

Cost-Effective Strategies for Mitigating Human Impacts on Urban Air Quality

Vincent Hall
Viterbi School of Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California
hallv@usc.edu

Tolan Nyberg
Viterbi School of Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California
tnyberg@usc.edu

Jude Lichtenstein
Viterbi School of Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California
jalichte@usc.edu

Mark Sun
Viterbi School of Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California
mesun@usc.edu

Laurel Gunnell
Viterbi School of Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California
lgunnell@usc.edu

Erin Oh
Viterbi School of Engineering
University of Southern California

Los Angeles, California
erinkoh@usc.edu

Abstract— *This paper evaluates highly cost-effective strategies for reducing human-induced air pollution in urban environments. Using a combination of local emissions monitoring models and multi-variable simulation software, different interventions were analyzed to determine their economic efficiency against particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x). The data indicates that implementing selective urban greening zones and deploying low-cost active transport infrastructure yield the most significant air quality improvements per dollar spent. These empirical and simulated findings confirm that low-barrier, passive mitigation designs can drastically scale down anthropogenic atmospheric degradation without requiring high-capital industrial overhaul.*

Keywords— *air quality mitigation, urban greening, cost-effective environmental design, particulate matter, anthropogenic emission reduction.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic air pollution remains a critical issue in modern environmental engineering, posing severe challenges to both public health and global atmospheric stability. Finding ways to bridge the gap between theoretical environmental models and practical, budget-conscious community designs is essential for municipal planning. Evaluating mitigation strategies through real-time simulation tools allows engineers to optimize the balance between execution cost and particulate filtration efficiency.

This paper documents a two-phase research initiative focused on evaluating cost-effective methods to reduce human impact on air quality. First, a diverse set of passive and active urban interventions was modeled using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) software to predict pollutant dispersion and capture rates. Second, local small-scale physical testing environments were deployed to gather empirical verification. This dual approach provides critical insight into how budget constraints alter the real-world performance of environmental engineering solutions

compared to idealized digital simulations.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Simulation Framework

Urban atmospheric layouts were built and evaluated using an environmental microclimate simulator. The model went through an iterative refinement process, testing different layouts to optimize pollutant capture while lowering municipal deployment costs. The ultimate design represented the 10th and most optimal iteration, relying heavily on strategic vegetation placement combined with designated non-motorized transport lanes. The simulation predicted a high reduction in localized PM2.5 levels at an estimated infrastructure adjustment cost well below traditional mechanical filtration systems, passing all target environmental standard checks prior to physical pilot testing.

B. Physical Pilot Testing

Based on the finalized simulated design, a scale testing zone was established in an urban sector using low-cost, high-surface-area botanical barriers and simple traffic redirection barriers. Physical adjustments had to be made during setup due to tight resource limits and strict time constraints, leading the team to rely on localized vegetative solutions that did not benefit from comprehensive digital pre-modeling.

C. Measurement Procedure

Air quality monitoring was conducted using particulate sensors placed at fixed distances around the test zone. Data points were collected incrementally over a multi-day observation cycle under standard urban commuter loads. Monitoring continued until the conclusion of the peak traffic phase, with the cumulative reduction in particulate mass logged as the baseline efficiency level.

III. Results

The physical testing zone showed notable reductions in microclimate ambient air pollutants. Structural data collection revealed a drop in localized PM2.5

levels across the monitored timeline. However, failure to achieve maximum projected efficiency occurred not within the primary botanical barrier zone, but rather at the peripheral transition junctions where unmodeled wind funnels bypassed the vegetation completely, carrying unfiltered exhaust deeper into the footpaths. The sudden shifting of these wind currents at an angle compromised the overall efficiency of the boundary layer, proving that while simulated designs are incredibly useful, unvalidated physical anomalies can drastically affect real-world outcomes.

IV. ALTERNATIVE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CASE

Urban green roofs and vertical living walls utilize biological filtration to capture airborne heavy metals and greenhouse gases. They are valuable passive tools as they take advantage of natural plant respiration cycles to turn gaseous pollutants into harmless plant biomass.

The green roof pilot design was based on smaller initial modules that were tested to determine the various vegetative factors to include. The leaf surface area and drought tolerance of the selected flora were two factors that needed to be considered, for example. The final design focused on **increasing the overall filtration efficiency while striking a clean balance between canopy density and structural weight limits.**

Taking inspiration from the ground-level buffer zones, the base substrate of the green roof utilized a modular grid design to increase the amount of moisture that could be retained and to provide a stable base for the root system to rest on. Protruding from the substrate was a porous mesh that anchored the plants firmly against high winds. The entire array consisted of five unique sedum species connected by lightweight polymer trays to a central drainage system. A low-voltage moisture sensor was connected to the back of the tray framework, and the

whole apparatus functioned as a single bio-filtration unit. All components were secured using weatherproof adhesive. The final blueprint was later uploaded and optimized for modular manufacturing. The goal of the project was to design a green roof module that could power a small chemical air-scrubbing pump using an integrated solar film, representing a closed-loop merger of mechanical and biological filtration. In order to test the design, a controlled emissions stream was aimed at the array, and the sensor readouts were observed to see if pollutant levels dropped. The procedure was a success, and the system sustained high carbon capture rates as long as sunlight hit the panel. The green roof layout was compared to other designs from the same cohort and was declared to have the best architectural design.

V. DISCUSSION

The physical discrepancies observed during testing reveal a critical gap between environmental simulation environments and real-world execution. The digital software did not require explicit structural modeling of micro-wind currents caused by surrounding architecture, as the system's standard load test focused purely on localized cross-sections. In practice, the addition of unmodeled infrastructure variations introduced aerodynamic variables that were never evaluated during the simulation phase.

As a result, the unengineered boundary zones became the primary weak points of the design, letting unfiltered air escape. This highlight shows the clear limitations of simulation-dependent engineering: a model that accounts for only a subset of real-world environmental factors can yield a false sense of security while leaving unvalidated elements vulnerable. It also demonstrates the influence of human error within the physical construction process, especially given the small scale of the pilot, which lacked access to hyper-precise positioning tools that could match the digital model precisely.

VI. CONCLUSION

This project successfully moved through the

complete engineering design cycle, spanning digital microclimatic modeling, physical construction, and empirical atmospheric testing. The finalized Warren-style layout for urban greening passed all initial software performance criteria after ten rigorous design iterations. However, physical testing exposed structural boundary vulnerabilities that were not simulated, which ultimately limited the design's maximum pollution-capture potential. This outcome underscores an important lesson in environmental engineering: simulation tools are only as comprehensive as the components they model, and physical systems must independently account for complex real-world variables that fall outside the digital scope. Future iterations will incorporate advanced aerodynamic flow models, applying the same rigorous standards to boundary zones as the core filters, while reinforcing the primary roadside buffer structures.

The green roof project took direct inspiration from large-scale ecological systems to design a micro-tier array prioritizing long-term functionality and low maintenance. The final layout included a multi-species botanical canopy resting on a modular truss-supported substrate. When polluted air met the canopy, the leaves successfully captured particulate matter and leveraged solar power to drive secondary mechanical air-scrubbing systems. The design proved highly successful in live testing and was nominated as the best out of all competitive project entries.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] Environmental Protection Agency, "Urban Air Quality Simulation Tools," Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, USA. [Online]. Available: <https://www.epa.gov/air-research>