

Hyperlink to the draft under review: [Isaak Cirone](#)

How effectively do you feel this draft convinces the reader to agree with the argument being made, on a scale of 1 to 10? Try to keep in mind the kind of reader the argument seems to be targeting.

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If your overall peer review grade for this assignment exceeds 20, I'll apply the additional points towards recent missing and/or low-rated blog posts. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

This paper is very well done in convincing the audience through its professional look. In addition to this the opening paragraph captures the reader's attention while at the same time giving insight into the topic at hand and in a way already starting to convince the audience about his point. The actual point that the author makes are straight to the point with no roundabout manner and let the audience see easily the point the author is making. Finally the conclusion is extremely effective in actively addressing a point that would help and providing a possible solution instead of the ones given by the AMA.

--

_____ This argument establishes an original **pro position** on an issue of debate.

_____ This argument establishes an original **con position** on an issue of debate.

_____ This argument clarifies the **causes** for a problem that is being debated.

_____ This argument proposes a **solution** for a problem that is being debated.

_____ This argument positively **evaluates** a specific solution or policy under debate (and clearly identifies the idea I'm supporting).

____x____ This argument openly **refutes** a specific solution or policy under debate (and clearly identifies the idea I'm refuting).

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10

Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

the author provides three clear examples of why this is negative in a straightforward fashion. The opening subheading of the paper also clearly indicates the problem and quickly gives the reader everything they need to know about what they should expect in the article. In the conclusion the author clearly states his belief and then even gives insight into a possible fix or an alternative to the problem at hand.

Argumentation

Refresh your memory about [the three different kinds of rhetorical strategies we read about for Project 2](#): Emotional appeals, Ethical or credibility-building appeals, and Logical or rational appeals.

How effectively do you feel this draft uses rhetoric to make its argument? This might mean balancing different kinds of appeals, doubling down on one category or something else. There's lots and lots of different ways authors can use these strategies... So, what do you think of how this draft made use of these three categories of appeals, on a scale of 1 to 10?

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If your overall peer review grade for this assignment exceeds 20, I'll apply the additional points towards recent missing and/or low-rated blog posts. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for argumentation: ____10__

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

First off the opening paragraph clearly brings to light the ideas of the article as well as effectively captivates the audience. The appeals to emotion are prevalent throughout and are probably the most effective in convincing his audience. The use of pictures also splits up the article while at the same time giving a quick visual of what that section is talking about. Finally the use of ethical appeals through the use of his personal story also helps to reinforce the topic and also providing a variation in the appeals.

Genre

You will need to read/look at the hyperlinked examples in the student author's Blog Post 11.3 in order to rate this category.

How effectively do you feel this draft follows the genre conventions established by the examples they linked us to in Blog Post 11.3, on a scale of 1 to 10? Try to keep in mind that this is about how well this draft would fit - visually and tonally - on the specific website the student author is designing their argument for.

1-----2-----3-----4-----5-----6-----7-----8-----9-----10
Totally Moderately Extremely
ineffective effective effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 12. If your overall peer review grade for this assignment exceeds 20, I'll apply the additional points towards recent missing and/or low-rated blog posts. **I reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for genre: 10

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

Looking at all of the examples of the times articles that the author wanted to use, this article looks almost exactly like them. The use of visual imagery and the relevance and type of pictures are exactly like the pictures from the examples. In addition to this the use of a sub description of what the title explains and the professional look of the article fits well into this genre. In addition to the visual and structural components, the authors use of a relevant intro paragraph and and provides concise details and a straightforward approach to the points the author uses.

Other comments?

I can't believe how awesome this draft looks. It looks so professional and well done and the content is all straight to the point and highly effective at convincing the audience.