Journal Política Internacional
RNPS: No. 2092 e-ISSN: 2707-7330 CITMA: Código 2295920

Form for peer review of articles submitted for publication.

Dear Reviewer:

The Journal *Política internacional* thanks you for evaluating the work that has been shared with you. Your assessments are essential for the publication decision. Our journal establishes a policy of academic peer review, under the double-blind modality. The referees anonymously evaluate the scientific quality of the submitted project. This implies that neither the author nor the evaluator know each other during the evaluation process. Peer review assists the Editorial Board in deciding which articles to publish, while helping to raise and guarantee the quality of the publications. For each of the aspects to be evaluated, you will find explanatory elements that can serve as a reference in your evaluation.

Title of the paper: Include the title of the paper.

Clarity of the title: Abbreviated representation of the essential content of the article. Concise, understandable and informative language (should not include acronyms, abbreviations, symbols). Maximum of 15 words.

Abstract: Clearly and briefly exposes the fundamental information of the main parts of the paper, its objectives, and its main conclusions. Maximum 250 words. Simple and direct language. It does not contain tables, graphs, figures, bibliographical quotations, acronyms or abbreviations. Closely related to the title.

Objectives: The objectives of the work are explicitly and clearly described. There is congruence between the objectives, development and conclusions. Assess whether the work achieves the proposed objectives.

Introduction: It presents the importance of the object of research, its basis. It formulates the relevant antecedents that support the problem (state of the art). Describes the what and why of the research. It contains the objectives proposed by the work.

Development: There is a logical order in the presentation of the content, it is coherent, with a scientific, clear and precise language. The exposition is in accordance with the objectives of the work. There is an appropriate use of statistical methods (when required). Figures and tables highlight relevant results without repeating information.

Conclusions: Conclusions are derived directly from the results, avoiding making statements that are not supported by the study. They summarize the essential ideas discussed in the article, the most important results, in a brief and clear manner. Pending research topics, limitations of the work and/or recommendations for future research may be identified.

Journal Política Internacional
RNPS: No. 2092 e-ISSN: 2707-7330 CITMA: Código 2295920

Scientific rigor of the work: The article has the scientific rigor necessary for its publication. It does not show a confusing or unclear presentation of the development of the work and its conclusions. It does not have a deficient and careless writing in formal aspects.

Relevance of the arguments: There is novelty and relevance in the topic addressed. The research is pertinent, original with respect to previous studies.

Actuality of the topic and level of national or international debate: Value the actuality and level of national and/or international debate of the topic addressed.

Correct use of bibliographical references: References cited in the text match those stated in the Bibliography. The rules of the Journal for bibliographical references (APA 7) are observed.

Up-to-date and relevance of the bibliography consulted: The bibliography contains an adequate number of sources and is up to date, most of them correspond to works published in the last five years. Relevant national and international literature on the subject is represented.

Final evaluation of the work: Provide a brief general argumentation.

Recommend one of the following options for the paper:

1. Approve the manuscript for publication without modification,
2. Approve the manuscript for publication subject to minor changes (no need for a second review by reviewers),
3. Reject with possibility of resubmission for further evaluation,
4. Reject the manuscript (poor relevance of the topic addressed, lack of originality with respect to previous studies, methodological inconsistencies, confusing or unclear presentation of the work, superficial or insufficient discussion of the results, poor writing and neglect of formal aspects, use of bad scientific practices).

Evaluator's first and last name:

Institutional affiliation and country:

Date (day/month/year):

Thank you very much for your valuable collaboration. Please send the completed form to:
rpi@isri.minrex.gob.cu and politicainternacionaldigital@gmail.com