Onboarding WG objections summary
3 objectors and 5 consenters. (63% pass)

- Should the WG's meetings actually be sessions where new people are onboarded, rather than meetings of people already in the program to discuss *how* to onboard people? [One objector thinks so](#).
- Does the WG have KPIs that do not match the overall purpose of the Ambassador Program? [The same objector thinks so](#), although they haven't said which KPIs they mean.
- [Is the decision](#) to temporarily close the WG to new members in Q4 a bad idea?
- Is it sufficiently clear why the WG's Q3 budget had not been entirely spent by ⅔ of the way through the quarter, in early September? [One objector thinks](#) this is evidence of underutilisation of funds.
- And [one objector raised multiple issues](#):
  - Are there enough clear success metrics given in the budget? If not - is this something that belongs in a budget, or is it something that a WG would decide internally?
  - Does there need to be an "overhaul" of the group's structure, to consolidate into a smaller core team? (Note that this may be based on a misapprehension: the budget and quarterly report show the core work has already been "consolidated into a smaller team" called the Onboarders.)
  - Is the WG doing things that do not count as "onboarding"?
  - Is it wrong for the WG to share tasks such as facilitation among group members?