# Repo Renovation: motivation, methods, and lessons learned in 'doing the FAIR thing'

Steve Diggs

Thursday, August 22, 2019, 10:30 PST (17:30 UTC)

## **Connection Information**

https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/157892821

You can also dial in using your phone.

United States: +1 (312) 757-3121

Access Code: 157-892-821

### Presentation

Slides

Recording

### **Attendees**

Jocelyn Elya, Carolina Berys-Gonzalez, Susan Becker, Andrew Barna, Debbie Roth, Steve Diggs, Suzanne O'Hara, Megan Carter, James H. Swift, Mathew Biddle, Joseph Gum, Chris Olson, Bob Key, Alex Kozyr

### Minutes

- 1. FAIR = Findable Accessible Interoperable Reusable
  - a. Today focusing on F and I
- 2. Over the course of the last 50 years, data volume has exploded and cost has decreased.

- a. Cost decrease allowed metadata attitude to change
- b. SC2 format. Station data metadata format example.

### 3. WOCE

- a. A series of in-person meetings. Version 3 of data that made data more interoperable. Converged around COARDS and netCDF
- 4. Repositories can
  - a. Take inventory of what they have now, design new architecture, plan, switch from old to new, and maintain
  - b. Timing is key
- 5. Findable focusing on schema.org P418. Interoperable focusing on CF.
- 6. CF convention
  - a. Unidata is the custodian in the US
  - b. Descendant of COARDS
  - c. Most widely implemented in netCDF
- 7. WHP-exchange format (2001)
  - Metadata were scattered around
- Then to COARDS-compatible netCDF
- 9. A conversion to these standards Is it worth it? And how much is it going to cost me?
  - a. Scope it properly
  - b. Decide on a strategic and desirable "why" it's needed
  - c. Ask for the resources you need.
    - i. ~50K \$125K, 18 24 months
  - d. Look for "broader impacts"
- 10. Temptation is to swing for the fences and get it done in one shot. But allow for incremental improvements.

# Questions/Discussion

- 1. Bob Key Crunching the budget numbers. Are these realistic?
  - a. Supplement existing projects with this.
  - b. Can you even "turn the crank" for that much money? Need to know which files need reprocessing.
  - c. Andrew Barna says they have ~15,000 files
  - d. Incremental and slow. Can't do all data at once.

- e. "¾ of what we need to do we can do off existing resources. And the rest is witchcraft"
- 2. Europe has got pangea trying to undergo renovations. Mathew Biddle BCO-DMO has been migrating to an ERDDAP server.
- 3. WOCE Version 3 was expensive to achieve. Flying people around the world to converge on technical solutions.
- 4. How do you know what the research community wants?
- 5. Bob do you make the data offerings yourself, or the tools that someone can use to create them?
  - a. Talking about using ERDDAP with netCDF file to create different files.
- 6. Headache for users is reading the code in. I/O, not analysis
  - a. Going forward, supply software with each dataset. Python and MATLAB at least.
- 7. Jocelyn Any plans for formalize how to do this?
  - a. Yes, a how-to with EarthCube
- 8. Mathew Biddle at BCO-DMO they're migrating data servers
  - a. Migrating to ERDDAP
  - b. Want to use federated search features
- 9. A big part of the budget has to be maintenance
- 10. Chris Olson doing this transformation in parallel with operational tasks. No defined start and end. How did that work?
  - a. Some context switching. Sometimes completely devoted to routine operations, sometimes completely devoted to innovation. Devote enough time to make some progress in each.
  - b. Split the team in terms of focus. But no one person does one thing.
    - Need more eyes on the problem. Better than having only one person looking.

11.