

We introduce the first manually annotated corpus that captures the *context* in which prior judgments are cited across the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights. Fifty-eight representative case documents - spanning the Committee, Chamber, and Grand Chamber levels - were annotated for three citation-context labels (relevant Article/Protocol, citation source type, and judicial consideration) and for the presence of repeated citations to the same precedent. Annotation results show consistently strong agreement both within and across court levels, confirming the reliability of the scheme. Exploratory corpus statistics highlight a skewed distribution of citation frequency, with repeat references corresponding to almost 65% of all citation instances, and reveal notable shifts in contextual framing when the same case is cited multiple times. Together, these findings underscore the importance of retrieving full citation context and provide a gold-standard benchmark for training and evaluating future AI systems that automatically detect how precedents are invoked in ECHR judgments.