

ENUG 2021 Day 4: How CUNY “Changed the Subject”: A How-To Guide (Rebecca Hyams)

Friday, 10/29 1:00 PM

Transcript generated by Zoom Live Transcription

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.000

I'm sharing it over to you. Okay, great, thanks. I'm

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:04.000

Sure I'm sharing the right screen.

00:00:04.000 --> 00:00:10.000

Make sure we're recording. Okay, everyone can see my full screen right.

00:00:10.000 --> 00:00:17.000

You only see the, oh, yeah, we see a check and chat Okay great.

00:00:17.000 --> 00:00:44.000

Just to make sure I'm sharing the right thing at the right time, you know, now these are. So thanks everyone for coming this afternoon oh it's been a long week.

00:00:44.000 --> 00:00:50.000

So I just want to give a little bit of an introduction about sort of our environment in CUNY.

00:00:50.000 --> 00:01:04.000

We came up in Alma and pre movie in August of 2020, so just over a year ago. And for those of you keeping score at home, we were completely remote, that whole time, we did implementation.

00:01:04.000 --> 00:01:17.000

We were basically 100% remote from March of 2020 to August of this year. So we came live did all our training and everything basically remote.

00:01:17.000 --> 00:01:33.000

We went from a shared Alice environment where we were all on one Alice instance into sort of an NZIZ configuration so we each, each institution has its own institution zone and then the CUNY level stuff is shared at the network level.

00:01:33.000 --> 00:01:38.000

We do sort of the one big thing where everyone.

00:01:38.000 --> 00:01:46.000

We load all of our bids into the network zone. And so we don't have multiple bibliographic records for the same item.

00:01:46.000 --> 00:02:02.000

And so that's sort of managed, there's 21 institutions zones within CUNY. A couple of them have more than one library. Most of us just the single library, and our Office of library services, which is sort of at the central administration level.

00:02:02.000 --> 00:02:11.000

They're a strong central presence, but they had lost quite a few staff from the time we went live and Alma until spring of 2021.

00:02:11.000 --> 00:02:17.000

They had had a couple people retire and they had one person left to take a position somewhere else.

00:02:17.000 --> 00:02:30.000

So I was approached sort of at the end of the spring semester if I would come on as an adjunct to work a little bit sort of like nights and weekends to work on this project, outside of my main job because I actually worked at one of the campuses.

00:02:30.000 --> 00:02:47.000

So just a little bit about myself and my background, um, I was technically I catalog or at one point, but I never really saw myself as a catalog. Um, it was it was in my title and I did cataloging but I was it wasn't fully my thing.

00:02:47.000 --> 00:03:03.000

But I did spend a lot of time at my last library doing a lot of like catalog maintenance and batch processing and things like that and Alex so those kind of workflows were familiar to me. But in my last to the last year and a half years since I've been at the MCC. My work is split between being a

00:03:03.000 --> 00:03:09.000

web librarian the biggest systems librarian, so we have a catalog or until you retire very soon.

00:03:09.000 --> 00:03:12.000

But I am not the catalog in my library.

00:03:12.000 --> 00:03:18.000

But I do have been recertified both Alma and Primo V which came in very handy for this project.

00:03:18.000 --> 00:03:35.000

So, I was asked to come on and do this project, and the goals that were laid out to me.

00:03:35.000 --> 00:03:39.000

records.

00:03:39.000 --> 00:03:55.000

We wanted to have it at the same time we wanted to keep the Discover ability of those records, so that someone, knowing that like this is a controversy controversy or this is a thing, or whatever, um, that if they still use that terminology for whatever

00:03:55.000 --> 00:04:02.000

reason they were using it, they would still find the records. We didn't want to remove that aspect of it.

00:04:02.000 --> 00:04:17.000

We also wanted to put automation in place as much as we could to address any future imports of records or any updates of records in the future. And we wanted to make it easy so that in the future when hopefully they have changed it like the LLC headings

00:04:17.000 --> 00:04:30.000

around this, that, it'll be very easy for us to like, lift the processes we put in place, and just let the records re import and everything will be fine and correct and it will be like it never happened.

00:04:30.000 --> 00:04:35.000

So, that was sort of those are sort of the goals for this.

00:04:35.000 --> 00:04:37.000

So I'm going to give a really big disclaimer.

00:04:37.000 --> 00:04:52.000

There may have been easier or better ways to do any of the things I'm going to discuss. Um, I had just over a year of all my experience or just about a year of all my experience, and none of it was at the network level so the network like dealing with

00:04:52.000 --> 00:05:00.000

the network zone at all it was new to me. So this is just what I did, and this is how I approached this project.

00:05:00.000 --> 00:05:14.000

So the first thing was coming up with a plan. Um, we have various committees throughout CUNY so that we can kind of coordinate, and we have a catalog and committee and in the kettle on your committee, there was a subgroup, and they had ideas about how

00:05:14.000 --> 00:05:14.000

they wanted this done.

00:05:14.000 --> 00:05:24.000

this done. I wasn't on that subgroup but I like I heard from them afterwards or they had already been working this is how I got brought in.

00:05:24.000 --> 00:05:33.000

We didn't know that the project was going to involve normalization rules and processes, but very few people within CUNY at the time had any experience with that.

00:05:33.000 --> 00:05:39.000

And because almost all of our bids live in the network zone, that was pretty much where the work had to be done.

00:05:39.000 --> 00:05:51.000

It wasn't the kind of thing that we could just say hey each campus go in and change your records, because it was not going to be that easy, and going into this project we had no idea how many records were affected.

00:05:51.000 --> 00:05:57.000

In fact, I still don't know how many records were affected after I did this, but I have a little bit better idea.

00:05:57.000 --> 00:06:01.000

So there were a whole bunch of things we sort of had to consider in doing this.

00:06:01.000 --> 00:06:06.000

We had to think about how much we wanted to maintain of the existing headings.

00:06:06.000 --> 00:06:19.000

And how did we want to maintain them like what, to what extent did they need to stay in the records. What did we want to replace the headings, with for display in terms of terminology and language.

00:06:19.000 --> 00:06:36.000

What did we want to do about your updated record, so we do have a daily OCLC sync job for updated records. So if a record got updated for some other reason and re imported we wanted to make sure like that our changes wouldn't get overwritten with the

00:06:36.000 --> 00:06:37.000

new record coming in.

00:06:37.000 --> 00:06:51.000

And then also we have to think about what fields, did we have to worry about in the records, and all of those fields which indicators and some fields we're dealing with subject headings and it gets kinda.

00:06:51.000 --> 00:06:53.000

So in sort of going through this.

00:06:53.000 --> 00:07:03.000

One thing that kept coming up was just the sheer variety of types of headings in our records, whether they made sense for them to be there or not.

00:07:03.000 --> 00:07:20.000

We primarily only use LC subject headings and CUNY, and as a former capital logger. That's all I ever worked with was LC headings, um, that was what I had been trained on that was what that was our standard were at last library to.

00:07:20.000 --> 00:07:32.000

with all of these other alternate vocabularies and types of subject headings that I was seeing in the records, so I didn't even know what I didn't know about how deep that rabbit hole goes this look him up a little bit later but ultimately we decided

00:07:32.000 --> 00:07:44.000

This will come up a little bit later but ultimately we decided that non LLC vocabulary so by sac and Sears and some of these other specialized non LLC headings we were not going to worry about.

00:07:44.000 --> 00:07:56.000

We had another solution for how we were going to deal with those. We also decided not to worry about foreign language headings because there were a lot of those, those we have those important we have everything important, so they were there.

00:07:56.000 --> 00:08:08.000

So I saw the, the phrase for illegal aliens like every other language as I was working through testing and stuff. So those are all there. And then also less common fields like 651.

00:08:08.000 --> 00:08:15.000

Sometimes it was, it was a subdivision and 655, like in weird places that I didn't expect to see it.

00:08:15.000 --> 00:08:22.000

When I was testing and I'd be like, Why is this here. Oh, because it's in this other field I'm not looking at right now.

00:08:22.000 --> 00:08:34.000

But we're like, if we try to play whack a mole and all of these we're going to just lose it so we decided, focus on the big ones, and we can't find every single instance right now.

00:08:34.000 --> 00:08:43.000

So I'm going to start from the sort of the almost side of the house and talk about how. So now we sort of had the groundwork, how did we start to implement.

00:08:43.000 --> 00:08:45.000

So luckily for me.

00:08:45.000 --> 00:08:50.000

This was with us we're not the first library or the first consortia to look at this.

00:08:50.000 --> 00:09:05.000

So I didn't have to reinvent the wheel and I was very grateful. I'm both Sunni and Cal State had done very similar projects already. And so, I got to draw their documentation, and that was super invaluable so if you're here from one of those libraries.

00:09:05.000 --> 00:09:06.000

Thank you.

00:09:06.000 --> 00:09:15.000

Because I was able to use that to sort of help us along because I couldn't have come up with these from scratch. I don't think I would have been a successful.

00:09:15.000 --> 00:09:28.000

Um, I had experienced tinkering with normalization rules, but mostly for things like holdings, fixing weird problems and holdings records that came in from Alice and stuff like that.

00:09:28.000 --> 00:09:32.000

I had not done anything near this complex especially with big records.

00:09:32.000 --> 00:09:37.000

That's just not the kind of thing I had done so I really had to draw on what was out there.

00:09:37.000 --> 00:09:42.000

But what I found in looking at both of their work.

00:09:42.000 --> 00:09:46.000

Was that they differed a little bit in how they work and what they said.

00:09:46.000 --> 00:09:50.000

So I sort of had to really look closely at that.

00:09:50.000 --> 00:10:00.000

So my understanding, again, put a big asterisk so this is my understanding of how these roles work based on when I tested them, what the output looks like.

00:10:00.000 --> 00:10:11.000

Was that soon as roll over wrote the existing heading and the record so got rid it like remove those instances of that language from the catalog completely.

00:10:11.000 --> 00:10:24.000

And then the heading that was overwritten got a, so it was changed from being an LLC heading to be a other type of headache. And then the source for that was the changes of the project.

00:10:24.000 --> 00:10:39.000

The original heading was no longer in the record, and the replacement heading, sort of had this mark that it was that it was report like that this was like a replacement for this reason, cattle stands rule work a little differently, and their normal took

00:10:39.000 --> 00:10:55.000

took the heading, and then it made a copy, and then the work was all done on the copy of the record so it's the copy that got the Replace text, and the indicators indicator seven, and the source of change the subject project so the original headline was

00:10:55.000 --> 00:10:59.000

still in the record somewhere.

00:10:59.000 --> 00:11:10.000

They also differed a little bit on their terminology that they use my sort of read of it was that Cal State sort of preferred non citizen as just a general replacement term.

00:11:10.000 --> 00:11:22.000

So they use non citizen citizen. I'm cute Sudanese was a little bit more context, it felt a little more context specific so alien property became foreign owned property.

00:11:22.000 --> 00:11:28.000

And they also Sunni have a longer list of terminology, I think that was just based on what they had in their records.

00:11:28.000 --> 00:11:31.000

Subject headings that they had found.

00:11:31.000 --> 00:11:46.000

So, with that comparison sort of out of the way. Originally when I was approached to do this project. I was presented with Sunni documentation, and this is sort of what I'm struggling with is like, Hey, this is what Sunni dead let's do this and I was

00:11:46.000 --> 00:12:01.000

great. I used to work with a city library I like my Sunni friends. Sure. Um, and the subgroup within the cataloging committee had already agreed that that they liked the terminology that Sunni was using. So I was like okay great they liked the terminology I'm going to use this rule.

00:12:01.000 --> 00:12:15.000

going to use this rule. But then when I brought it to them and said okay this is how the rule actually works and I tested it, I'm going to go ahead, is that okay um, they, they didn't like that the the old heading was no longer in the record at all.

00:12:15.000 --> 00:12:23.000

They didn't want the record to just that heading to display, they didn't want patrons to see it, but they also did still want to maintain that discover ability.

00:12:23.000 --> 00:12:31.000

So, this sort of meant I had to get a little bit creative I couldn't just use the Sunni rule as it was written.

00:12:31.000 --> 00:12:47.000

So I had to actually merge the two sets of rules. I took a screenshot of sort of like did a recreation of what I was doing and word that night when I was trying to come up with it was, I tried to compare the two sets of rules side by side.

00:12:47.000 --> 00:12:56.000

And I looked for where the vocabulary differed and I look for where SUNY had replacement terms for things that Cal State did not.

00:12:56.000 --> 00:13:05.000

And so I went through and I mark them up. And then, in my final version of rolling you can actually see it on the screenshot from where I was sort of working in Word.

00:13:05.000 --> 00:13:23.000

If I changed what Cal states rule had already had I marked that I had revised it so I, but someone's alien criminals revised and then so the I use a different terminology then what Cal State had done, but I use the Cal states role as a base and use Sunni's

00:13:23.000 --> 00:13:34.000

terminology. So, this whole time I'm testing things against the sandbox, this is how I'm, like, testing to make sure it's doing what I expect it to do.

00:13:34.000 --> 00:13:48.000

I also wanted to make sure that there were no unforeseen consequences to what I was doing because it was sort of new territory for me and I want to make sure I wasn't breaking everyone's records like you know if I was just working on my own libraries

00:13:48.000 --> 00:13:55.000

records I wouldn't be like I'd be worried but not as worried as affecting records for 21 libraries.

00:13:55.000 --> 00:14:04.000

So, and this is where of course, in this testing this is where I found all this subject headings that I didn't anticipate or expect to see.

00:14:04.000 --> 00:14:08.000

So, I'm.

00:14:08.000 --> 00:14:11.000

So with that out of the way.

00:14:11.000 --> 00:14:16.000

So I now at this point we've laid the groundwork I have the rules, I'm going to use.

00:14:16.000 --> 00:14:30.000

Now I got to actually start doing the work. So, for I have sort of had to figure out how to keep track of everything. Um, so that was the question of how do you keep track of the work for 21 separate institutions and on network zone.

00:14:30.000 --> 00:14:40.000

How many records was I even working with, and how much of this could be done from the network, and just distributed out for editing the records themselves.

00:14:40.000 --> 00:14:54.000

Yes, for a lot of the other things, not as much as I maybe had hoped. And again, this is like me being new to the network zone CUNY being new to Alma like this was just we just didn't know what the sort of the the limits were there.

00:14:54.000 --> 00:15:06.000

But one thing I did do is that I put up the main copy of my normalization role in the network sounds like a copy it to each library which I'll talk about briefly.

00:15:06.000 --> 00:15:19.000

So, to keep track of everything. Um, because I was a one person operation and I was doing this part time outside of my regular work hours. I had to keep really good track of what I was doing and what I still have left to do.

00:15:19.000 --> 00:15:26.000

So I made a spreadsheet and this is actually a screenshot of my spreadsheet that I kept in Google Docs and I marked it up as I went.

00:15:26.000 --> 00:15:36.000

So you can see along the top I have all of the individual tasks so I sort of, you sort of like a project management approach of like break it down into small discrete tasks.

00:15:36.000 --> 00:15:50.000

And then down the side, I have each of the libraries that I would have to do the work for. And you can see I sort of marked up a couple of them. There's like marks of like na for not like not applicable because there were no records to change so I didn't

00:15:50.000 --> 00:15:53.000

have to change records because there weren't any.

00:15:53.000 --> 00:15:57.000

And this is sort of how I kept track as I went along.

00:15:57.000 --> 00:16:04.000

So, so you don't have to read it off the top of that spreadsheet. this was my sort of process.

00:16:04.000 --> 00:16:14.000

I was going to end this. This is what I set out to do. Yeah, I'll talk about were a couple of those got a little weird. Um, as I was going to run a report to identify records to change.

00:16:14.000 --> 00:16:31.000

I was going to create sets to change the records that I found that I was going to pull in the rule from the network zone and create normalization processes to make to do bad changing that I was going to run the job using the process I had just felt I

00:16:31.000 --> 00:16:48.000

was going to check out the records were changed, configure the import rule for new records, then test that that import rule was working, but the role in normalize on Save so that if for whatever bizarre reason someone created a record in the, in their

00:16:48.000 --> 00:17:05.000

iz in the Metadata Editor, that had the subject heading which goes against like all of our catalog practices, because it's really just like most of our bids go into the network zone for regular like monographs and normal materials like that.

00:17:05.000 --> 00:17:21.000

But for some weird reason that was that all of that didn't happen, it would actually also still do this process, and then putting rules in place in discovery to make everything look nice and presentable for users.

00:17:21.000 --> 00:17:34.000

So talk a little bit about the identifying records, because I like analytics, I love analytics, I'm, I'm still kind of a novice at it, I made a report, and I ran this report each iz, and then again in the network zone.

00:17:34.000 --> 00:17:40.000

And what I learned is I could have just run this once in the network zone and it probably would have given me everything.

00:17:40.000 --> 00:17:55.000

But it was fine like it gave me sort of good good experience. And I just sort of ran this report just to see how many records may be affected and what records I needed to change to keep an eye on.

00:17:55.000 --> 00:18:05.000

So, one thing I learned very quickly was that analytics is not very good at reporting subject headings are lazy, I couldn't seem to find a good way to get it to report subject headings.

00:18:05.000 --> 00:18:19.000

Um, I put the subject headings in this report, and then for some reason I decided to use open refine to put that field into separate columns and I could have just done that in Excel but I wasn't thinking I was just like I could do this fancy cool thing,

00:18:19.000 --> 00:18:22.000

and I didn't need to do that.

00:18:22.000 --> 00:18:32.000

Um, but the biggest problem was that I couldn't tell from the way the, the subject headings were reported in analytics, at least from my own understanding of it.

00:18:32.000 --> 00:18:44.000

I couldn't tell what was an LC subject heading and what were other weird other types of subject headings, which meant I probably are way more things on this report that I probably need it.

00:18:44.000 --> 00:19:02.000

Um, but what I really wanted was to find potential issues that the normalization rules might do something weird too, so I wanted to like sort of keep an eye like one thing I found was that, because the report I ran was just on the string aliens, because

00:19:02.000 --> 00:19:18.000

I wanted to make sure that like that string, out of context wasn't getting affected. And so, I found that like that string appeared in like the name of a town in Mexico and like, like it was like in some Italian words that a couple of other things so

00:19:18.000 --> 00:19:26.000

again these like foreign language headings were popping up with a completely different context and like we're that string make those that string of letters made sense.

00:19:26.000 --> 00:19:33.000

But I wanted to make sure that my rule wasn't going to mess those up, because that wouldn't that wouldn't be good. Um.

00:19:33.000 --> 00:19:41.000

The other thing was that running the report in the iz didn't mean that I could very quickly figure out which I had no records I needed to change in them.

00:19:41.000 --> 00:19:52.000

So I could sort of mark that off, including my own, I like I'll use my own library as a guinea pig and then found we didn't have any records to change, there were only in Riz.

00:19:52.000 --> 00:20:10.000

Um, and again so because of our, the way our process works are sort of our cataloging standards, very few records outside of the network so did need work for the most part it's like individually purchased e books and personal copies of textbooks and like

00:20:10.000 --> 00:20:25.000

equipment like very little stuff is actually done in the individualized ease of the libraries that had records to change the most of any single library with six, and almost half of our libraries had no records that needed changing again, including my

00:20:25.000 --> 00:20:34.000

own. And in total there were about, 2000 them within the scope of this project but again that number is probably inflated.

00:20:34.000 --> 00:20:46.000

Just because it is including headings and things that are not 100% matching. but I was basically working with about 2000 Records.

00:20:46.000 --> 00:20:56.000

So I set out to create sets I was like I'm going to run this report, I'm going to make sense. And I very quickly realized that was kind of a useless task.

00:20:56.000 --> 00:21:06.000

For the most part it was like a very minor thing again. Almost half of our lovers had nothing to change so I did not need to make sense for them. Um, I mostly made the stuff.

00:21:06.000 --> 00:21:12.000

At this point, just to keep track of what records, I needed to change so I could easily refer back to them.

00:21:12.000 --> 00:21:21.000

And they were just like itemized MLS ID sets, the only place where I really needed a set was in the network. So

00:21:21.000 --> 00:21:33.000

then the next thing was to pull in the rules. So, an attempt to make everyone's life easier and by everyone I mostly mean myself for this. I put the role in the network known as a shared rule.

00:21:33.000 --> 00:21:43.000

And I was hoping in my, my novice novice that I would just be able to use that shared rulemaking process and I learned that I couldn't do that.

00:21:43.000 --> 00:21:59.000

So what I did was in each institution, I went into the Metadata Editor, I made a local copy of the role from the network, and a market is local so that's a screenshot from the Metadata Editor, where I have the network, copy and then my local copy for

00:21:59.000 --> 00:22:07.000

that institution that I could use for a process that I had to set up the normalization process 22 times.

00:22:07.000 --> 00:22:19.000

This is something I was really hoping I could have done from the network and not have to do repeatedly. Um, but it was all right. Um, so I went to go set up the normalization processes.

00:22:19.000 --> 00:22:21.000

So that was mostly just.

00:22:21.000 --> 00:22:32.000

This is where you actually go into the metadata configuration in the admin panel, and you go into the Mark 21 bibliographic configuration and you add a normalization process.

00:22:32.000 --> 00:22:42.000

So I would end, and this is actually some screenshots of just, I sort of put this in Notepad so I could copy it each time I didn't have to type it out 22 times.

00:22:42.000 --> 00:22:47.000

And so it was consistent. This is the normalization rule I created.

00:22:47.000 --> 00:22:56.000

And then, the, the task was pulling in that role to run.

00:22:56.000 --> 00:23:00.000

Then, so now I have the role.

00:23:00.000 --> 00:23:07.000

I have the records identified, I have the process in place. My next step was to actually start changing records.

00:23:07.000 --> 00:23:16.000

Again, this is something where I thought I'd be running a job for all of these sets of records, and when you only have two it didn't really make sense.

00:23:16.000 --> 00:23:20.000

Especially because I still wanted to spot check and make sure that they were changed correctly.

00:23:20.000 --> 00:23:26.000

So, it didn't make sense to run, except for the network so did not make sense to run this as a job.

00:23:26.000 --> 00:23:36.000

So for the institutions that even had records I had to change. I just opened them in the Metadata Editor and did the enhanced this record.

00:23:36.000 --> 00:23:49.000

And because I'd set up the normalization process I could also use that to enhance the record directly in the Metadata Editor. And then I could immediately spot check and make sure it was done correctly and everything looked right so I could kill two birds

00:23:49.000 --> 00:24:03.000

with one stone that way and middle easier for the network where I did actually have to run it as a job because there were way more records, what I did was I first heard with a little subset of some of these records that I was like these names.

00:24:03.000 --> 00:24:03.000

These may be problems, and again, I'd already tested this in the sandbox, but I wanted to make like double extra sure that they were not going to get messed up in some way.

00:24:03.000 --> 00:24:13.000

be problems, and again I had already tested this in the sandbox, but I wanted to make like double extra sure that they were not going to get messed up in some way. So I am.

00:24:13.000 --> 00:24:19.000

I did run like a little tiny like a set of like 10 Records first just to make sure everything was as expected.

00:24:19.000 --> 00:24:22.000

Before I ran it on everything else.

00:24:22.000 --> 00:24:31.000

And that was just to make me feel better. I'm used to in our 30s be a way to like do like a preview mode almost where you could like check the output before you ran it for real.

00:24:31.000 --> 00:24:41.000

And there's that that's not a thing and all of us so I was like, Oh, I need to make sure I'm not you know like break something or do something wrong on other people's records.

00:24:41.000 --> 00:24:52.000

So this. So, at this point now I have, I have I have adjusted all existing records in our catalog and now I have to look at what about new records or updated records.

00:24:52.000 --> 00:25:06.000

And what I learned was that our OCLC in PR profile, just used Mark 21 normalize on Save. That was it's, it's normalization rule on import. So that's just basically the default.

00:25:06.000 --> 00:25:10.000

So I sort of presented two options, and it was either.

00:25:10.000 --> 00:25:26.000

I could create a new normalization process for importing OCLC records that includes this rule as one of the steps in that process. Or I could just hack this rule that I've already written on to Mark 21 normalize on Save.

00:25:26.000 --> 00:25:40.000

And then I wouldn't have to change the input profiles normalization, because with the permissions I had been given to do this project, I didn't actually have the permission to change anything about the import profiles from OCLC.

00:25:40.000 --> 00:25:57.000

So I would have, it would have been like extra work and a whole other thing to give me those permissions. And so, I was told I could just tack it on. I did test and I did try to do some research and see if there was any like horrible implications if I

00:25:57.000 --> 00:26:00.000

if I added a process to normalize on Save.

00:26:00.000 --> 00:26:08.000

But the answer looked like no so on the upside, it will be very easy to remove this, if we don't need it anymore.

00:26:08.000 --> 00:26:16.000

So, by adding the rule to normalize on Save I ended up sort of killing two birds with one stone again.

00:26:16.000 --> 00:26:31.000

And not needing to do one of the other sites that out to do, which was I'd already, I already wanted to add this to your boys on Save or incorporated in some way and keeps a record was for some reason made it directly in the Metadata Editor.

00:26:31.000 --> 00:26:41.000

Um, but I didn't need to worry too much about that, um, I did test this so I set up.

00:26:41.000 --> 00:26:53.000

Export to every whatever disease and the network's own just to make sure that when I imported records from OCLC that they looked correct and again the rule was applying correctly.

00:26:53.000 --> 00:27:07.000

So I did test it and it, I don't think it's ideal I think it does slow things down a little bit, it seems to, but it makes taking this rule out again in the future very easy to just have to take out that one process, and it's fine, not to do a lot of

00:27:07.000 --> 00:27:07.000

other adjusting.

00:27:07.000 --> 00:27:13.000

adjusting. So at this point in the process.

00:27:13.000 --> 00:27:32.000

So, all NZ and its records have been adjusted to include the preferred headings and the rule was put in normalize on saves for both, anything that was created in the Metadata Editor and data sync and the records from OCLC so on the left you can see screenshot

00:27:32.000 --> 00:27:35.000

of what the record looked like before.

00:27:35.000 --> 00:27:40.000

I made the change. And then on the right you can see after.

00:27:40.000 --> 00:27:58.000

There's this 650 of illegal aliens on the left and then on the right, so that subject heading is still there but then further down you can see undocumented immigrants, and then the sources change of their project, which is what we're using for this.

00:27:58.000 --> 00:28:01.000

Okay. So up to the last big thing.

00:28:01.000 --> 00:28:08.000

What about display so I did all the shades the babe records, but that's nothing if they are not displayed to users.

00:28:08.000 --> 00:28:16.000

So I had some experience working with them and holding normalization, mostly holding but I had gotten a lot of experiences.

00:28:16.000 --> 00:28:27.000

I had no experience working on display normalization at all, our pre movie display roles were mostly still out of the box. We had been on Primo classic.

00:28:27.000 --> 00:28:43.000

When we were in Alice, but the display rules were totally differently than they do in pre movie. So, it was just like a totally new everything. And because we were using a default display role, all of the subject headings and all of the records were displaying,

00:28:43.000 --> 00:28:57.000

which for this project was was like, especially bad because again we kept the old headings in there like the the PR, the approved LC headings in there, but we didn't want the users to see them, but they were still there.

00:28:57.000 --> 00:29:01.000

So, that was.

00:29:01.000 --> 00:29:05.000

So, this actually solved another problem.

00:29:05.000 --> 00:29:15.000

Since we had gone live with Primo v people across the libraries mostly reference folks have been complaining that the subject heading display was really cumbersome.

00:29:15.000 --> 00:29:19.000

There were way too many subjects, it was the list was a big mess.

00:29:19.000 --> 00:29:28.000

And what I noticed and looking again at SUNY documentation was that they had two sets of display roles. One of them that did not.

00:29:28.000 --> 00:29:33.000

Its main function was to do a text replace find replace.

00:29:33.000 --> 00:29:39.000

For those strings that were in the, the liberal.

00:29:39.000 --> 00:29:54.000

And that was mostly done to take care of Cz records and that was the only real change that that rule would do, was that it would if that heading was still in a record again mostly from the CZ that that would display the preferred terminology

00:29:54.000 --> 00:30:06.000

that they wanted their users to see the other rule the alternate role, only displayed, LLC subject headings, LLC juvenile, and then subfield.

00:30:06.000 --> 00:30:23.000

The second indicator seven the other headings, only if it had the subject of the change the subject project source as its source. So that was our winner like it did all of the things we wanted it took care of things for this project and also got rid of

00:30:23.000 --> 00:30:30.000

all of these other headings that were cluttering up the display and making it hard to read for users.

00:30:30.000 --> 00:30:42.000

So, for the most part the work I had been doing was mostly under sort of the guise of the catalog and committee like they were the group I was asking questions too.

00:30:42.000 --> 00:30:56.000

But pre movie adjustments fall under a different committee we have a committee called the user experience committee, and it mostly deals with pre movie, um, that's sort of been most of what we talked about and every one of our meetings.

00:30:56.000 --> 00:31:05.000

So the catalog and committee had already suggested like can we just display Library of Congress headings and the records and not all of these other headings that we don't use.

00:31:05.000 --> 00:31:17.000

Um, but I still had to present this to the UX committee because I didn't want because it kind of fell into their purview I want to make sure that they knew why I was making the change in that they were okay with the change.

00:31:17.000 --> 00:31:30.000

And so thankfully they all agreed for the sake of declaring the display, because I did sort of present it that way like it, we will no longer see these headings that we don't want to display but also all of these other headings, we don't want to display

00:31:30.000 --> 00:31:38.000

and they they like the sound of that. So, um, so this did require me to go into a different part of the configuration.

00:31:38.000 --> 00:31:42.000

This was in the discovery configuration in the display.

00:31:42.000 --> 00:31:53.000

Um, so, if for some chance you were working on a project like this and you did not have prima vi certification, you would need prima vi certification to work on this part of a project.

00:31:53.000 --> 00:32:00.000

Because this did require to have the access to the discovery configuration.

00:32:00.000 --> 00:32:11.000

Because we had not edited the subject display at all. I did actually have to add the display field into the settings. So a lot of this was like, I initially had no idea what I was doing.

00:32:11.000 --> 00:32:19.000

And I had to sort of read up and ask around like how do I change the display so I had the rules but I didn't know where to put them to make them work.

00:32:19.000 --> 00:32:37.000

So, eventually figured it out. Um, and so I added the display field and I edited the sort of syntax, and I did a lot of like View Source record when I was testing just to make sure that it was doing the right thing and not do we like that if I was seeing

00:32:37.000 --> 00:32:51.000

terminology I shouldn't be seeing that it wasn't in a field I had been working on that it was like in a 651 or some other like some sort of error with the cataloging record and not with the rule that I was working with.

00:32:51.000 --> 00:32:55.000

I did make one tweak though to Sunni's display.

00:32:55.000 --> 00:32:58.000

This was just for the sake of declaring.

00:32:58.000 --> 00:33:16.000

We decided not to display the change the subject project source in the final display, which was something that Sunni did and I like I understand why we just, we decided we didn't want to do that so I did have to figure out how to stop that from displaying,

00:33:16.000 --> 00:33:30.000

which again like because I was a novice at working with display roles I did have to do a little tinkering and testing make sure I was editing the right part of the role to not to suppress that from display up I took a screenshot of sort of what that looked

00:33:30.000 --> 00:33:39.000

like in the configuration while I was working. So this is sort of a before and add another before and after.

00:33:39.000 --> 00:33:47.000

On the left you can see that I have this is what the display looked like before I made any of these changes, and it's kind of a mess.

00:33:47.000 --> 00:33:53.000

I had never seen all these all caps headings before it was like some controlled vocabulary that I was not familiar with.

00:33:53.000 --> 00:34:06.000

And some of these other things and so it was also displaying both illegal alien children, and then undocumented immigrant children so it was displaying both the LCSH heading that we didn't want to display and then like preferred heading we did want to

00:34:06.000 --> 00:34:08.000

display.

00:34:08.000 --> 00:34:21.000

So once I put all these rules in place and everything was done. The final thing is what's on the right, where you can see it just shows that small segment of headings, they all make sense.

00:34:21.000 --> 00:34:23.000

They're all appropriate.

00:34:23.000 --> 00:34:30.000

This, this was like our stripped down improved display, which is again much much better.

00:34:30.000 --> 00:34:33.000

So we did have a couple of little bumps in the road.

00:34:33.000 --> 00:34:44.000

Because no product besides this without its problems, and the main one for me was that initially I made all the changes in the network zone. And for some reason it was slow to push out to the device.

00:34:44.000 --> 00:34:52.000

So I did all the changes on the beds, and then when I was testing to make sure that the display rules were working properly.

00:34:52.000 --> 00:34:55.000

Once I had finally put them in place.

00:34:55.000 --> 00:35:06.000

It was still displaying weird like something was off, and I couldn't figure out why and it turned out that like it was just being very slow I was like, oh, maybe there's a problem with how things are set up or there was something I had to do everything

00:35:06.000 --> 00:35:19.000

I read, read, says changes in the network zone applied to the iz and the big record. And it wasn't populating like if I reloaded the record and then in the bit in the Metadata Editor was fine.

00:35:19.000 --> 00:35:33.000

But if I didn't reload it it wasn't it wasn't always pulling down the new like updated version of the record. Eventually it sorted itself out but I had to put in a ticket with actually breaths and make sure everything was set up properly and doing what

00:35:33.000 --> 00:35:35.000

I'm supposed to do.

00:35:35.000 --> 00:35:43.000

And then the other little bump was my own problem with, with the display roles, if you put a, if you make a change to the display rules you have to hit Apply.

00:35:43.000 --> 00:35:47.000

So I would make a change, I would put the rule in place.

00:35:47.000 --> 00:35:52.000

And I would go test it and it wouldn't work and I couldn't figure out why it wouldn't work.

00:35:52.000 --> 00:35:55.000

And the answer was almost always I forgot to hit Apply.

00:35:55.000 --> 00:36:00.000

That was just like a weird quirk of how that workflow works.

00:36:00.000 --> 00:36:15.000

So, for the most part I did learn a lot. And during this I got to do a lot with like sort of the dark arts of working with data and whatnot. Um, so I learned a lot about display and bid normalization and analytics a little bit.

00:36:15.000 --> 00:36:29.000

Um, I also learned things sort of more about the interaction between the network and the iz and mostly have the iz data represents itself in the network zone like again, I could have run the report to find the records in just the network zone and I would

00:36:29.000 --> 00:36:34.000

have seen which iz had the records, but I didn't, I didn't know that at first.

00:36:34.000 --> 00:36:38.000

I also sort of learned about the limits of the network zone for distributed work.

00:36:38.000 --> 00:36:47.000

There was a more that I was hoping I could have done at the network level and pushed out, but it just would not.

00:36:47.000 --> 00:36:53.000

It just doesn't work that way. And that's okay but it was just like learning what those limits were.

00:36:53.000 --> 00:36:58.000

So, oh, for some reason, This slide looks weird.

00:36:58.000 --> 00:37:05.000

I don't know why that one font is displaying really strangely. It's not supposed to, um, but so I.

00:37:05.000 --> 00:37:18.000

If anyone has any questions comments I will look at the chat I saw the chat was going a little bit. Um, there was a question. Do you have a premium sandbox we do, we have.

00:37:18.000 --> 00:37:24.000

We have a premium network sandbox and to premium iz sand boxes.

00:37:24.000 --> 00:37:34.000

So we use those Kenny wide, so I did use that the premium network and iz sand boxes to do my testing.

00:37:34.000 --> 00:37:41.000

And then they were comments the dreaded apply but it's like it. It's got any more than once, and since this projects done like since I finished this work.

00:37:41.000 --> 00:37:52.000

I did another project right also had to do with things with the slave roles and I also had a problem with like remembering to hit apply

00:37:52.000 --> 00:38:06.000

and wants to know how long this project should be start to finish. I started in the middle of July, and I finished, I think in the early middle of August, and that was only doing it.

00:38:06.000 --> 00:38:12.000

Sort of like nights and weekends kind of thing. I didn't.

00:38:12.000 --> 00:38:21.000

It did not take me as long as I thought I was actually brought on like the adjunct contract was like 100 hours and I was like, I don't know if this works going to take 100 hours.

00:38:21.000 --> 00:38:27.000

And this work did not take anywhere close to 100 hours to complete.

00:38:27.000 --> 00:38:37.000

So it was not and again this was like for for everyone. I think if you were just doing it for one library and you already had. For the most part the rules and everything I don't think it takes that long.

00:38:37.000 --> 00:38:44.000

Um, Elena wanted to know how we considered be moving into other terminology we have that.

00:38:44.000 --> 00:38:59.000

If they want to do other terminology that's totally cool. We now know it's super easy if we did want to do that, but we have not gone into that I think some of it was like this terminology specifically because there had been a weird thing about library

00:38:59.000 --> 00:39:10.000

of Congress. There was like some weird thing where like Library of Congress couldn't just propose the change themselves like some weird political thing that they couldn't just change it, but they do change other terminology, and like that seems to be

00:39:10.000 --> 00:39:26.000

less loaded, so I don't know if it's like maybe that's more likely to get changed on its own and not, we don't have to do it, but No, we haven't. As far as I know we haven't discussed other terminology for other types of things.

00:39:26.000 --> 00:39:31.000

And I did by the way I guess I can try to put them in the chat the links to the other.

00:39:31.000 --> 00:39:43.000

The two sets of documentation that I referred to because, again that was super, super useful for me, one of them was Sunni Sunni outlines like everything about this project.

00:39:43.000 --> 00:39:54.000

And, and then Cal State actually presented it, he cow. Is that how they say it. But, but certainly the California rug.

00:39:54.000 --> 00:40:02.000

And that's their, their slides that that I sort of referred to, to use for the rules.

00:40:02.000 --> 00:40:13.000

And those were both like super super useful, because again, I could not have done this without, without that.

00:40:13.000 --> 00:40:28.000

And Kari carries and thank you for your troubleshooting and sharing your troubleshooting it's like you're welcome Terry.

00:40:28.000 --> 00:40:33.000

Anyone else have any other questions or comments I know it's like Friday.

00:40:33.000 --> 00:40:46.000

And we still have more present, there's still more presentations and a whole a whole thing I'm pretty much analytics later that I'm sticking around for it but is there any other.

00:40:46.000 --> 00:40:55.000

Any other questions in the chat. You can also like unmute yourself and talk it is a little weird, like when you're sort of alone and presented.

00:40:55.000 --> 00:41:14.000

I do know that there's people out there but you can't see.

00:41:14.000 --> 00:41:28.000

We're back and sounds like that's it thank you. Oh, no. We've got some coming up ever gotten any feedback on users. Um, no, I don't think this is the kind of thing that I don't think a user would even notice.

00:41:28.000 --> 00:41:42.000

Unless they were really really like, like, I don't think, I don't think we had students directly approaching us and telling us that they saw these words in the catalog and didn't like it.

00:41:42.000 --> 00:41:57.000

I think it was more, we were being proactive and I mean this is the kind of thing it's like, I, I don't think our students knew, like edit and if they saw a change like if they ever noticed it before and they didn't say anything.

00:41:57.000 --> 00:42:11.000

And we made the change, they may have just looked at the change just happen magically. I don't think they, they, I don't think an end user would really know like, oh yeah there was actually like a librarian sitting in a desk, doing the work to make the

00:42:11.000 --> 00:42:32.000

change happen in the system like that work is so invisible to them I don't think I did hear mostly from other librarians in our sort of in our sphere in our network, because I did my best to sort of promote that this was the work we had done to let them

00:42:32.000 --> 00:42:43.000

know because there was sort of a question of like are we going to do this, is this something someone's going to do. And so we did want to let them know in part because we wanted to let them know and also because we wanted to make sure that they knew in

00:42:43.000 --> 00:42:57.000

terms of like reference that if they looked at the display that they knew that like these terms were in the records now you could use them You didn't have to use the other ones that still bring them up and wanted to know if you advertise the project I

00:42:57.000 --> 00:42:59.000

know we just did it.

00:42:59.000 --> 00:43:14.000

we just did it. We just went ahead and did it again I think this is one of those like unless they really like. Not that they didn't care but I don't think it was really on their radar that this was something that could just be done and changed and we didn't really

00:43:14.000 --> 00:43:30.000

didn't really do a lot of like fanfare, and Stephen Stephen put a comment in the chat. The next year it was easier than anticipated so often it's either around like Yeah, I was very grateful if there were again like snags and weird things I wasn't really

00:43:30.000 --> 00:43:42.000

sure about, and I'm, um, thankfully, like when relatively smoothly and left me time to work on other things after I was done, which was very satisfying.

00:43:42.000 --> 00:43:59.000

Rebecca there was a question up at the top, you missed since are you sharing terms that you have changed. I can include that somewhere for the most part it's actually the same terminology that Suna use so it's basically all in, I believe in their documentation

00:43:59.000 --> 00:44:02.000

what terminology they use.

00:44:02.000 --> 00:44:04.000

Um,

00:44:04.000 --> 00:44:17.000

but uh, yeah because the terminology was something we did not write our own terminology we just use Sunni's.

00:44:17.000 --> 00:44:41.000

So I think I made like a table to present at a meeting and then no one actually asked me what the alternate terms were they were just like, cool, do you change that and carry makes a good point about keeping colleagues and formed, which is just as important,

00:44:41.000 --> 00:44:52.000

even going to know that I was doing anything, so it was like no I went in, I like this wasn't a magical thing that just happened. I actually did this like I went in and did the work.

00:44:52.000 --> 00:44:55.000

And now it looks like this.

00:44:55.000 --> 00:45:05.000

But it wasn't like a magical thing that just happened because we will get into existence.

00:45:05.000 --> 00:45:23.000

Anything else.