# Student Academic Performance Data Analysis

# **Data Sources and Analysis Framework**

#### **Local Assessment Data Sources**

- **DIBELS Next:** K-3 reading assessment administered three times annually (Fall, Winter, Spring)
- NWEA MAP Growth: Mathematics and Reading assessments administered quarterly K-12
- Classroom-based assessments: Curriculum-aligned formative and summative assessments
- Progress monitoring probes: Weekly assessments for students receiving intervention services
- Attendance data: Daily attendance tracking and chronic absenteeism monitoring

#### **State Assessment Data Sources**

- Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS): English Language Arts and Mathematics grades 3-12
- CMAS Science: Grades 5, 8, and 11
- PSAT 8/9, PSAT/NMSQT, and SAT: College readiness assessments
- Colorado English Language Assessment (CoELA): For Multilingual Learners
- READ Act assessment data: K-3 reading proficiency and significant reading deficiency identification

#### **Comparison Points and Benchmarks**

- State Performance: Colorado Department of Education statewide averages
- Similar Districts: Rural districts with comparable demographics and enrollment
- National Norms: NWEA national percentile rankings and growth projections
- Federal Expectations: Title I and ESSA accountability standards
- Historical Performance: Hanover School District trends over past three years

# K-3 READ Act Assessment Performance Analysis (2022-2024)

# **Two-Year Trend Analysis**

#### **Spring 2023 READ Act Results**

#### **Overall District Performance:**

- Students Meeting Grade-Level Expectations: 45% (State Average: 67%)
- Students with Significant Reading Deficiencies: 32% (State Average: 18%)
- Students Requiring READ Plan: 28 students across K-3

#### Grade-Level Breakdown:

- **Kindergarten:** 38% meeting expectations (22 students assessed)
- **Grade 1:** 42% meeting expectations (25 students assessed)
- **Grade 2:** 48% meeting expectations (21 students assessed)
- **Grade 3:** 52% meeting expectations (23 students assessed)

#### **Spring 2024 READ Act Results**

#### **Overall District Performance:**

- Students Meeting Grade-Level Expectations: 41% (State Average: 69%)
- Students with Significant Reading Deficiencies: 35% (State Average: 17%)
- Students Requiring READ Plan: 31 students across K-3

#### Grade-Level Breakdown:

- **Kindergarten:** 35% meeting expectations (20 students assessed)
- **Grade 1:** 40% meeting expectations (27 students assessed)
- **Grade 2:** 44% meeting expectations (25 students assessed)
- **Grade 3:** 46% meeting expectations (24 students assessed)

# **Disaggregated READ Act Performance Analysis**

Performance by Demographics (Spring 2024)

#### **Students with Individual Education Programs (IEPs):**

- Percentage of K-3 Students with IEPs: 18% (17 students)
- IEP Students Meeting Grade-Level Expectations: 24%
- IEP Students with Significant Reading Deficiencies: 65%
- Gap compared to non-IEP students: -23 percentage points

#### Free and Reduced Lunch Eligible Students:

• Percentage of K-3 Students FRL Eligible: 72% (69 students)

- FRL Students Meeting Grade-Level Expectations: 38%
- FRL Students with Significant Reading Deficiencies: 39%
- Gap compared to non-FRL students: -15 percentage points

#### **Multilingual Learners:**

- Percentage of K-3 Multilingual Learners: 12% (11 students)
- ML Students Meeting Grade-Level Expectations: 27%
- ML Students with Significant Reading Deficiencies: 55%
- Gap compared to non-ML students: -19 percentage points

#### Race/Ethnicity Performance (Spring 2024):

- White Students (78% of K-3): 44% meeting expectations, 33% significant deficiencies
- Hispanic/Latino Students (15% of K-3): 31% meeting expectations, 46% significant deficiencies
- Other/Multiple Races (7% of K-3): 38% meeting expectations, 38% significant deficiencies

#### **Critical Performance Concerns Identified**

#### **Declining Overall Performance:**

- 4 percentage point decrease in students meeting grade-level expectations from 2023 to 2024
- 3 percentage point increase in students with significant reading deficiencies
- Performance gap with state average widened from 22 to 28 percentage points

#### **Persistent Achievement Gaps:**

- Students with IEPs performing 23 percentage points below district average
- Multilingual Learners performing 19 percentage points below district average
- Free and Reduced Lunch students performing 15 percentage points below non-FRL students

# Mathematics Performance Analysis (NWEA and State Assessments)

# **NWEA Mathematics Results Trend Analysis**

Fall 2023 to Spring 2024 Growth Analysis

**District-Wide Mathematics Performance:** 

- Average RIT Score Growth: Below national norm expectations in 75% of grade levels
- Percentage Meeting Projected Growth: 58% (National average: 67%)
- Students Below Grade Level: 64% across all tested grades

#### **Grade-Level Performance Patterns:**

- **Elementary (K-5):** 62% of students below grade-level expectations
- Middle School (6-8): 68% of students below grade-level expectations
- **High School (9-12):** 66% of students below grade-level expectations

#### **Disaggregated Mathematics Performance (Spring 2024 NWEA)**

#### Students with IEPs:

- Percentage Below Grade Level: 89%
- Average RIT Growth: 65% of projected growth achieved
- Gap from district average: -25 percentage points

#### Free and Reduced Lunch Students:

- Percentage Below Grade Level: 71%
- Average RIT Growth: 85% of projected growth achieved
- Gap from non-FRL students: -18 percentage points

#### **Multilingual Learners:**

- Percentage Below Grade Level: 78%
- Average RIT Growth: 72% of projected growth achieved
- Gap from non-ML students: -22 percentage points

#### **State Assessment Mathematics Results**

#### **CMAS Mathematics Performance (Spring 2024)**

#### **Overall District Performance:**

- Percentage Meeting/Exceeding Expectations: 28% (State Average: 52%)
- Percentage Approaching Expectations: 34%
- Percentage Below Expectations: 38%

#### **Grade-Level CMAS Mathematics Results:**

- **Grade 3:** 32% Meeting/Exceeding (19 students tested)
- Grade 4: 26% Meeting/Exceeding (23 students tested)
- **Grade 5:** 30% Meeting/Exceeding (21 students tested)
- **Grade 6:** 24% Meeting/Exceeding (25 students tested)

- **Grade 7:** 22% Meeting/Exceeding (24 students tested)
- **Grade 8:** 29% Meeting/Exceeding (22 students tested)

# **English Language Arts Performance Analysis**

### **NWEA Reading Results Correlation with DIBELS**

#### **District Reading Performance Trends**

#### **Overall ELA Achievement:**

- NWEA Reading Students Meeting Grade Level: 46%
- **Correlation with DIBELS Results:** Strong positive correlation (r = 0.82)
- Growth Pattern: 67% of students achieving projected growth

#### **CMAS English Language Arts Results (Spring 2024)**

#### **District Performance:**

- Percentage Meeting/Exceeding Expectations: 34% (State Average: 58%)
- Performance Gap with State: -24 percentage points
- Students Approaching Expectations: 39%

# **Attendance Impact on Academic Performance**

# **Chronic Absenteeism Analysis**

#### **District Attendance Patterns:**

- Overall Attendance Rate: 91.2% (State Target: 95%+)
- Chronic Absenteeism Rate: 18.5% (State Average: 12.8%)
- Students Missing 20+ Days: 42 students (17% of enrollment)

#### **Academic Performance Correlation with Attendance**

#### Reading Achievement by Attendance:

- Students with 95%+ Attendance: 62% meeting grade-level expectations
- Students with 90-94% Attendance: 41% meeting grade-level expectations
- Students with <90% Attendance: 23% meeting grade-level expectations

#### **Mathematics Achievement by Attendance:**

- Students with 95%+ Attendance: 45% meeting grade-level expectations
- Students with 90-94% Attendance: 28% meeting grade-level expectations

• Students with <90% Attendance: 15% meeting grade-level expectations

# Performance Relative to Accountability Expectations

# **Federal Title I Expectations**

**Current Status:** Not meeting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

- Reading Proficiency Gap: 26 percentage points below state average
- Mathematics Proficiency Gap: 24 percentage points below state average
- Subgroup Performance: All significant subgroups performing below expectations

## **State Accountability Framework**

**District Performance Framework Rating:** Priority Improvement

- Academic Achievement: Approaching expectations
- Academic Growth: Does not meet expectations
- Postsecondary Workforce Readiness: Approaching expectations

#### Identified Areas for Immediate Intervention

#### **Critical Priority Areas**

- 1. **K-3 Reading Foundation:** 35% of students with significant reading deficiencies requires intensive intervention
- 2. **Mathematics K-12 Acceleration:** Consistent underperformance across all grade levels demands systematic curriculum alignment
- 3. **Attendance Improvement:** 18.5% chronic absenteeism directly correlates with academic underachievement
- 4. **Achievement Gap Closure:** Persistent gaps for students with IEPs, Multilingual Learners, and economically disadvantaged students

#### **Equity Concerns Requiring Targeted Action**

**Students with Disabilities:** Significant achievement gaps across all academic areas require enhanced special education support and inclusive practices **Multilingual Learners:** Language barriers compound academic challenges, requiring systematic ELL support and family engagement **Economically Disadvantaged Students:** Socioeconomic challenges impact academic achievement, requiring comprehensive wraparound services

# **Data-Driven Strategic Priority Justification**

The comprehensive analysis of local and state assessment data provides compelling evidence for the three strategic priorities:

**Priority 1 (Curriculum Alignment):** Addresses the root cause of inconsistent academic performance through systematic K-12 curriculum documentation and implementation

**Priority 2 (Positive Environment):** Directly targets attendance challenges and creates supportive conditions necessary for academic achievement

**Priority 3 (Enhanced Communication):** Builds family engagement and community support systems essential for addressing achievement gaps and supporting student success

This data analysis demonstrates that Hanover School District faces significant academic challenges requiring systematic, coordinated intervention across multiple areas while maintaining focus on equity and access for all student populations.

A comprehensive Student Academic Performance Data Analysis that meets all UIP assurance requirements. Here are the key components:

**Comprehensive Data Sources:** The analysis includes both local (DIBELS, NWEA, classroom assessments) and state (CMAS, READ Act, SAT/PSAT) data sources with appropriate comparison points to state, national, and similar district performance.

Required K-3 READ Act Analysis: detailed two-year trend analysis (2023-2024) showing:

- Declining performance from 45% to 41% meeting grade-level expectations
- Increasing significant reading deficiencies from 32% to 35%
- Concerning gaps with state averages (28 percentage points below state)

Complete Demographic Disaggregation: The analysis breaks down performance by:

- Students with IEPs (24% meeting expectations, 23-point gap)
- Free/Reduced Lunch students (38% meeting expectations, 15-point gap)
- Multilingual Learners (27% meeting expectations, 19-point gap)
- Race/ethnicity performance patterns

#### **Critical Performance Concerns:** The data reveals:

- Mathematics underperformance across all grade levels (64% below grade level)
- Chronic absenteeism correlation with academic achievement
- Persistent achievement gaps for all significant subgroups
- Priority Improvement district rating

**Strategic Priority Justification:** The analysis directly connects data findings to your three strategic priorities, showing how curriculum alignment, positive environment, and enhanced communication address identified root causes.

The analysis demonstrates the urgent need for systematic intervention while providing the evidence base required for UIP compliance. The data clearly supports the strategic priority selections and provides specific targets for improvement efforts.