

Spring 2025 Violation Report

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 1

Name Reported: Jackson Steele

Position Sought: Junior Class President/ Senate

Description of Violation:

A sticker with the message "vote Steele" was found on a street post outside northgate. This is presumably a solicitation of votes by Senator Jackson Steele

Reported Regulation: No physical campaign materials may be distributed prior to the MCM.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. Art V, Sec. 3 defines campaign materials as "anything distributed or displayed for the purpose of soliciting votes for a candidate." While the sticker is a form of physical campaign material, it is being **displayed** rather than distributed. The sticker is a form of stationary promotion, labeling it as a display, rather than being actively distributed to the student body. If an individual outside of the candidate himself was seen with the sticker, this would constitute a distribution.

Evidence: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Pv-Jec_J88c81wrO5Tr37ikRMTsceh86/view?usp=sharing
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1RpHT7FxxQuwtXvrSB_hA78GRiAFXevly/view?usp=sharing

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/14/2025 3:01 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 2

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff & Ezra Villarreal

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

On Sunday, February 9th, the Pritzlaff campaign was spotted distributing physical campaign materials (image 1) that appeared to be shirts that said Pritz [for prez] Erza [for vp] (further clarified in image 2). Also, last night (February 15th), Off-Campus Student Senate candidate Ahwrey Scarpinato made a post (image 3) with an unidentified woman wearing a Pritz [for prez] Erza [for vp] t-shirt. Given that this was posted on February 15th, the day before the MCM, the picture must have been taken before the MCM. Therefore the shirt (a campaign material that solicits votes) was distributed by the Pritzlaff, Villarreal, or a supporter of their campaign in direct violation of the election code. As well, to further prove that these materials were distributed before the MCM, a friend of the campaign emailed Commissioner Rachna Edalur with concerns over the Pritzlaff-Villarreal campaign's practice of illegally distributing the shirts (Image 4), however, we were asked to wait until the violation form opened up to submit our complaint.

Reported Regulation: Article V§1(d) Candidates may not distribute physical campaign materials until after the Mandatory Candidates Meeting

Decision of the Commission: MINOR VIOLATION. The term “distribution” implies the circulation or provision of materials to others rather than using them for oneself. **Image 3** is sufficient evidence for the distribution of physical campaign materials as it shows an individual outside of the two candidates in possession of the physical campaign material, and it can reasonably be inferred that the candidates or supporters distributed the material prior to the Mandatory Candidates’ Meeting. **Image 1** provides evidence outside of the 72 hour window for reporting violations. **Image 2** depicts the candidates themselves with physical campaign material; this does not constitute distribution. **Image 4** depicts a conversation in which the Pritzlaff-Villareal campaign was not mentioned by the inquirer in any form.

Evidence:https://drive.google.com/file/d/1o1f_l8jGwel_X68byHbKcySX81ejzbED/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oycDja4jwxGIeZkdGvimo7j5rTlTwYkl/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1YJJ9LXZTMHdZ_gSMiIE2LLSTQeNztAf0/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tyqfvE5LQAd6HIILM_CzHeGR2FU_YYdjv/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: 2

Warning: YES

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/17/2025 3:27 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 3

Name Reported: The Write-in Warriors (Brandon Wu, Michael Reed, Ian Mayberry)

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

Firstly I would like to say this video is very strange. I was followed by an account earlier today called "write_in_warriors_biggest_fan" on Instagram. Based on the content of their page they seem to be a supporter (a person who speaks as a delegate of the candidate, holds banners, works on financial reports, or distributes campaign materials) of the Write-in Warriors campaign, a slate of write-in education caucus Senate candidates. The violation comes from their supporter's campaigning and telling people to vote at the Bush Family Gravesite. As well, the write-in warriors have not condoned their supporter's actions. Although strange, this case asks many important questions that I believe should be answered when evaluating this case:

1. Are candidates still responsible for the actions of their supporters, even when they do not direct their supporters to do certain actions?
2. If a supporter supports a slate of candidates without mentioning their name are they a supporter of the slate, a single candidate, all individual candidates, or none of the above?
3. How liable are groups of candidates/slates for their supporters, and does the liability fall on every member of a group campaign or just one person.

P.S.

Since the evidence file drop does not accept video I will email the video to the election commission

Reported Regulation: (d) The Memorial Student Center, Bonfire Remembrance Memorial, and the Bush Family Gravesite are off limits to campaigning, except when a group or organization allows candidates to speak at their meetings. Any candidate campaigning in the aforementioned areas shall be assessed a major Violation.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. Art V, Sec II (d) states the following regarding campaigning in off-limits areas: “Photography and videography in which candidates are not campaigning to physical students is explicitly permitted and protected in the aforementioned areas.” There are no physical students being campaigned to in the video submission; thus, a violation did not occur. The key word in the definition of “supporter” is delegate — a delegate is “a person sent or authorized to represent others.” If the individual in the video was not authorized to represent the candidates in any manner, they are not a delegate or supporter. If the individual is a delegate of the candidates in any capacity, the candidates are responsible for the individual’s actions. If evidence is provided that individuals are members of the slate of candidates, they are liable for supporters of the slate. The commission reviews violations with provided evidence; if there is no evidence provided proving the candidate is part of a slate, they cannot be held liable.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1wL98TLb8lW7xg2vNXz4W2bJTxAALqgoOM/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/17/2025 7:29 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 4

Name Reported: Brandon Beller & Gia Viggiano

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Wednesday morning, February 19th, the Beller campaign banner was in front of the Commons entrance instead of in front of the flower bed as discussed in the candidate meeting.

Reported Regulation: (d) All candidates are responsible for all information covered at the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting without exception.

Decision of the Commission: MINOR VIOLATION. All candidates are responsible for the information presented at the Mandatory Candidates Meeting and are responsible for the actions taken by their supporters. RHA guidelines on banner presented at the meeting state: "All banner must take place in front of the flowerbeds in front of the Commons. Banner in the main walkway towards the commons or in the back of the commons is not allowed"

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1B_5m6tKyBflfwBKmYXt6uwv0XU1Dc9BH/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: 2

Warning: YES

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/aViggia

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/20/2025 1:33 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 5

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant & Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

The video shows a gathering/ dance party with people clearly blocking a walkway with dancing and the waving of signs. This could block, interfere with the flow of traffic of vehicles and students.

Reported Regulation: Article V, Section II

"No demonstrations, gatherings, or other forms of campaigning, which interfere with the flow of vehicular traffic or fully block building entrances, shall be permitted. No form of campaigning can impede the safety of any candidate or student."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The regulation discusses the interference of vehicular traffic, not the capacity to do so. There are no vehicles present in the provided evidence. The supporters are neither blocking building entrances nor are they interfering with vehicular traffic in the images provided.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1JqcON18KIPqDjUg0qxz_f7qbRajI7tdR/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1KANpcCujro9NspvgBcw89l0H4jpuBZqG/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 12:00 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 6

Name Reported: Josh Brewton

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

Candidate was using reveille as a main selling point for his campaign. Was using his position as reveille's handler as further credibility. Main storyline of campaign using his experiences as reveille's handler. He is mentioned this in his campaign social media videos as well as during meetings with campus organizations.

Reported Regulation: Article V, Restrictions Section 2: Campaigning (i) reveille may not be used for campaigning in any manner and cannot be present at campaigning locations through intentional solicitation by a candidate

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. Art V, Sec 3 states the following: “(a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media.” All submitted evidence is from electronic media platforms. While the commission can consider electronic evidence of physical violations, the submitted images do not portray a physical violation as Reveille is not being used to physically campaign. The solicitation of votes is done by the electronic media itself, which cannot be regulated by the commission. No evidence was provided of Reveille being used at organizational meetings.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1WtN0Z4cc8UxshisdFepdJlCBlnQfs8e2/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1WVPft4vljvVViML5rrxFhkDjY36R-o-i/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1HjKXwxyKwj1UL5hQnuGXtpt4MNznst9t/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/12PtVUEgTKCyilRs3yrI9HEV8BnJ0kCh_/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 1:25 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-1 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 7

Name Reported: Josh Brewton

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

In 5 For Yell and Josh Brewton's "Josh's Story" post on Instagram, posted on February 20, 2025, Josh Brewton continually brought up the fact that he was Reveille's handler this past year, and most of his A&M testimonial was centered around his experiences as Reveille's handler. He mentioned that being her handler has given him many opportunities he would've never had otherwise. He also included several pictures of himself and Reveille in the video. Basically, Josh was using this role as a main selling point for his story/testimonial. Since I cannot attach a video of the post, I have included photo evidence with the closed captions playing on the screen of what is being said. Not only has Josh used this as his testimonial/story in this video, but he has also gone around to multiple student organizations on campus using the same story. Some of the organizations either a friend or I have personally seen him do this are at ACE (Aggie Club of Engineers) and PREP (Progressively Reaching Excellence in Professionalism).

Reported Regulation: Article V. Restrictions Section II. Campaigning (i) "Reveille may not be used for campaigning in any manner and cannot be present at campaigning locations through intentional solicitation by a candidate."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See **Violation 6** for details regarding the Election Commission's jurisdiction over electronic media. No evidence is provided of the candidate using Reveille to solicit votes at campaign meetings.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tXkxDniF49UBBE-cReLlzl6YO2nmCZrm/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1bDdkPegXBa3BCPctuMMJrkf6RADgRY7H/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Slex52xZi1AhnXW-aePDlpTBfoTSDcUs/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/15rzdylwLqXeN8yv2aqq8kYdTrSqczTj3j/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 1:25 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 8

Name Reported: Josh Brewton

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

Candidate Josh Brewton is using his status as Reveille's handler to endorse his campaign by trying to convince viewers that Reveille and his leadership position as a handler is inspiring.

Reported Regulation: Violated Article V. Restriction Section II i: "Reveille may not be used for campaigning in any manner"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See **Violation 6** for details regarding the Election Commission's jurisdiction over electronic media.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1QavcRyM6zDxsvJROjeDYauq8BmphvSjJ/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 1:25 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 9

Name Reported: Josh Brewton

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

Candidate(Josh Brewton) has committed a major violation by using Reveille as a major component in both his testimony and on the 5foryell Instagram page multiple times. There are countless witnesses of this violation since Reveille is the main subject in every speaking engagement made by the candidate. To be frank, Reveille or his job as handler is present in nearly every aspect of his individual campaign. Even though the candidate is Reveille's handler, using the position as your primary campaign still uses reveille to get more votes. He uses the Instagram of Reveille to increase his engagement and adds pictures in his testimonial campaign video which is another clear violation of using reveille to solicit views and votes. No matter the candidate's job or title, all yell leader candidates agreed on and must abide by the rules and regulations put in place by the election commission. Given the number of times he has blatantly committed this major violation. Allowing the candidate to proceed without consequence would contradict the Aggie honor code as well as the the official election regulations.

Reported Regulation: Violated Article V. Restriction Section II i: "Reveille may not be used for campaigning in any manner"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See **Violation 6** for details regarding the Election Commission's jurisdiction over electronic media. No evidence is provided of the candidate using Reveille to solicit votes at campaign meetings. It is important to note that the Election Commission can only make decisions on provided evidence while following the oversight restrictions put in place by the regulations.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nkPkktkFXKQXKp1a7OfwcqiL1oRi8kfc/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1S2hABu_OV11dRz3IHbBy8Qf_z2mf3sLC/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1J8r1mEXCNOOutSJF7kZCqFgLnL01gOHpq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1HNMMaGCfAHQGP5rhhbqFeWavdQZvZ4gPX/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1C0ChxEYcJDwc_-Kke6EXOiBs72-HcrOy/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 1:25 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 10

Name Reported: Josh Brewton

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

Candidate(Josh Brewton) has committed a major violation by using Reveille as a major component in both his testimony and on the 5foryell Instagram page. There are countless witnesses of this violation since Reveille is the main subject in every speaking engagement made by the candidate. Even though the candidate is Reveille's handler, using the position as your primary campaign still uses reveille to get more votes. No matter the candidate's job or title, all yell leader candidates agreed on and must abide by the rules and regulations put in place by the election commission. Allowing the candidate to proceed without consequence would contradict the Aggie honor code as well as the the official election regulations.

Reported Regulation: ARTICLE V. RESTRICTIONS SECTION II. (i) Reveille may not be used for campaigning in any manner and cannot be present at campaigning locations through intentional solicitation by a candidate.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence is provided. It is important to note that the Election Commission can only make decisions on provided evidence while following the oversight restrictions put in place by the regulations.

Evidence:

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 3:12 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 11

Name Reported: Josh Brewton

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

Josh Brewton, the candidate, has committed a significant violation by prominently featuring Reveille in both his testimony and on the 5foryell Instagram page. Numerous witnesses can attest to this breach, as Reveille is consistently the focal point of his campaign presentations. While Brewton holds the role of Reveille's handler, using this position as a central part of his campaign effectively leverages Reveille to garner votes. Regardless of the candidate's role, all yell leader candidates are bound by the rules and regulations established by the election commission. Allowing Brewton to continue without consequence would undermine both the Aggie Honor Code and the official election guidelines.

Reported Regulation: Article V. Section II. (i) Reveille may not be used for campaigning in any manner and cannot be present at campaigning locations through intentional solicitation by a candidate.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See **Violation 6** for details regarding the Election Commission's jurisdiction over electronic media.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1hZnqM-O9vLysWXzMMBMYxICDzPRI9ix6/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cCLCJFL7zx_IKCO_UCB-mblrKqYpQH9R/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1_Y_5ntgErIibZTdnng1tBdcZrCHwRnDDq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/18kiS7xJR0JxJKnkTVoif93L7s5XgHMvF/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/2025 1:25 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 12

Name Reported: Bryan Langford

Position Sought: Junior Yell Leader

Description of Violation:

Bryan Langford is seen with an individual other than himself with physical campaign material prior to the Mandatory Candidates Meeting. This is a clear depiction of the candidate distributing physical campaign material prior to the MCM, and the candidate should be assessed a violation. The was posted Feb. 7, so the distribution of the material had to have taken place on or before this date. Bryan knew the rules, and flagrantly disregarded the election regulations. He knew the violation report was not yet open so he decided to cheat the system, and he clearly has no regard for the honor code or campaign ethics.

Reported Regulation:

Article V, subsection 1d. "Candidates may not distribute physical campaign material prior to the MCM."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The violation was not reported in the time frame outlined in the regulations: "A student who wishes to report an alleged violation of the Election Regulations may do so by filing a written account or online report of the alleged violation with the Election Commission within **seventy-two (72)** hours of the alleged violation. The violation report can be found at election.tamu.edu." Since the violation was submitted outside of the 72 hour window, the commission cannot rule on the submission.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1br-JtfROAVQCaify2R7FUVzKNMx3VrNw/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/21/25 7:02 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 13

Name Reported: Colton Dwyer

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

Colton is a senior, graduating in August by his own admission (See attachments). He is ineligible to run to represent Mays Business School. As well, there is no evidence Colton intends to attend graduate school.

Reported Regulation: Students are ineligible to run for office within the semester they are graduating, unless they intend to attend graduate school at Texas A&M

Decision of the Commission: **NOT A VIOLATION.** The candidate reached out to the Election Commission on Feb. 10 to state he intends on beginning graduate school at Mays in the Fall of 2025 and has not yet received a rejection from the program.

Evidence: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1t489eaDYdfjzZpZAfGIffYzsf8tJDBI9/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1IRmV3Jd4h156AaZOka2hriXFipwb-W_u/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ggltfFK79y0qqz5QFAEQj2vvXdwM9mKs/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1zfMFbiS0innkAHadLxYfuY9ygc1Q_ZT1/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1aAyyEnFZpQiOLy5ayeFF2lnXhZruK5Aq/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1IgTTh3C3ghQ7hgAg1qVoi3PsrKYnGXkV/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 12:11 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 14

Name Reported: Brandon Beller and Gia Viggiano

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Volunteers for the Beller-Viggiano were seen earlier today standing in the side walk with their banner, obstructing a good portion of the walk way.

Reported Regulation: (f) No demonstrations, gatherings, or other form of campaigning, which interfere with the flow of vehicular traffic or fully block building entrances, shall be permitted. No form of campaigning can impede the safety of any candidate or student.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The regulation discusses the interference of vehicular traffic, not the capacity to do so. There are no vehicles present in the provided evidence. The supporters are neither blocking building entrances nor are they interfering with vehicular traffic in the images provided.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1L7vy618dvOMxkrN3rnmzj5bOyL_zXngp/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/17glKrZamAISD_BBTJwBRP-Om6C5W_75k/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Jo6GpeqiqOiWvSpaSFh2D2x3qbb34Mt3/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 12:20 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 15

Name Reported: Carter Mallory and Kathleen Parks

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

TAMU employee and barista at Evan's Library is writing on cups "vote parks for SBVP" and given to paying customers who did not ask for this. Baristas at on campus starbucks are specifically told not to write on cups or leave messages of any kind, in addition to the fact that university employees cannot interfere or influence student government campaigns and elections. This could be the case that this barista wrote this on every single cup for the entirety of their shift. If this were to be true and properly expensed including the sharpie used to write the message, the cup it was written on, and the price of every drink that the students purchased, this would most assuredly be over budget. This can be further argued because the intent of a student purchasing the drink is to consume it, so not only would the cup and sharpie need be expensed, but the drink within it as well because it would otherwise not have the same desired effect.

Reported Regulation: "Entities, including commissions, organizations, and branches, that are a part of the Texas A&M University Student Government Association or are employees of Texas A&M University or the Texas A&M System may not involve themselves in Student Government Referenda. "Involvement" includes but is not limited to campaigning, education, activism, monetary spending and internet use in support or against the referendum question"

"Individuals acting on their own merit or name recognition would never be subject to this restriction; however, they should not associate themselves with SGA entities or the university in any way while undertaking these activities."

Decision of the Commission: MINOR VIOLATION. Upon inquiry, Starbucks baristas in Evans Library are employed by the university. **According to Art II. Sec 2.** , employees of the university should not associate themselves with SGA entities while undertaking employment activities. The writing depicted in the photograph evidences direct involvement to the Mallory-Parks campaign by an employee while partaking in work activities. Though the depiction only refers to the vice presidential candidate, joint tickets are assessed violations as a partnership.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

2/28/2025 update: After receiving an email stating that it was against company law to write on cups with Sharpies at the university per the manager of the Evan's Starbucks branch, the commission contacted the manager to confirm. At 12:37 pm, the manager of the Evan's branch stated **it was company policy to write on the cups**. As such, the violation stands.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ecJ1Br20od6XOFIcfMVCIVLK_6sg6GwA/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: 2

Warning: YES

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 12:26 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 16

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff

Position Sought: Student Body President

Description of Violation:

Pritzlaff used the university bulkmail system to campaign on 2/27/25.

Reported Regulation: Article V, Section III(a)

(2) Use of the Texas A&M University bulk mail system available through bulkmail.tamu.edu is in violation of university policy.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The regulation is only attributable to emails sent via bulkmail.tamu.edu. The provided email was not sent through the Texas A&M bulk mail system, which produces a list of all emails utilized through the system on a given day.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1zUZFNmgny9HF8nhDMn8t6itB-Hgtplm4/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/27/2025 10:12 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 17

Name Reported: Brandon Beller and Gia Viggiano

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

TAMU employee and barista at Evan's Library is writing on cups "Beller 4 SBP" and given to paying customers who did not ask for this. Baristas at on campus starbucks are specifically told not to write on cups or leave messages of any kind, in addition to the fact that university employees cannot interfere or influence student government campaigns and elections. This could be the case that this barista wrote this on every single cup for the entirety of their shift. If this were to be true and properly expensed including the sharpie used to write the message, the cup it was written on, and the price of every drink that the students purchased, this would most assuredly be over budget. This can be further argued because the intent of a student purchasing the drink is to consume it, so not only would the cup and sharpie need be expensed, but the drink within it as well because it would otherwise not have the same desired effect.

Reported Regulation: "Entities, including commissions, organizations, and branches, that are a part of the Texas A&M University Student Government Association or are employees of Texas A&M University or the Texas A&M System may not involve themselves in Student Government Referenda. "Involvement" includes but is not limited to campaigning, education, activism, monetary spending and internet use in support or against the referendum question"

"Individuals acting on their own merit or name recognition would never be subject to this restriction; however, they should not associate themselves with SGA entities or the university in any way while undertaking these activities."

Decision of the Commission: Minor violation. Please refer to violation 15 for provided reasoning. The certification statement from the submitter, the violation description, and provided evidence serve as support for the reasoning

2/28/2025 update: After receiving an email stating that it was against company law to write on cups with Sharpies at the university per the manager of the Evan's Starbucks branch, the commission contacted the manager to confirm. At 12:37 pm, the manager of the Evan's branch stated **it was company policy to write on the cups.** As such, the violation stands.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Evidence:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/17NE881fV3-nLGGel2KfGHf30NGwJXDe3/view?usp=drivesdk>

Tier: 2

Warning: yes

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/27/2025 7:17 am

Assessed by: Election Commission

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 18

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

This morning (2/25/2025) at 9:37 am I received a text message from an unknown number promoting Colton and Brock, asking that I consider voting for them. When I inquired about how they got my phone number, they refused to state how they got my private phone number. I am frankly, creeped out, and cannot place trust in any candidates that would not be willing to disclose something so important, and on top of that respond very unprofessionally when asked. This is gross behavior, and I expected better from an Aggie running for student government.

I will be linking photos below and emailing a screen recording of the text message.

Reported Regulation: ARTICLE V. CAMPAIGNING

SECTION I. Process

(a) Campaigning for the purposes of elections shall be defined as the active solicitation of votes.

(1) In order to actively solicit votes, a candidate or supporter must, in person, ask an individual for their vote or support.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The candidate is not asking for votes in person, so the reported regulations do not apply. We encourage all candidates to be respectful to other students.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1zHHsKeMYWkkIjhyJEpJGp9SM_cARnpxw/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1zCj-2VK0uMRBCO4zcagWC_f4MVuyNJh1/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1zSmGIMG1xXIN_J0gcoaaP8shr_igreh2/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 5 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 19

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

This campaign ticket has violated federal law. Please see description of the law : The TCPA is the primary telemarketing law in the United States. Passed by Congress in 1991 and governed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the TCPA has been amended numerous times to target unsolicited text messages.

The (TCPA) applies to political campaigns, they must comply with certain restrictions, especially when using autodialers, prerecorded messages, or text messaging. For example, a clause: Text Messaging: Peer-to-peer (P2P) texting, where a real person manually sends each message, is generally allowed. However, mass automated political texts require prior express consent.

The evidence given is to the batt article, it is imperative that the election commission investigate and find these txts messages as this violates federal law and violates the privacy of students by which the act of finding these random numbers from an “unwarranted place” is an ethical violation that has to be weighed. If anything, the candidates are explicitly admitting to the txts being sent out. Therefore, the evidence given here should be highly usable.

It's time for the Election Commission to investigate and ask the batt for these txts messages messages and to ask the campaign ticket how they got these numbers in the first place since it is a clear breach of unethical invasion of privacy. And, federal law overrides these regulations which stands for the election commission to be able to investigate and give a violation for this matter over electronic campaigning. Additionally, the ethical standard that the EC must commit to outweighs regulation. The physical act of sending txt messages and obtaining them unethically does give the election commission the right to rule.

Reported Regulation: Article IV Violations

Tier 1 “Major violations include but not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree.

IV “The Election Commission may determine what constitutes significant ethical violations”....etc.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wednesday, Feb, 26 at 5:30 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The **majority commission opinion** is that the candidate did not act unethically. No evidence is provided that the candidate acted in an unethical manner to obtain the phone numbers. The text messages submitted in other violation reports came from individual supporters rather than an automated text message system. The candidates expensed an open records request to Texas A&M University in [their expense report](#) (Receipt 8) which is publicly available at election.tamu.edu. The candidate acted in accordance with the regulations and the U.S law.

Evidence:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1DudBJt1-WwznhdKRhIHbJH1nxHwix52W/view?usp=sharing>

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 5:30 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (3-2 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 20

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff & Ezra Villarreal

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

I believe the Pritzlaff campaign to be violating the fair nature of elections through his supporter, Salami the Aggie. In a video posted today (2/25/25) (attached) Salami came out and endorsed Riley Pritzlaff for Student Body President. In publicly endorsing Riley, Salami meets the qualifications of being a supporter of the Pritzlaff Campaign (A supporter is anyone who (including but not limited to) Speaks as a delegate of the candidate, holds banners, works on financial reports, or distributes campaign materials). In doing this Riley is liable for any actions Salami takes and if Salami commits a violation, so does Riley.

Earlier today Salami posted a story (attached), saying he was giving away over \$200 to A&M students. He posted this shortly before his endorsement of the Pritzlaff campaign. In offering money to students, at the same time as endorsing a candidate for Student Body President, Salami is undermining the fair nature of elections. As Salami is a delegate of the Pritzlaff campaign, by both Salami and Riley's admission, the Pritzlaff campaign is liable for whatever actions Salami commits. Since Salami is undermining the fair nature of elections, the Pritzlaff campaign is as well, which is grounds for disqualification. I beg you Election Commission, do not let influencers buy our elections and undermine democracy.

- Publius

Reported Regulation: (1) Major violations include, but are not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree; sabotage of opposing campaigns; voting fraud; falsified documents (with proof included to show falsification, including finance form); campaign ethics violations; and obstructions of the free and fair nature of voting.

(b) Disqualification shall only be applied in cases where the violation can be confidently determined to undermine the free, fair, and safe nature of the election

(b) The candidate shall be held responsible for the actions taken by their supporter. Any violation of the regulations by a supporter of a candidate could result in a fine for the candidate.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wednesday, Feb 26 at 6 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The **majority commission opinion** stems from **Art V, Sec 3.:** “III. Electronic Campaigning (a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media. The following exceptions apply to this rule: (1) Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including, but not limited to, advertisement, hosting, or the purchase of products (physical or electronic) must be documented and expensed in accordance with the financial rules in these Regulations...” The provided evidence does not evidence a monetary transaction, a physical violation, or use of the bulkmail system, so it does not fall under commission regulation. Additionally, the second image provided does not relate to Pritlaff-Villarreal campaign in any way.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1MUE2CljJZecU3wZKncOOQI3-g7jgAGgXg/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1izugjrJejwzinIk7MuOPSFSRrSx5pzsC/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 21

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff & Ezra Villarreal

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

I believe the Good Bull campaign to be violating the fair nature of elections through their supporter, Salami the Aggie. In a video posted today (2/25/25) (attached) Salami came out and endorsed the Good Bull Ticket (attached). In publicly endorsing Good Bull, Salami meets the qualifications of being a supporter of the Good Bull Ticket (A supporter is anyone who (including but not limited to) Speaks as a delegate of the candidate, holds banners, works on financial reports, or distributes campaign materials). In doing this Good Bull is liable for any actions Salami takes and if Salami commits a violation, so does Good Bull.

Earlier today Salami posted a story (attached), saying he was giving away over \$200 to A&M students. He posted this shortly before his endorsement of the Good Bull Ticket. In offering money to students, at the same time as endorsing candidates for Student Body President, Class President, and Student Senate, Salami is undermining the fair nature of elections. As Salami is a delegate of the Good Bull Ticket, by both Salami and Good Bull's admission, the Good Bull Ticket is liable for whatever actions Salami commits. Since Salami is undermining the fair nature of elections, the Good Bull Ticket is as well, which is grounds for disqualification. The grounds for all members of a slate to be affected by violations have been previously iterated in Violation 3, The Write-in-Warriors case, which states: "If the individual is a delegate of the candidates in any capacity, the candidates are responsible for the individual's actions. If evidence is provided that individuals are members of the slate of candidates, they are liable for supporters of the slate. The commission reviews violations with provided evidence; if there is no evidence provided proving the candidate is part of a slate, they cannot be held liable. " If you would like further evidence of each candidate's participation in the Good Bull Ticket, I would be happy to provide it. I beg you Election Commission, do not let influencers buy our elections and undermine democracy.

-Publius

Reported Regulation: (1) Major violations include, but are not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree; sabotage of opposing campaigns; voting fraud; falsified documents (with proof included to show falsification, including finance form); campaign ethics violations; and obstructions of the free and fair nature of voting.

(b) Disqualification shall only be applied in cases where the violation can be confidently determined to undermine the free, fair, and safe nature of the election

(b) The candidate shall be held responsible for the actions taken by their

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

supporter. Any violation of the regulations by a supporter of a candidate could result in a fine for the candidate.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. Refer to violation 20 for the majority opinion of the commission.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1MUE2CIjJZecU3wZKncOOQI3-g7jgAGgXg/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1izugjrJejwzinIk7MuOPSFSRrSx5pzsC/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1xj8eZpDqdIyeN3HbHJrAyD11taXsMh1w/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 22

Name Reported: Good Bull Ticket (All members listed in attachment)

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President, Senate, Class President

Description of Violation:

The Good Bull Ticket was seen in the MSC (underground) actively campaigning. While filming a video with Salami the Aggie, Good Bull's candidates are discussing who they are and why people should vote for them. In several frames, there are students directly behind him them in earshot of Good Bull's candidates. They are able to hear Good Bull's solicitation of votes, which is considered active campaigning. This is a major violation campaigning in the MSC and should be punished as such.

-Publius

Reported Regulation: No campaigning in the MSC

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wednesday, FEB 26 at 6 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The **majority commission opinion** stems from **Art V (Campaigning), Sec 1.:** “(a) Campaigning for the purposes of elections shall be defined as the active solicitation of votes. (1) In order to actively solicit votes, a candidate or supporter must, in person, ask an individual for their vote or support.” The provided evidence does not depict the any candidate actively soliciting votes as they are not asking any individual for their vote in person. Additionally, there is no one in the screenshotted frames bar the candidates.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/11UCxLfwLNnpTHDyjKfakwzUHmSeuVQDz/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1f_YpSwwtU6rmeaIJqblt1oID2lat7KSf/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1hKNzW6niiXKzYUBk1rbVax0ov5G6-AGE/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 23

Name Reported: The Good Bull Ticket (Full list of names attached)

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President, Senate, Class President

Description of Violation:

In a video with Salami the Aggie, Good Bull candidate Jackson Steele attempts to solicit Malik Salami vote in the MSC. This is a clear violation of TAMU election code which prohibits campaigning in the MSC. I have attached screen shots of the interaction, but cannot submit the video as the drop box does not allow it.

As well it should be noted that in Violation 3, the Write-in-Warrior's case, Election Commission decided that one candidates violations, while being a representative of a group ticket like Good Bull's candidates, is a violation for the entire ticket. Campaigning in the MSC is a major violation and according to previous rulings this cycle, all members of Good Bull have violated this rule through Jackson Steele soliciting a vote in the MSC on behalf of Good Bull.

-Publius

Reported Regulation: No campaigning in the MSC

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wednesday, Feb 26 at 6 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The **majority commission opinion** stems from **Art V (Campaigning), Sec 1.:** “(a) Campaigning for the purposes of elections shall be defined as the active solicitation of votes. (1) In order to actively solicit votes, a candidate or supporter must, in person, ask an individual for their vote or support.” The provided evidence does not depict any candidate actively soliciting votes as they are not asking any individual for their vote in person. Additionally, the violation submission form states that video evidence cannot be submitted in the file submission due to website limitations, but it can be emailed to the commission with a request to attach the evidence to the respective report. No video evidence was sent to the commission.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nnI490QJUI50Ko3Y0I_yXTiXUIOFwUvp/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1kX_G7RqUYCOpUcSH5LJ1AgvRPCoENFej/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 24

Name Reported: Colton Whisenaut

Position Sought: Student Body President

Description of Violation:

Colton sent me unsolicited texts regarding voting for him and telling me to repost his information

Reported Regulation: Use of the Texas A&M University bulk mail system available through bulkmail.tamu.edu is in violation of university policy.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wednesday, Feb 26 at 6:30 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The **majority commission opinion** stems from **Art V, Sec 3** stating the following: “(a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media.” The commission can regulate bulkmail; however the candidate utilized a different communication platform.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1BQ9vFnbBHRAY52-z-aLnNkdBsv-Sjdpd/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:30 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 25

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

At approximately 1:30-2:30 PM on Wednesday, February 26th, representatives for the Colton-Brock campaign were bannerizing at West Campus Dining Area with a speaker on full blast and behaving belligerently. The speaker was blaring loud enough to hear inside of the west campus food hall with the doors closed and potentially other buildings, and representatives of the Colton Brock campaign made ad libs to the song while screaming loudly on repeat. This could absolutely be heard by anyone inside the dining area eating, anyone doing homework on the quad, etc. If you were to speak to someone at another banner, you literally would not be able to hear their voice because of the speaker at full volume combined with multiple people yelling "Colton Brock" during this duration of time repeatedly. This meant that other campaigns or organizations had a more difficult time getting their message across. While I do not possess video evidence of this as I was working, representatives from 3 other campaigns were in the area to witness this. Campaigning should be fun, but it also should be respectful. Students hundreds of feet away studying or eating shouldn't have to hear "Colton Brock" yelled dozens if not hundreds of times in addition to their distracting behavior.

Reported Regulation: Article V, Section II (F) "No form of campaigning can impede the health and wellness of any candidate or student."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wednesday, Feb 26 at 6:30 pm to discuss the alleged violation. The **majority commission opinion** is as follows. The reported regulation is not included in the current code. The code was amended by the senate and approved by the current SBP in Dec. 2024. No regulation included in the current election regulations was violated in the reported violation. The commission encourages all candidates to adhere to the Aggie Core Values.

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:30 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 26

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

My father who has never attended A&M and whose only relation to it is through my financial information, received a text this morning from a campaign soliciting votes and texting him without permission. The main thing I question here is how was my father's personal information leaked and used in this manner. It feels shady and wrong, we deserve to know where our personal information was leaked.

Reported Regulation:

Article 5, Section II, B "(b) Any candidate or delegate who violates departmental or university regulations, or Texas A&M University Student Rules, in an action related to campaigning shall be subject to disciplinary action by the Election Commission."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The candidate did not violate departmental, university, or student rules while campaigning. Refer to **Violation 19** for the commission's opinion on campaign ethics.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1fplU4M23EmtL0vL-l_t8oX2-lHvauuQ5/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 8:52 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 27

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Messaging without consent, gain of information under false pretenses (information requested for personal advertisement and gain, not for a public awareness campaign to increase student voter turnout).

Reported Regulation:

General Expenditure and Campaign Reporting: Candidates must keep accurate records of campaign finances.

◦

Any campaign material obtained through monetary exchange is classified as 'purchased'.

◦

Campaign materials received without monetary exchange are classified as 'donated'.

◦

A complete finance form is defined as a finished and signed expense report, receipts, and Fair Market Value Form.

◦

Candidates must expense all campaign materials at actual cost. Donated materials are expensed at fair market value.

◦

If the phone numbers were obtained through a monetary transaction or exchange of value, it should be classified as 'purchased' and included in the expense report. Even if the phone numbers were acquired without a direct monetary transaction, the fair market value of the data should be assessed using two vendors and reported as a donation. Listing less than the required amount of acceptable vendors shall result in a minor violation. Failure to properly report these expenditures or assess the fair market value would be a violation.

•

Electronic Campaigning and Financial Rules: The Election Commission does not regulate activity over the Internet or other electronic media, with some exceptions.

◦

Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including advertisement or the purchase of products, must be documented and expensed.

◦

If the candidate purchased a service to send these messages, it must be documented.

◦

Evidence of physical campaign violations found on the internet shall be accepted by the Election Commission.

•

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Campaigning and Supporter Responsibility: The candidate is responsible for the actions of their supporters. A supporter includes anyone who speaks as a delegate of the candidate or distributes campaign materials. If the supporters obtained the phone numbers inappropriately, the candidate could be held responsible.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The candidate expended an EIS Student Data Request to Texas A&M University in receipt 8 of [his expense report](#). The receipt is acceptable under the definition of a valid receipt.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tPfDvFi9Vla4hQfc9G2yGYZnUiyRisNj/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/12rdETPOgteRHlVXiINZCyEpJ4CYVvDta/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 10:03 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 28

Name Reported: Brendan Hurt

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. A monetary transaction took place, and the item was expensed in the proper category. **There is no regulation stating that candidates themselves must purchase the material.** The candidate properly expensed the yard sign in **Section A** of the expense report as it was acquired through a monetary transaction. The yard sign is properly expensed in Receipt 1. The receipt is a valid receipt as it contains "(i) Vendor with

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

contact information (ii) Date of purchase. (iii) Verification of amount being paid in full (iv) Itemized list of purchases.” Additionally, candidates' receipts have had billing names of other individuals (including parents, friends, and supporters) for past election cycles without any pushback.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Q08koLYGbI1X2bRMPpICz0vbB_3t-zZ3/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 12:59 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 29

Name Reported: Ahwrey Scarpinato

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. A monetary transaction took place, and the item was expensed in the proper category. **There is no regulation stating that candidates themselves must purchase the material.** The candidate properly expensed the yard sign in **Section A** of the expense report as it was acquired through a monetary transaction. The yard sign is properly expensed in Receipt 1. The receipt is a valid receipt as it contains "(i) Vendor with

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

contact information (ii) Date of purchase. (iii) Verification of amount being paid in full (iv) Itemized list of purchases.” Additionally, candidates' receipts have had billing names of other individuals (including parents, friends, and supporters) for past election cycles without any pushback.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:02 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 30

Name Reported: Analucia Vargas

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. A monetary transaction took place, and the item was expensed in the proper category. **There is no regulation stating that candidates themselves must purchase the material.** The candidate properly expensed the yard sign in **Section A** of the expense report as it was acquired through a monetary transaction. The yard sign is properly expensed in Receipt 1. The receipt is a valid receipt as it contains "(i) Vendor with

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

contact information (ii) Date of purchase. (iii) Verification of amount being paid in full (iv) Itemized list of purchases.” Additionally, candidates' receipts have had billing names of other individuals (including parents, friends, and supporters) for past election cycles without any pushback.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:36 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 31

Name Reported: Brayden Pennington

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:39 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 32

Name Reported: Bria Glaser

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:40 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 32

Name Reported: Brook Barber

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:41 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 33

Name Reported: Brooke Becker

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:41 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 34

Name Reported: Brooke Becker

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 33 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:45 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 35

Name Reported: Chidi Uwakwe

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:48 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 36

Name Reported: Hailey Garcia

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:50 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 37

Name Reported: Hayden Hawley

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:51 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 38

Name Reported: Humzah Ahmad

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:51 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 39

Name Reported: Jamie Lincoln

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:52 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 40

Name Reported: Jasper Shaw

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:52 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 41

Name Reported: Kate Wilkins

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:52 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 42

Name Reported: Leigha Hall

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 1:52 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 43

Name Reported: Paris Sanchez

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 2:57 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 44

Name Reported: Sydney Middleton

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 2:58 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 45

Name Reported: Vanessa Flores

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 2:59 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 46

Name Reported: Zia Barton

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 2:59 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 47

Name Reported: Grant Atkinson

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:02 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 48

Name Reported: Shreya Patwardhan

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:03 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 49

Name Reported: Adelaide Edgington

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:04 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 50

Name Reported: Ava Kotsen

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:04 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 51

Name Reported: Bri Burnside

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:05 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 52

Name Reported: Clay Conn

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:06 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 52

Name Reported: Lindsey Alvarez

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:06 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 53

Name Reported: Mary Claire Hughes

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:07 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 54

Name Reported: Trevor Von Wupperfeld

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:07 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 55

Name Reported: Trevor Chesler

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:08 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 56

Name Reported: Camila Vivanco

Position Sought: Senate & Sophomore Class President

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:09 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 57

Name Reported: Karsen Council

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:10 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 58

Name Reported: Jonah Williams

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:14 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 59

Name Reported: Sydney Roberts

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:11 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 60

Name Reported: Robert Hargrove

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:12 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 61

Name Reported: Antonio Gordon

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:12 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 62

Name Reported: Lauren Maynard

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:13 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 63

Name Reported: Caleb Wells

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:13 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 64

Name Reported: Isabel Westlake

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senators in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material..

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence provided. The provided image is a blank Section B sheet which has no relevance to the candidate.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y03dIwBmpJu4dYzyh5m_RyweO1u8lAKW/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:16 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 65

Name Reported: Jojo Owens

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:18 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 66

Name Reported: Khoa Ly

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:18 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 67

Name Reported: Cord Carter

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senators in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material..

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence provided. The provided image is a blank Section B sheet which has no relevance to the candidate.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y03dIwBmpJu4dYzyh5m_RyweO1u8lAKW/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:19 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 68

Name Reported: Angie Hess

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senators in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material..

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence provided. The provided image is a blank Section B sheet which has no relevance to the candidate.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y03dIwBmpJu4dYzyh5m_RyweO1u8lAKW/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:20 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 69

Name Reported: Reyna Romero

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:21 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 70

Name Reported: Chandler Vernon

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senators in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material..

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence provided. The provided image is a blank Section B sheet which has no relevance to the candidate.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y03dIwBmpJu4dYzyh5m_RyweO1u8lAKW/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:22 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 71

Name Reported: Varsha Valiveti

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:21 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 72

Name Reported: Jack Yoast

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senators in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material..

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence provided. The provided image is a blank Section B sheet which has no relevance to the candidate.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y03dIwBmpJu4dYzyh5m_RyweO1u8lAKW/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:24 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 73

Name Reported: Leo Reyes

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:25 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 74

Name Reported: Pranay Indurti

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:27 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 75

Name Reported: Jaylen Richards

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senators in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material..

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. No evidence provided. The provided image is a blank Section B sheet which has no relevance to the candidate.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Y03dIwBmpJu4dYzyh5m_RyweO1u8lAKW/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:29 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 76

Name Reported: Vicky Duno

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:29 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 77

Name Reported: Killian Netherton

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:30 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 78

Name Reported: Jaylen Richards

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:31 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 79

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff & Ezra Villarreal

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:32 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 80

Name Reported: Jaymeson Faith Hacker

Position Sought: Senior Class President

Description of Violation:

The candidate failed to fill out a Fair Market Value form for a donated material. The candidate utilized multiple yard signs for the campaign and reported the sign as an expense despite not purchasing the signs. The receipt in the expense form, which is listed by dozens of senate candidates in their finance forms, shows that the charge was to Jackson Steele. The candidate utilized the campaign material that was not acquired by a monetary transaction, which is by the election regulations' definition is classified as donated. Donated materials must be expensed using the Fair Market Value Assessment Form. The candidate is in direct violation of the election regulations by failing to provide a Fair Market Value Assessment Form for a donated material.

Reported Regulation:

"It is the responsibility of the candidate to assess a fair market value for any donated campaign materials or campaign materials used without any proof of payment. This shall be done by filling out the Fair Market Value Assessment Form provided by the Election Commissioner. Fair Market Values must be assessed using two (2) vendors. If a candidate lists less than the required amount of acceptable vendors, the candidate shall receive a minor violation. This form shall serve as the receipt for donated items and must be included in the submitted finance form."

"The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner."

"Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'"

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 30 for more information.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1oBaBeHh4Bxb2BXU6SMuntsJI1MoeGYAq/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LNMBFsNa1h_RA1eL2hz8Pazdu2eWes8Y/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1N4Spyhq0RBCsnF9kVxh4ErstMtG_ZsOh/view?usp=drive_link

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:36 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 81

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff & Ezra Villarreal

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Riley and Ezra made a video imitating George Bush's famous "Watch this Drive" video. In this video they use two golf clubs (\$129.99 each), a bucket of range balls (\$15), and a golf cart rental (Part of \$53) from the A&M University Golf Course. These items were crucial to tell the story of the video and solicit votes, therefore making them campaign materials, which must be expensed. These expenses are not listed on Riley and Ezra's finance sheet, and should be added to their total expenses. This addition would bring them over the \$3000 budget, disqualifying Riley.

Reported Regulation:

(2) A complete finance form is defined as:

(i) Any campaign material obtained through a monetary transaction or exchange of value, either before or briefly after its use, regardless of the amount exchanged, shall be classified as 'purchased.' However, if it is determined that the value exchanged is an unreasonably significant deviation from the fair market value, as determined by the standards of a majority of reasonable people, the election commission may assess the item's value based on fair market value rather than the exchanged amount. Campaign materials received

(10) Candidates must list the entire cost of each individual expense that results in campaign material that in any way suggests support for their candidacy.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION.

(a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media. The following exceptions apply to this rule:

(1) Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including, but not limited to, advertisement, hosting, or the purchase of products (physical or electronic) must be documented and expensed in accordance with the financial rules in these Regulations.

(2) Use of the Texas A&M University bulk mail system available through bulkmail.tamu.edu is in violation of university policy.

(3) Evidence found on the internet of physical campaign violations shall be accepted by the Election Commission.

(4) Equipment used in the production and creation of electronic media

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

need not be expensed.

None of these exceptions are presented in the evidence. There is no physical campaign violation as the candidate is not physically campaigning; he is hitting a golf ball. **The solicitation of votes is done by the electronic media, which the commission cannot regulate.** When we view the images presented outside of the context of electronic media, there is no physical campaigning being done; thus, the candidate does not need to expense the mentioned materials.

Evidence:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/13bZGIN1mS2TtM8FwzXrSWEcj1v2uV08G/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1_GVRiwNSA9rr98Dig4zPJ44cl8VxMcBh/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1-m_G3xz_5zwnzP7TMpKUKr-1Pc1pacbM/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/14kaM1PwZh9RS16of4drkHu9unbDfVetZ/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Lesfe0nd0OQv-xBWmnGZZY422IX5MEGu/view?usp=drive_link

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ezAuZjoPp4ja48gMOIoKh1_Fd62a8LLk/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 3:44 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 82

Name Reported: Wesley Pokluda

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

At about 9:55 yesterday morning (Monday February 24th) I showed up to banner for the Mallory-Parks Campaign at Sbisa. When I arrived Wesley Pokluda, a candidate to represent the College of Arts & Sciences in Student Senate who is affiliated with the Good Bull Ticket, was at the banner talking with Ashley Zeller and Tennasyn Dombroski. Before I arrived I overheard the conversation and observed Wesley's body language. He was speaking aggressively and badgering the girls. When I arrived he was asking about Carter Mallory's phone number. He tried to get Carter's number so he could "talk to him about things" and tell him if he "didn't like his picks." He started to change his tone of voice when I arrived and I encouraged him to leave and leave the girls alone. After talking to the girls about the incident, Tennasyn said the interaction made her uncomfortable, and Ashley said she felt harassed. I do not want to commit hearsay, so I have attached their testimonies in evidence.

The fact is that no volunteer should be harassed or made uncomfortable by any person, especially not by a candidate for student senate, for simply supporting a person that they believe in. Wesley's actions created an unsafe environment which undermined the free and safe nature of elections. If I knew that by going out to banner I would be verbally harassed, I do not know that I would feel safe doing it. As well, there should be no tolerance for harassment done by candidates for student body races. I believe that all candidates have a duty to represent A&M well, regardless of if they get elected or not. It looks bad on all aggies that we have people who claim to represent us that resort to attacking fellow aggies for their God-given right to support who they want to support. I give my plea to you, Election Commission, please let students know that they are safe while bannering, ensure they do not fear to banner, and show that harassment of any kind will not be tolerated.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Reported Regulation:

No form of campaigning can impede the safety of any candidate or student.

(b) Disqualification shall only be applied in cases where the violation can be confidently determined to undermine the free, fair, and safe nature of the election

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Wed. Feb 28 at 7 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The commission's majority opinion is that the candidate did not impede the health or safety of the students at the banner in a manner to warrant disqualification. The commission reminds all candidates to exercise respect to their fellow students.

Evidence:

[Tennasyn-Testimony-.jpg](#)

[Ashley-testimony-.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/26/2025 6:58 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 83

Name Reported: Auva Oghatiyan

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

Failure to submit a finance form after using campaign materials. The candidate used signs and did not expense them. Most if not all other members of their group campaign expensed these materials.

Reported Regulation:

The following acts prevent a candidate from maintaining qualification within a current election: failure to meet eligibility requirements, failure of themselves or their registered proxy to attend the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting, failure to submit a signed and completed finance form by the appropriate deadline, complete lack of receipts or Fair Market Value form submitted, exceeding the defined budget limitations, and failure to submit payment of all fines by the appropriate deadline to the location designated and communicated by the Election Commissioner.

Decision of the Commission: DISQUALIFICATION. The provided evidence shows a campaign material used to solicit votes for the candidate. The candidate did not submit a finance form by the deadline to the location designated at the Mandatory Candidates' Meeting.

Evidence:

- [IMG_0602.jpg](#)
- [IMG_3464.jpg](#)

Tier: 1 (disqualification)

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 5:41 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (5-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 84

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant

Position Sought: Student Body President

Description of Violation:

I, along with numerous other students, received unsolicited text messages from Mr. Whisenant's campaign. These messages were sent without prior consent from recipients and contained promotional content related to his candidacy. Based on the unsolicited nature of these messages, I believe Mr. Whisenant's actions constitute an infringement on students' rights to privacy and fairness in the election process. The battallion wrote a whole article about it. Sending mass unsolicited campaign text messages without prior consent constitutes a Tier 1 Major violation, specifically as a campaign ethics violation. Distributing unsolicited messages to students without their consent should be unethical practice, as it disregards personal boundaries and privacy. I get charged per text, and this is not a text I would like to spend my phone bill on. The SGA election regulations emphasize fair campaigning practices as well. Unauthorized use of student contact information for campaign purposes should be considered unethical and a violation of these regulations.

Reported Regulation: SECTION III. Tiered Violations

(a) Tier 1

(1) Major violations include, but are not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree; sabotage of opposing campaigns; voting fraud; falsified documents (with proof included to show falsification, including finance form); campaign ethics violations; and obstructions of the free and fair nature of voting.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met Friday, Feb 28 at 6 pm to discuss the alleged violation. The commission's majority opinion is that the candidate did not act unethically. The candidate expensed the open records request to the university in Receipt 8 of his expense report. Additionally, this specific submission provided no evidence.

Evidence:

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 85

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant

Position Sought: Student Body President

Description of Violation:

Colton Whisenant did not report the fair market value of legal services received in assistance with submitting an open records request to Texas A&M University. His expense report shows that his request was submitted and paid for by Mr. J. Thad Whisenant or Whisenant & Associates Attorneys at Law. These services are likely not free for those who are not related to Mr. Whisenant and therefore the value of the billable time should be considered. Additionally, Colton could have filed this request himself as an individual. Using Whisenant & Associates' account further implies that this was an action taken by an employee of the firm at the firm's direction.

Reported Regulation: Any campaign material obtained through a monetary transaction or exchange of value, either before or briefly after its use, regardless of the amount exchanged, shall be classified as 'purchased.' However, if it is determined that the value exchanged is an unreasonably significant deviation from the fair market value, as determined by the standards of a majority of reasonable people, the election commission may assess the item's value based on fair market value rather than the exchanged amount. Campaign materials received without a monetary transaction or exchange of value shall be classified as 'donated.'

All donated materials are to be expensed at fair market value, regardless of quality.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met Friday, Feb 28 at 6 pm to discuss the alleged violation. The commission's majority opinion is that the candidate properly expensed the open records request. The request does not constitute expensing legal expenses as the records are available to any individual to purchase; the name to which the expense was billed on Receipt 8 of the candidates finance report is an individual rather than a law firm. There is no explicit evidence the candidate utilized legal services that would constitute campaign material or warrant expensing.

Evidence: [Whisenant-Law.pdf](#)

[Colton Brock.pdf](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 86

Name Reported: Brendan Hurt, Trevor Chesler, and Camila Vivanco

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

Currently, the three candidates are campaigning under the name 3 For Bush while using a table within the Bush School main building which they did not ask for permission to use the table. Not only are they physically disrupting the study space within the building, they are also taking up valuable study space from students. Typically, if students, organizations, or entities want to table within the school they have to ask for permission and table near the entrance of the building with a provided table, not a table that is used for studying. Because of them not asking for permission, it obstructs the free and fair nature of voting since other candidates do not have the same ability also.

Reported Regulation: (1) Major violations include, but are not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree; sabotage of opposing campaigns; voting fraud; falsified documents (with proof included to show falsification, including finance form); campaign ethics violations; and obstructions of the free and fair nature of voting. (iii) Obstruction of the free and fair nature of voting shall include but not be limited to forcing students to vote against their own volition.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met Friday, Feb 28 at 6 pm to discuss the alleged violation. The commission's majority opinion is that the candidates did not obstruct the free and fair nature of voting for the following reasons:

- 1) Campaigning in a publicly available location does not obstruct individual students from **voting** out of their own volition.
- 2) Upon inquiry with Brandon Morgan, Director of Operations of the Bush School, there is no rule that students cannot utilize publicly available tables to campaign. The candidates did not violate any building or university rules or the election regulations.

Evidence: [IMG_3123.PNG](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 87

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant; Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

The Colton-Brock campaign failed to disclose payments to the legal services of Whisenant & Associates Attorneys at Law, which they used to purchase an information request from Texas A&M's Enterprise Information System. It is unlikely that any other candidate or campaign could've had access to the services of Whisenant & Associates without paying. Colton should not have access to these services without reporting it, in order to ensure a fair campaign. His use of these services appears connected to his mass-texting campaign, which could give him quite an advantage over other candidates due to the sheer number of students he could reach out to. It is likely that Whisenant had access to this legal practice's services through his father, Mr. J. Thad Whisenant.

Reported Regulation: Article VII, Section 1, subsections c and d.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation #85.

Evidence: [IMG_8716.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 88

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Colton Whisenant's campaign for Student Body President is alleged to have violated the letter or spirit of state, federal and university regulations by sending unsolicited bulk text messages to Texas A&M University students. These messages promoted the candidacy of Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington for Student Body President and Vice President.

Key Details of Violation:

Unsolicited Bulk Messaging: Multiple students received unsolicited text messages from various phone numbers, promoting Whisenant and Barrington's campaign. The messages were personalized with the recipient's name, suggesting the use of a student directory or contact list.

Improper Acquisition and Use of Student Data: Evidence indicates that J. Thad Whisenant acquired student contact information through an Open Records Request from Texas A&M's Enterprise Information Systems on January 23, 2025. The contact list was likely used for the mass texting campaign without recipient consent.

Lack of Consent and Opt-Out Mechanism: The recipients did not provide prior consent for the political messages, nor did the texts include an opt-out mechanism, violating consent requirements for bulk messaging.

Automated Messaging Indications: The scale and coordination of the messaging campaign indicate potential use of an automated texting system or autodialer, which is regulated under federal law.

Disruption and Unfair Advantage: The unsolicited messaging campaign affected a significant number of students, potentially creating an unfair campaign advantage and disrupting students' privacy and communication channels.

Reported Regulation: Federal Law:

Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), 47 U.S.C. § 227:

Prohibits unsolicited text messages sent without prior express consent.

The campaign potentially violated TCPA by sending bulk political texts without consent, using an automated system.

Relevant Case Precedents: Bernie 2020, Inc. and Trump Campaign lawsuits for unauthorized automated texting.

CAN-SPAM Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7701 et seq.:

While certain messages are exempt, if any messages were sent via an email-to-text gateway or included commercial content, they would require opt-out mechanisms and consent.

Texas Law Violation

Texas Business and Commerce Code – USE OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SUBTITLE B. ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS

Prohibits sending unsolicited electronic messages to Texas residents without prior consent.

Messages must include an opt-out option, which was not present in the campaign texts.

Texas A&M University Election Regulations Violations:

Article V - Campaigning:

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

(1) Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including, but not limited to, advertisement, hosting, or the purchase of products (physical or electronic) must be documented and expensed in accordance with the financial rules in these Regulations.

The use of student data obtained through the Open Records Request for mass messaging violates ethical campaign practices as it infringes on personal privacy protected by student rules.

Article VI - Tier 1 Major Violations:

“offenses against local, state, or federal law” qualify as Tier 1 Major Violations. Violating TCPA, CAN-Spam, and Texas’s Anti Spam law by sending unsolicited bulk texts could be a major offense, warranting penalties including disqualification or fines.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Feb 28 at 6:00 pm to discuss the alleged major violation. The majority commission’s opinion is as follows:

- 1) TCPA: **There is no evidence the candidate utilized an automated system** for distributing the text message. In the provided images, it seems as though texts were being sent directly from the campaign’s supporters to the student body. **Because there is no evidence the candidate utilized an automated system or email-to-text gateway, they did not need to provide an opt-out mechanism.**
- 2) Texas Business and Commerce Codes: A candidate who manually sends text messages without the use of an automated system, and who is not operating as a business, is not subject to the provisions of this rule. The regulation is intended to govern automated electronic transactions and business communications, so manually sent texts by an individual candidate do not fall under its scope.
- 3) The candidate solicited the phone numbers from the University and properly expensed this in his expense report. Though the commission encourages candidates to be respectful to all students, this does not constitute a significant ethical violation as the candidate was transparent in how he obtained the phone numbers.
- 4) The records were available to all candidates if they chose to request them; this does not constitute obtaining the records unethically.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Overall, the majority of the commission agrees there is no concrete or provided evidence the candidate utilized an automated system to distribute the messages, releasing him from a majority of the regulations provided by the submitter. The commission previously decided the sending of mass text messages was not an ethical violation in Violation 19.

Evidence: [IMG_8218.png](#)

- [IMG_8121.png](#)
- [IMG_6457.png](#)
- [IMG_3997.png](#)
- [University-SAPs.pdf](#)
- [Telephone-Communication-Act.pdf](#)
- [IMG_9799.PNG](#)
- [IMG_8217.PNG](#)
- [IMG_8120.PNG](#)
- [IMG_6456.PNG](#)
- [IMG_5944.PNG](#)
- [IMG_3858.PNG](#)
- [IMG_1102.PNG](#)
- [IMG_1101.PNG](#)
- [IMG_0892.png](#)
- [can-spam-act.pdf](#)
- [BUSINESS-AND-COMMERCE-CODE-CHAPTER-321.-REGULATION-OF-ELECTRONIC-MAIL.pdf](#)
- [Open-Records-Request.PDF](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 2/28/2025 6:00 pm

Assessed by: Election commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 90

Name Reported: Killian Netherton

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate utilized a sign for campaigning that is absent from their expense report.

Reported Regulation: "Materials used for general campaigning must be included in the expense report that is to be submitted on the Wednesday during the week of voting by 5:00 p.m.. No expenses shall be made past this deadline."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. Receipt #4 on the candidate's form is for purchases made at Hobby Lobby. After inquiry with the store, they confirmed that their receipts itemizes products by department. The manager of Hobby Lobby stated markers and poster boards fall under the category of "Paper Crafts" as listed on the receipt. It cannot confidently be determined that the candidate did not expense the materials due to the Hobby Lobby receipt.

Evidence: [IMG_3423-1.jpg](#)

- [Killian-Netherton.pdf](#)
- [handmade-sign.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 10:29 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 91

Name Reported: Good Bull Ticket (full list of names attached)

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate utilized a sign for campaigning that is absent from their expense report.

Reported Regulation: "Materials used for general campaigning must be included in the expense report that is to be submitted on the Wednesday during the week of voting by 5:00 p.m.. No expenses shall be made past this deadline."

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation #90.

Evidence: [GB-Slate49.jpg](#)

- [handmade-sign1.jpg](#)
- [IMG_3423-11.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 10:29 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 92

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant

Position Sought: Student Body President

Description of Violation:

Colton Whisenant's campaign for Student Body President is alleged to have engaged in misleading campaign practices by making false claims regarding his involvement with the implementation of digital IDs at Texas A&M University. False Representation of Leadership and Involvement: Campaign text messages claimed that Colton Whisenant was "working on digital IDs," suggesting an active role or leadership in the Digital ID initiative at Texas A&M. Evidence Contradicting Campaign Claims: Official documentation from the Digital ID Steering Committee Memo (December 2024) and Digital ID Working Group Call (January 2025) does not list Colton Whisenant as a member of the Steering Committee, Working Group, or any leadership role in the Digital ID initiative. The Digital ID Steering Committee was co-chaired by John Crawford (CFO) and Peter Lange (COO), and the Student Government Association's involvement was represented by Cade Coppinger, the SGA President, not Colton Whisenant. Ethical Concerns: The campaign's representation of Whisenant's involvement is misleading and contradicts the Aggie Honor Code, which emphasizes truthfulness and integrity: "An Aggie does not lie, cheat, or steal, or tolerate those who do." Impact on Election Integrity: The false claims potentially misled voters about Whisenant's qualifications and leadership experience, creating an unfair advantage based on misrepresentation.

Reported Regulation: Texas A&M University Election Regulations Violations:

Article VI - Tier 1 Major Violations: Ethics Violation: Campaign ethics rules require truthful representation in all campaign materials and communications. The false claim of leadership or involvement in the Digital ID initiative constitutes a significant ethical breach.

Misleading Campaign Practices: Misleading voters about qualifications and involvement undermines the free and fair nature of the election and is categorized as a Tier 1 Major Violation for ethical misconduct.

Article VII - Honor and Integrity Violations:

Misrepresentation of leadership experience contradicts the Aggie Honor Code, which requires honesty and integrity in all student activities, including elections.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Friday Feb 28 at 6:15 pm to review the alleged violation. The majority commission opinion is that there is not ample evidence that the candidate is intentionally misleading the student body or violating the Aggie Honor Code. Many of the images provided follow the lines of "continuing the push for digital IDs" which implies future work if the candidate was elected. Additionally, the candidate could be involved in independent efforts to advocate for digital IDs though he is not a part of the university's leadership steering committees.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Evidence: [IMG_08921.png](#)

- [IMG_59441.PNG](#)
- [IMG_81201.PNG](#)
- [IMG_82171.PNG](#)
- [IMG_97991.PNG](#)
- [IMG_82181.png](#)
- [IMG_39971.png](#)
- [Digital-ID-Steering-Committee-Memo-December-2024-FINAL.pdf](#)
- [25.01.27_Digital-ID-Call-for-Working-Group.pdf](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 6:15 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 93

Name Reported: Brandon Beller and Gia Viggiano

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Today, 2, 28, 2025 I saw a campaign video in which Brandon Beller and Gia Viggiano's campaign for Student Body President and Vice President allegedly violated federal copyright law and Texas A&M Student Government election regulations by using copyrighted material in their campaign video without proper authorization or fair use justification.

Unauthorized Use of Copyrighted Music: The campaign video includes copyrighted music without obtaining the necessary licenses or permissions from the copyright holders. The music was used for promotional purposes in a political campaign video, which does not qualify for fair use.

Unauthorized Use of Video Footage: The video incorporates footage from an ESPN broadcast of a Texas A&M sports event, displaying ESPN branding and copyrighted sports highlights. The footage was reproduced and distributed without authorization from the content owner (ESPN/Disney).

Improper Use of Logos and Trademarks: The campaign video displays the ESPN logo and other branded imagery associated with Texas A&M athletics, which falsely implies endorsement or affiliation. Texas A&M strictly prohibits the use of its licensed trademarks in campaign materials.

No Claim of Fair Use: The copyrighted content was used in its original form to enhance campaign messaging, not for commentary, critique, or parody. Therefore, the usage does not meet the Fair Use criteria under 17 U.S.C. §107.

Impact on Election Integrity: Using copyrighted and trademarked material without authorization provided an unfair advantage by leveraging popular sports content and music to increase audience engagement.

Instagram Campaign Video: The video includes copyrighted music, ESPN sports footage, and branded imagery (e.g., ESPN logo and Texas A&M athletic logos) without permission or attribution.

Screenshot Evidence: The ESPN broadcast footage displays the ESPN logo and official score ticker, indicating the source is an unauthorized reproduction of a copyrighted sports broadcast. No Licensing or Fair Use Justification: The campaign video was used for promotional purposes to garner votes, which is not considered fair use.

Past Precedents: In *Grant v. Trump*, federal courts ruled that campaign videos using copyrighted music without transformation or parody do not qualify for fair use. Texas A&M JCourt's *McCaig v. Colbert* case fined a candidate for using trademarked logos, establishing a precedent that any unlicensed use of copyrighted or trademarked material in campaigns is a rules violation.

Reported Regulation: Federal Law Violations:

Copyright Act of 1976 (17 U.S.C. § 106):

Prohibits unauthorized reproduction, distribution, and public performance of copyrighted works.

Using copyrighted music and ESPN footage in a political campaign video without permission constitutes copyright infringement.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Potential penalties: Statutory damages ranging from \$750 to \$150,000 per infringed work, and DMCA takedown requests for online platforms.

Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA): Online platforms hosting the video (e.g., Instagram) are required to take down infringing content upon receiving a valid DMCA notice from the copyright owner.

Texas A&M University Election Regulations Violations:

Article VI - Tier 1 Major Violations:

“offenses against federal law” are categorized as Tier 1 Major Violations under election rules.

Copyright infringement and unauthorized use of trademarks are federal offenses, justifying a major violation classification.

Honor and Integrity Violations: Misleading use of logos (e.g., ESPN branding) falsely implies endorsement, violating ethical campaign standards.

Texas A&M's Aggie Honor Code emphasizes truthfulness, and misleading branding conflicts with these ethical obligations

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on Friday Feb 28 at 6:15 pm to review the alleged violation. The majority commission opinion is as follows:

Campaign videos on their own accord are not subject to review by the commission **unless there is a physical campaign violation present**. This is justified by **Art V, Sec III** of the election regulations:

“ Electronic Campaigning

(a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media. The following exceptions apply to this rule:

(1) Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including, but not limited to, advertisement, hosting, or the purchase of products (physical or electronic) must be documented and expensed in accordance with the financial rules in these Regulations.

(2) Use of the Texas A&M University bulk mail system available through bulkmail.tamu.edu is in violation of university policy.

(3) Evidence found on the internet of physical campaign violations shall be accepted by the Election Commission.

(4) Equipment used in the production and creation of electronic media need not be expensed.”

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

The alleged violation references the second video provided as evidence. This clip does not show a physical campaign violation as there is no physical solicitation of votes in the clip; the alleged violation is outside of the commission's regulatory purview.

Evidence: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1OEu7TyhFrRXXllepTU6wRpQaYh4l_m2F/view?usp=sharing
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Nk2LoDzFcIXjDe3-UYsSO2GNqIQDuTFz/view?usp=sharing>

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 6:15 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 94

Name Reported: Jamie Lincoln

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

The candidate utilized a banner as means to solicit votes in a video posted on social media. The caption states "vote for a senator who is willing to banner in 9 degree weather", making the banner a clearly essential part of the message of the video being used to solicit votes. This banner is absent from the candidates expense form.

Reported Regulation: Materials used for general campaigning must be included in the expense report that is to be submitted on the Wednesday during the week of voting by 5:00 p.m.. No expenses shall be made past this deadline.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The provided evidence shows the candidate campaigning **for herself using electronic media** which is not regulated by the commission. The provided image, when viewed outside of the context of electronic media, does not constitute a physical campaign violation as the candidate is physically, in-person campaigning for the Pritzlaff-Villarreal ticket. The candidate uses electronic media to solicit votes for herself in the provided images, not by physically campaigning with the banner.

This is justified by **Art V, Sec III** of the election regulations:

“ Electronic Campaigning

(a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media. The following exceptions apply to this rule:

(1) Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including, but not limited to, advertisement, hosting, or the purchase of products (physical or electronic) must be documented and expensed in accordance with the financial rules in these Regulations.

(2) Use of the Texas A&M University bulk mail system available through bulkmail.tamu.edu is in violation of university policy.

(3) Evidence found on the internet of physical campaign violations shall be accepted by the Election Commission.

(4) Equipment used in the production and creation of electronic media

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

need not be expensed.”

Evidence:

- [IMG_3150.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 10:57 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 95

Name Reported: Good Bull Ticket- sans sans Riley Pritzlaff and Ezra Villarreal (list of names attached)

Position Sought: Senate/ Class President

Description of Violation:

The candidate utilized a banner as means to solicit votes in a video posted on social media. The caption states "vote for a senator who is willing to banner in 9 degree weather", making the banner a clearly essential part of the message of the video being used to solicit votes. This banner is absent from the candidates expense form.

Reported Regulation: Materials used for general campaigning must be included in the expense report that is to be submitted on the Wednesday during the week of voting by 5:00 p.m.. No expenses shall be made past this deadline.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See Violation 94.

Evidence:

- [GB-Slate50.jpg](#)
- [IMG_31501.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 10:57 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 96

Name Reported: Beller/Viggiano

Position Sought: Senate

Description of Violation:

On the Fair Market Value form the candidate did not use business locally available to student in the BCS area. Instead they used Amazon and Wilson.com.

Reported Regulation: Article VII. Section 1(a)(5) Fair market value is defined as the cost available locally to any given student within the Bryan/College Station area.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The current regulation simply defines fair market value as the cost available locally to any given student, without specifying that the vendor must be brick-and-mortar. Given that every student in the Bryan/College Station area has free internet access and access to computing technology, pricing on platforms like Amazon should be considered locally available since it reflects the cost accessible to all students—especially since the current regulation no longer explicitly restrict the definition to physical stores.

Evidence: [Screenshot-2025-02-28-135624.png](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 11:05 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 97

Name Reported: Good Bull Ticket (full list included in evidence)

Position Sought: Senate/ Class President/ Student Body President & Vice President

Description of Violation:

In an instagram reel posted by Salami The Aggie, The Good Bull Ticket, and Black 2 Gov (B2G), many candidates are seen campaigning in the food court area of the Memorial Student Center. One attachment shows a candidate, Pranay Indurti, along with others explicitly telling the camera and Salami to vote for the good bull ticket on the voting days. Since Salami is not a candidate on the Good Bull or B2G tickets, those candidates campaigning to him in the MSC is a violation of the above regulations. Salami is in fact seen in the clip provided, at the start when Pranay is speaking, you can see salami standing just on the edge of the frame. I have provided a screenshot with a clearer view of salami for your verification. Additionally, throughout the reel, the usual sound of students moving throughout the MSC can be heard in the background, suggesting that many students were in the vicinity of these candidates while they were campaigning, further supporting their violation of the election regulations.

Now, on the matter of Violation 3. As described in the Commission's ruling there, if one candidate or campaign delegate violates a regulation, all candidates of that slate are responsible for the penalty. As such, the major violation of campaigning in the MSC should be applied across all candidates associated with the Good Bull and B2G tickets, the list of which is attached, taken from the reel in question.

Reported Regulation: I believe the Good Bull Ticket, and per the commission's ruling in Violation 3, all candidates therein, have violated the following regulations:

(d) The Memorial Student Center, Bonfire Remembrance Memorial, and the Bush Family Gravesite are off limits to campaigning, except when a group or organization allows candidates to speak at their meetings. Any candidate campaigning in the aforementioned areas shall be assessed a major violation.

(1) Wearing t-shirts or apparel is not considered campaigning.

Apparel includes clothing, backpack tags, and anything else deemed apparel by the Election Commissioner.

(2) Photography and videography in which candidates are not campaigning to physical students is explicitly permitted and protected in the aforementioned areas.

Campaigning for the purposes of elections shall be defined as the active solicitation of votes.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

(1) In order to actively solicit votes, a candidate or supporter must, in person, ask an individual for their vote or support.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on February 28 at 5:00 pm to review the alleged violation. The majority commission opinion is as follows:

The regulations are explicit in what constitutes campaigning in restricted areas. A candidate or supporter must, **in person**, ask an individual for their vote or support to be considered campaigning in the MSC. As the submitter stated, the candidates are speaking directly to the camera, not asking an individual student for their vote or support. While Salami the Aggie, a non-candidate, is present, the provided video evidences the candidates speaking to the camera and not Salami. Even if students are in earshot of the candidates speaking, the candidates are not asking **individuals** for their vote or support.

Evidence: [Screenshot-2025-02-28-at-11.49.31 AM.png](#)

- [Screenshot-2025-02-28-at-11.47.39 AM.png](#)

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1MVm4OWwpZyLRwkNLF145Qsf8OesfMJfW/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 5:05 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 98

Name Reported: The Good Bull Ticket (Full list of names attached)

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President, Senate, Class President

Description of Violation:

In a video with Salami the Aggie, Good Bull candidate Jackson Steele attempts to solicit Malik Salami vote in the MSC. This is a clear violation of TAMU election code which prohibits campaigning in the MSC. I have attached screen shots of the interaction, but cannot submit the video as the drop box does not allow it.

As well it should be noted that in Violation 3, the Write-in-Warrior's case, Election Commission decided that one candidates violations, while being a representative of a group ticket like Good Bull's candidates, is a violation for the entire ticket. Campaigning in the MSC is a major violation and according to previous rulings this cycle, all members of Good Bull have violated this rule through Jackson Steele soliciting a vote in the MSC on behalf of Good Bull.

-Publius

Reported Regulation: No campaigning in the MSC

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission met on February 28 at 5:00 pm to review the alleged violation. The majority commission opinion is as follows:

As stated in the previous violation, a candidate must be actively soliciting votes in restricted areas to constitute a major violation. Actively soliciting votes is defined as “a candidate or supporter must, in person, ask an individual for their vote or support.” The candidate is speaking to the camera in a majority of the video. Additionally, the provided video is muted, so the commission cannot, with certainty, claim the candidate is soliciting votes. The commission cannot confidently determine what the physical campaigning was taking place with the provided evidence.

Refer to Violation 23 for further details.

Evidence: [Screenshot-2025-02-28-at-11.49.31 AM.png](#)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

- [Screenshot-2025-02-28-at-11.47.39 AM.png](#)
- https://drive.google.com/file/d/1_KJS-09uCHeEu6LVHGxmQ6Q0AWMTFXrm/view?usp=sharing

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 5:05 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 99

Name Reported: Brandon Beller and Gia Viggiano

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Pictured is an image of a cake with the text "Better with Beller" and "Victory with Viggiano". This cake solicits votes as it uses the campaign's slogans. The Beller-Viggiano campaign did not expense this cake.

Reported Regulation: (10) Candidates must list the entire cost of each individual expense that results in campaign material that in any way suggests support for their candidacy.

Decision of the Commission: **NOT A VIOLATION.** The cake is campaign material that suggests support for the candidates. However, the cake was properly expensed in Receipt 14 "Sam's Club" of the candidates expense reports. The receipt lists "SpecialOrder" for 20.98, which is the cost of a custom half sheet cake from Sam's Club bakery.

Evidence: [Screenshot-2025-02-23-at-2.51.05 PM.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 11:49 am

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 100

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant and Brock Barrington

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

In a campaign video (emailed), Colton and Brock are seen riding Mopeds/Scooters. I have attached several scooters that these could be that are available for purchase at Scoots in College Station. These scooters were not expensed on Colton's expense sheet or any of his fair market value forms. While I cannot quote you an exact price of what the Scooter costs, I can estimate that Colton and Brock would have had to pay at least \$1250 for them. Combined across two scooters, that is \$2500. Considering that they expensed every other item they interacted with in the video, such as a "Secret Hitler" board game and a football, they should have also taken into consideration the mopeds and expensed them as they were utilized to convey the message of the video just as much as "Secret Hitler" and the football did, and therefore suggest support for their candidacy, and solicited votes. Even if the two Mopeds were to only cost \$466.86 each, which we can agree is a rather low, but potentially reasonable price, Colton has spent more than \$3000 on his campaign, going overbudget, therefore disqualifying him.

Reported Regulation: (10) Candidates must list the entire cost of each individual expense that results in campaign material that in any way suggests support for their candidacy.

(a) Any candidate who exceeds their allotted budget shall be disqualified.

(c) All candidates filed under a major race will have a budget of \$ 3000.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The current regulations state that "the Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media," except where there is evidence of a physical campaign violation. In this instance, the candidates are merely riding mopeds without any direct, in-person voter interaction, meaning that the physical use of the mopeds is not being employed to solicit votes. While the electronic media itself is used to express support for the candidates, this medium falls outside the commission's regulatory purview under the current rules. **Although past regulations explicitly classified campaign videos as campaign material, the current regulations do not include this clause.** Therefore, unless there is a clear physical campaign violation—such as the direct use of the moped to solicit votes in person—the expense for the mopeds is not required. Outside of the

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

context of electronic media itself is soliciting votes. Without the context of the media, its captions, and other clips within the video, the clip with the mopeds has no physical, in-person reference to the candidates' campaign, and as such, do not warrant expensing.

Evidence: [Screenshot-2025-02-28-152750.png](#)

- [Screenshot-2025-02-28-152728.png](#)
- [Screenshot-2025-02-28-152714.png](#)

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LHmAKH-2OqMyWlmeZfzRYaMtF02PhaQb/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 03/01/2025 12:02 pm

Assessed by: RE

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 101

Name Reported: Beller/Viggiano

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

On Feb.27,2025, the Beller and Viggiano campaign was reported/recorded door-to-door campaigning at the Rev apartment complex as late at 10:15p.m. and 11:00pm after explicitly being told they were not permitted to do so by front desk staff. This is a violation of College Station Ordinance Section 8-184 which I have attached as well that prohibits door-to-door campaigning without a city-issued identification badge and prohibits this after the hours of 9 p.m. and before 9 a.m. Political canvassing of any nature is not listed as one of the exceptions to this permitting. This also violates Texas A&M Election Regulation Section 3(a)(1) which details campaign ethics violations, of which this would be considered as such. This violation goes against the free and fair nature of voting and against the nature of campaign ethics by performing illegal acts that were further explicitly prohibited by staff in the building.

Reported Regulation:

Article VI. Section III (a)

(1) Major violations include, but are not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree; sabotage of opposing campaigns; voting fraud; falsified documents (with proof included to show falsification, including finance form); campaign ethics violations; and obstructions of the free and fair nature of voting.

(iii) Obstruction of the free and fair nature of voting shall include but not be limited to forcing students to vote against their own volition.

(iv) The Election commission may determine what constitutes significant ethical violations based on common sense and reasonability, in accordance with the Election Commission's duties to uphold a free and fair election, and to adhere to the Aggie Core Values.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission reviewed the alleged major violation on Feb 28 at 6:20 pm. The commission's majority opinion is as follows:
Door-to-door campaigning is prohibited by the election regulations and the Residential Housing Association at on-campus residences only. This restriction shall not affect campaigning at organizational meetings or campaigning outside the residence halls and Corps dormitories.

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Prohibition by the apartment building's management does not fall under the Election Commission's purview.

There is not sufficient evidence for the commission to review **a physical campaign violation**. The text message on its own is not sufficient evidence to prove the physical violation occurred. There is no proof submitted that the candidates violated local law. If the apartment management wishes to file reports with authorities, that is outside of the commission's jurisdiction.

The commission does not view the alleged violation as restricting the free and fair nature of voting. Individuals are able to select whomever they prefer regardless of whether or not the violation occurred.

There is no proof the ticket acted with ill-intent, misrepresented themselves, or physically committed an ethics violation.

Evidence: [Screenshot-2025-02-28-165506.png](#)

- [Screenshot-2025-02-28-162341.png](#)
- [Screenshot-2025-02-28-154527.png](#)
- [IMG_8841.PNG](#)
- [IMG_8846.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 6:25 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 102

Name Reported: Colton Whisenant Campaign

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President

Description of Violation:

Colton's media director, Luke Kemp was seen recklessly driving his scooter into another student. This action endanger their students safety, and their health. As a delegate of Colton's campaign, Colton is responsible for any actions Luke commits.

I have emailed all relevant evidence including the video of the incident and a picture of Luke in what he was wearing today

Reported Regulation:

Violates Students health and safety.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission reviewed the alleged major violation on Feb 28 at 6:53 pm. The commission's majority opinion is as follows: The reported regulation, **Art V, Sec II**, explicitly states "No form of **campaigning** can impede the safety of any candidate or student." **The provided evidence does not show any form of campaigning, merely an individual riding a scooter.** Also, the individual on the scooter is unrecognizable. Though his shirt color is apparent, maroon clothing is rather common on campus, and the commission cannot say with certainty the individual on the scooter is Luke Kemp.

Evidence: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1YVENdeHfXqa0ZXPjzjCerCRRbU-QC_eR/view?usp=drive_link
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1doJI4LqMEXBw6loeS8xD-Ug-SSlbM7CQ/view?usp=drive_link

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 6:55 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 103

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff and Ezra Villarreal, and entire Good Bull Ticket (all members)

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President, Class President, Senate

Description of Violation:

In an Instagram story, Salami the Aggie was seen posting a picture that said that he was glad that the SGA election campaigning had concluded because he was using his following to endorse the entire Good Bull Ticket, to include Riley Pritzlaff and Ezra Villarreal. Salami also said that he had been getting monetary compensation for the endorsement. It is very clear that the Good Bull Ticket had a hand in paying Salami to vote for their ticket, but also getting Salami's following to vote for them. This is a clear major violation and the Student Body calls on the Election Commission to disqualify the entire Good Bull Ticket.

Reported Regulation:

SECTION I. Process

(a) Campaigning for the purposes of elections shall be defined as the active solicitation of votes.

(1) In order to actively solicit votes, a candidate or supporter must, in person, ask an individual for their vote or support.

(b) The candidate shall be held responsible for the actions taken by their supporter. Any violation of the regulations by a supporter of a candidate could result in a fine for the candidate. A supporter is anyone who (including but not limited to):

(1) Speaks as a delegate of the candidate, holds banners, works on financial reports, or distributes campaign materials.

(1) Major violations include, but are not limited to: proven offenses against state or federal law to any degree; sabotage of opposing campaigns; voting fraud; falsified documents (with proof included to show falsification, including finance form); campaign ethics violations; and obstructions of the free and fair nature of voting.

(i) Voting fraud shall include but not be limited to voting using another student's credentials, or physically selecting options on the ballot of another voter.

(ii) Falsification of documents refers to the intentional and substantive corruption of documents that undermines the transparent nature of the election.

(iii) Obstruction of the free and fair nature of voting shall

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

include but not be limited to forcing students to vote against their own volition..

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. The commission reviewed the alleged major violation on Feb 28 at 6:00 pm. The commission's majority opinion is as follows:

The commission cannot regulate electronic media according to **Art V, Sec III** of the election regulations:

“ Electronic Campaigning

(a) The Election Commission shall not regulate any activity over the Internet or other electronic media. The following exceptions apply to this rule:

(1) Any internet activity in which there is a monetary transaction, including, but not limited to, advertisement, hosting, or the purchase of products (physical or electronic) must be documented and expensed in accordance with the financial rules in these Regulations.

(2) Use of the Texas A&M University bulk mail system available through bulkmail.tamu.edu is in violation of university policy.

(3) Evidence found on the internet of physical campaign violations shall be accepted by the Election Commission.

(4) Equipment used in the production and creation of electronic media”

The provided evidence is an image from electronic media. The image does not show a monetary transaction nor does it show any candidate physically providing Salami the Aggie with money. There is no evidence a financial transaction was made. The evidence is outside of the commission's jurisdiction provided by the regulations.

Evidence: [Salami-post.png](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 6:15 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

Violation 104

Name Reported: Riley Pritzlaff and the Good Bull Ticket

Position Sought: Student Body President/ Student Body Vice President, Class President, Senate

Description of Violation:

In an Instagram story, Salami the Aggie was seen posting a picture that said that he was glad that the SGA election campaigning had concluded because he was using his following to endorse the entire Good Bull Ticket, to include Riley Pritzlaff and Ezra Villarreal. Salami also said that he had been getting monetary compensation for the endorsement. It is very clear that the Good Bull Ticket had a hand in paying Salami to vote for their ticket, but also getting Salami's following to vote for them. This is a clear major violation and the Student Body calls on the Election Commission to disqualify the entire Good Bull Ticket.

Reported Regulation:

Salami the Aggie posted a story on Instagram that said: "Finally done with all the campaign promo. Back to our regularly scheduled content. Let an Aggie get his (money bag emoji) y'all." The only candidates that Salami ever posted or endorsed were Riley Pritzlaff for SBP and the Good Bull Ticket.

Based on Salami's own testimony, he received money from some candidates. Since the only candidates Salami supported were Riley and the Good Bull Ticket, they must have been the ones to pay him. Paying for Salami's endorsement suggests support for their candidacy. Not one of the following candidates listed paying Salami as an expense:

AHWREY SCARPINATO
ANALUCIA VARGAS
ASHLYN POOL
AUVA OGHATIYAN
BRAYDEN PENNINGTON
BRIA GLASER
BROOKE BARBER
BROOKE BECKER
CHIDI UWAKWE
HAILEY GARCIA
HAYDEN HAWLEY
HUMZAH AHMAD
JACKSON STEELE
JAMIE LINCOLN
JASPER SHAW
KATE WILKINS

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

LEIGHA HALL
PARIS SANCHEZ
SYDNEY MIDDLETON
VANESSA FLORES
ZIA BARTON

GRANT ATKINSON
SHREYA PATWARDAN

CAMILA VIVANCO

RILEY PRITZLAFF
EZRA VILLAREAL

ADELADE EDGINGTON
AVA KOTSEN
BRI BURNSIDE
CLAY CONN
LINDSEY ALVAREZ
MARY-CLAIRE HUGHES
TREVOR VON WUPPERFELD
ARTS & SCIENCES
CHANDLER VERNON
JACK YOAST
JAYLEN RICHARDS
KILLIAN NETHERTON
LEO REYES
PRANAY INDURTI
VICKY DUNO
WESLEY POKLUDA

JONAH WILLIAMS
KARSEN COUNCIL

BRENDAN HURT
TREVOR CHESLER
CAMILA VIVANCO

Texas A&M SGA Election Commission
Spring 2025 Reported Violations

ANANYA BHAWSINKA
ANTONIO GORDO
CALEB WELLS
JOJO OWENS
KHOA LY
REYNA ROMERO
VARSHA VALIVETI

JAYME FAITH HACKER

ANGIE HESS
CORD CARTER
ISABEL WESTLAKE

LAUREN MAYNARD
ROBERT HARGROVE
SYDNEY ROBERTS.

Decision of the Commission: NOT A VIOLATION. See violation 103 for the commission's majority opinion. In addition, the commission does not believe an Instagram on its own constitutes a testimony.

Evidence: [image1-1.png](#)

- [image0-9.jpg](#)

Tier: n/a

Warning: n/a

Budgetary Sanction(This amount must be listed in Section C of your expense report): n/a

Monetary Sanction(This amount must be paid to the Election Commission): n/a

Date/Time Reviewed: 02/28/2025 6:15 pm

Assessed by: Election Commission (6-0 vote)