White Paper

Ensuring Inspector General Independence: Strengthening Ethical Standards Across Federal Oversight

---

Executive Summary

Inspectors General (I.G.s) serve as the independent watchdogs of the federal government, ensuring accountability, transparency, and protection against waste, fraud, and abuse. However, the appointment of Cheryl Mason as the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Inspector General in July 2025 has spotlighted growing concerns about structural conflicts of interest and eroding ethical norms. This white paper examines how current appointment practices deviate from long-standing principles of impartial oversight, using the VA case as a representative example. We contrast these practices with ethical safeguards in place across other major federal departments and offer concrete legislative reforms to preserve the integrity of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Key Ethical Concerns

- **Conflict of Interest:** Cheryl Mason transitioned from Senior Advisor to the VA Secretary to Inspector General of the same agency within a few months. This presents a clear structural conflict where oversight may target policies or decisions she directly influenced.
- **Impartiality in Perception:** Even if the I.G. acts ethically, their recent involvement with agency leadership undermines public confidence in impartiality.
- **Whistleblower Chilling Effect:** Employees may hesitate to report wrongdoing to an I.G. who appears closely aligned with current leadership.
- **Recusal Burdens:** Extensive recusals due to past involvement in key policies diminish the I.G.'s leadership effectiveness and investigative scope.

Case Study Summary: Department of Veterans Affairs (2025)

- Cheryl Mason served as Board Chair of Veterans' Appeals (2017–2022) and was appointed Senior Advisor to Secretary Doug Collins in early 2025.
- Her confirmation as I.G. followed the controversial dismissal of Michael Missal, the former Senate-confirmed I.G.
- The Senate confirmed Mason along party lines (53–45), prompting concerns over partisanship and oversight integrity (Shane, 2025).

- Critics, including Sen. Richard Blumenthal, argued the appointment violated norms of independence and objectivity (Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs, 2025).

Comparative Ethical Standards Across Agencies

- **Department of Justice:** Prohibits sitting DOJ officials from becoming I.G.s to prevent internal oversight conflicts.
- **Department of Defense:** Requires I.G.s to be civilians and discourages recent military officials from serving immediately.
- **Department of Health and Human Services:** Bipartisan opposition blocked I.G. nominees with recent political affiliations (Newhouse, 2025).
- **Department of State:** The 2020 appointment of Stephen Akard as Acting I.G. while also leading another State Department office sparked congressional rebuke (Toosi, 2020).

Policy Gaps Identified

1. **No Cooling-Off Requirement:** There is no federal statute preventing immediate transitions from senior agency leadership to Inspector General roles.
2. **No Standardized Bipartisan Vetting Process:** Partisan confirmations erode public trust in the independence of I.G.s.
3. **Weak Oversight of Acting I.G. Appointments:** The Vacancies Act permits political figures to serve temporarily as watchdogs.
4. **Limited Structural Independence in Key Agencies (e.g., VA):** Agencies with sensitive missions require stronger guardrails for oversight integrity.

Recommendations

1. **Statutory Cooling-Off Period:** Enact legislation prohibiting individuals from being appointed I.G. within two years of serving in senior agency roles.
2. **Merit-Based Bipartisan Vetting:** Require a certification of independence and integrity for all I.G. nominees, reviewed by a bipartisan panel.
3. **Restrict Acting I.G. Appointments:** Limit acting I.G. roles to career OIG professionals or individuals from other OIG offices to ensure independence.
4. **Fixed-Term I.G. Appointments:** Create fixed terms (e.g., 7 years) for Inspectors General in sensitive departments such as VA, with removal only for cause.
5. **Whistleblower Protection Enhancements:** Guarantee alternate reporting channels to Congress or GAO in cases where the I.G. is structurally conflicted.

Conclusion

The credibility of federal oversight hinges on the perception and reality of Inspector General independence. While Cheryl Mason may possess the legal qualifications and a record of service, her appointment exemplifies how structural conflicts can compromise the integrity of the I.G. role. Legislative reforms are urgently needed to protect the I.G. system across government, particularly in departments serving vulnerable populations such as veterans.

References

Kime, P. (2025, July 31). Senate confirms former chair of Veterans Appeals Board as VA Inspector General. *Military.com*. https://www.military.com/daily-news/2025/07/31/senate-confirms-former-chair-of-veterans-appeals-board-va-inspector-general.html

Newhouse, S. M. (2025, June 2). Trump's picks for oversight roles jeopardize independent scrutiny. *Government Executive*. https://www.govexec.com/oversight/2025/06/trumps-picks-oversight-roles-will-jeopardize-independent-scrutiny-government-operations-watchdog-groups-say/405747/

Pararas, K. (2021, March 15). Bill reintroduced to protect Inspectors General. *National Whistleblower Center*. https://www.whistleblowers.org/news/bill-reintroduced-to-protect-inspectors-general/

Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs (Minority). (2025, June 4). Blumenthal grills partisan nominee for VA Inspector General in fiery exchange [Press release]. U.S. Senate. https://www.veterans.senate.gov/2025/6/blumenthal-grills-partisan-nominee-for-va-inspector-general-in-fiery-exchange

Shane III, L. (2025, July 31). Senate confirms senior VA advisor to become next department watchdog. *Military Times*. https://www.militarytimes.com/veterans/2025/07/31/senate-confirms-senior-va-advisor-to-become-next-department-watchdog/

Toosi, N. (2020, May 29). U.S. diplomats wary of new watchdog's plans for probes into Pompeo. *Politico*. https://www.politico.com/news/2020/05/29/pompeo-diplomats-watchdog-probe-290023

Inspector General Act of 1978, 5 U.S.C. App. § 3(a).