Places as through the following stone to complete a "near" review with ChatGPT for your

Please go through the following steps to complete a "peer" review with ChatGPT for your critical review mini paper:

- Step 1: Go to ChatGPT and enter the following prompt: "I have written a critical review paper on [your topic], and I'd like for you to take on the role of my peer and review the paper for me based on a set of criteria I will give you. I will give you these criteria one by one, and I would like you to give me feedback and offer suggestions for improvement. Thank you! The first criteria is:"
- Step 2: Enter the following criteria and prompts (copy/paste) one by one. I encourage you to ask follow up questions, and challenge ChatGPT where you can. Note ChatGPT's responses and consider how you can apply this feedback to revise and strengthen your paper. Remember: Engage with ChatGPT critically, remain skeptical, and do research on its responses if you need to (For example, if ChatGPT tells you that a particular word is not used in this particular context or culture, make sure you do your research before you just accept its response). Some of ChatGPTs feedback may be useful, but some may not! Please avoid changing everything it asks you to change, and make sure your voice and YOU still remain very present throughout your paper.
 - Criteria 1: Claim Clarity and Argumentation "How clear is the claim in articulating the paper's stance on the 'post-plagiarism era' and its implications on academic integrity and authorship?"
 - Criteria 2: Critical Engagement with Sources: "Does the paper critically engage with Sarah Eaton and Maha Bali's perspectives on academic integrity in the digital age? How can this analysis be improved?"
 - Criteria 3: Evidence and Support: "Evaluate the evidence used to support the main argument. Is the evidence relevant, sufficient, and effectively integrated into the argument?"
 - Criteria 4: Analysis and Insight: "How well does the paper analyze the implications of digital technology on academic integrity and authorship? What insights or unique perspectives does the paper offer?"
 - Criteria 5: Organization and Structure: "Assess the organization of the paper. Is the argument presented in a logical, coherent manner that is easy to follow?"
 - Criteria 6: Originality and Creativity: "Does the paper present an original viewpoint on the 'post-plagiarism era'? How does it contribute to the existing

conversation on this topic?"

- Criteria 7: Use of Language and Style: "Evaluate the clarity, precision, and appropriateness of the language and style. How can the readability of the paper be improved?"
- Criteria 8: Conclusion and Implications: "Is the conclusion effective in summarizing the main points and reinforcing the paper's stance? Does it discuss the broader implications of the findings?"
- Criteria 9: Recommendations for Improvement: "Based on your assessment, what are the top three recommendations you would make to improve this paper?"
- Step 3: Open a Google doc. and title it: "Critical Review Mini Paper ChatGPT Interaction. Then write a one-paragraph reflection on what you learned from this interaction, what you changed, and what you decided to keep. Did ChatGPT make any mistakes or offer advice that was simply inaccurate? Did it help improve your draft? If so, how?
- Step 4: Copy and paste the link to your chat at the end of your reflection paragraph. You
 may do so by going to the share button on the top right corner of your chat page (see
 image below).

