

MODS to RDF Working Group - Review of Collaboration Documents (Emory)

Questions/Comments:

Name

Is the <name><affiliation> sub-element only defined for the complex use case?

We have Program/School affiliations listed with authors in our IR.

Holdings/Physical Location

We opted for the bibframe heldBy option because it seemed simplest, and we may want to record ownership separately.

ShelfMark

~~How do the shelf mark options that use dc:identifier relate to what we have discussed for the <mods:identifier> mapping? (uses dc:identifier, not dcterms:identifier)~~

Did we ever resolve the need for a predicate specifically for accession numbers?

Notes

We're glad to have the custom note types minting option, but want to ask - is there any interest in some community-defined note types instead of us all minting our own? (We have probably less than 10 note types that we use locally, so it's not a huge amount.)

Additional Homework

- a. Look at RDA title and BF 2.0 title compared to current mappings
 - a. We don't have a lot of complex use cases for title - the original Simple case suffices for us (dc terms)
- b. Look at MADS RDF for subjects
 - a. Need more information on the group's concern about the original Collaboration mapping
- c. Look at [LOC publication frequencies](#) list to see if appropriate as object of bf:frequency
- d. Look at using MODS RDF or RDA vocabulary for physical extent
 - a. RDA item property
 - i. "has note on extent of item" <http://www.rdaregistry.info/Elements/i/#P40011>

- ii. “Relates an item to a note providing information on the extent of the specific item being described that is not recorded as part of the extent element.”
 - iii. Domain: item
 - iv. Range: undefined
- b. RDA manifestation property
 - i. “has extent” <http://www.rdaregistry.info/Elements/m/#P30182> (with subproperties)
 - ii. “Relates a manifestation to a number and type of unit and/or subunit of a manifestation”
 - iii. Domain: manifestation
 - iv. Range: Undefined