, we should consider dropping corresponding FIWARE Portal panels, and launching Horizon panels instead.

- Alvaro: I don't agree with the phrase "for Cloud capabilities the FIWARE portal doesn't have significant advantages compared to Horizon". As I reported in an email few days ago, there are several features we offer in the Cloud Portal and are not available in Horizon:

- Features related with the main Openstack services are mainly the same in both portals. The substantial difference we had until now was the support of PaaS Manager and SDC features. As they now offer Murano as application manager component and we are adapting Cloud Portal to support it, this difference is not as important as before. However, the way in which we manage Murano resources in the portal is a little bit different and perhaps better from the user point of view.
- We offer OAuth2 support.
- The UI and UX we have is more user friendly than the one Horizon offers and thanks to the client-side technology the interaction is more agile and dynamic.
- In the Cloud Portal we manage several things related with FIWARE Lab environment:
 - Account types (community, trial, basic)
 - Terms and conditions app
 - Sanity checks app (to check nodes status)
 - Google Analytics
 - Support Service compatibility (to manage VMs by admins)
 - Recipes management

- Ken: Assuming what Alvaro says is true and it is not feasible to adopt Horizon in place of the portal's current support then what is the sustainability model? How will portal keep up with OpenStack?

•

- Related discussion

- Juanjo: Link for the openstack community? Steps to take?

The cloud portal is considering the contribution of our portal to OpenStack. However, we don't have clear the benefits of such contribution, since will be an alternative that won't mix with the Horizon development.

In case we agree on contributing it we are following the procedure [here](#) :

<http://docs.openstack.org/project-team-guide/project-setup/javascript.html>, Even the procedures are for Python project (and not pure javascript like ours).

We should estimate the PM requirer for the following tasks :

1. Set up Launchpad (<https://launchpad.net>)
2. Give OpenStack Permission to Publish Releases (PyPI)
3. Add the Project to the CI System
 - Add Gerrit permissions
 - Add basic Jenkins jobs
 - Configure Zuul to run jobs
 - Configure GerritBot to Announce Changes
 - Submit Infra Changes for Review
 - Update the Gerrit Group Members
 - Update devstack-vm-gate-wrap.sh
 - Add Project to the Requirements List
4. Prepare a New Git Repository using cookiecutter
5. Verify That Gerrit and the Test Jobs are Working
6. Prepare an Initial Release

•

- Deliverables
 - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1IK04LaNI5fGgyEZHS2scAMF3QoEQK-IPVqlmaXRBKJQ/edit#gid=544145464>
 - <http://backlog.fiware.org/delivery/dashboard>
 - Release 5
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1I5UTqhUn86ForyAsk6BMOw6sK9iBkL_SARuE3DvwUss/edit#gid=0
 - http://forge.fiware.org/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/fiware/index.php/Release_Summary_R5
 - Guidelines for R5
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wa15t2dSEucSA1xD7FyOLpP0ymihYYry0mhALV6GsXU>
 -
 -
 - Tools for creating deliverables:
 https://forge.fiware.org/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/fiware/index.php/Tools_to_produce_deliverables
 -
 -
-

4. Minutes of meeting

-

5. Action Points

#	Description	Responsible	Due Date	Status
	Storlet support on Spain node	Fernando		
