

New Taipei Model United Nations

Forum: General Assembly (GA)

Issue: (301) The issue of protecting journalistic integrity and freedom in war zones

Student Officer: Sean Shen (Asia American International Academy)

Introduction

Armed conflicts pose extreme risks to journalists, who play a vital role in documenting events, informing the global public, and holding actors accountable for violations of international law. In war zones, journalists often face targeted violence, censorship, arbitrary detention, and intimidation by both state and non-state actors. These threats undermine not only individual safety but also the public's right to access accurate and independent information.

Journalistic integrity in conflict settings is further compromised by misinformation, propaganda, and pressure from governments or armed groups seeking to control the narrative. When journalists are silenced or manipulated, reporting becomes distorted, eroding trust in media institutions and complicating humanitarian and diplomatic responses. The lack of reliable information can exacerbate human rights abuses and prolong conflicts.

Protecting journalistic freedom in war zones is therefore a matter of international concern that intersects with human rights, international humanitarian law, and freedom of expression. The United Nations and the international community must address both the physical protection of journalists and the preservation of ethical, independent reporting. Effective solutions require cooperation between states, media organizations, and international institutions.

Definitions of Key Terms

Journalistic integrity

Journalistic integrity refers to adherence to ethical principles such as truthfulness, independence, and accountability. In war zones, maintaining integrity becomes more difficult due to threats, limited access to

information, and political pressure. Journalists may face coercion to alter narratives or suppress information. Preserving integrity is essential for credible reporting and informed international responses. Without it, conflict reporting risks becoming propaganda.

International Humanitarian Law (IHL)

International humanitarian law recognizes journalists as civilians when not taking part in hostilities. This grants them protection from attack and unlawful detention. However, violations of IHL against journalists are widespread. Weak enforcement mechanisms contribute to ongoing abuse. Strengthening compliance with IHL is critical for journalist safety.

Freedom of the Press

Freedom of the press is a fundamental human right protected under international law. During armed conflicts, this freedom is frequently restricted through censorship, shutdowns, or targeted attacks. Such restrictions limit the global community's understanding of conflicts. Protecting press freedom ensures transparency and accountability. It also supports humanitarian and peacebuilding efforts.

Impunity

Impunity occurs when crimes against journalists go unpunished. This encourages further attacks and silences media voices. Many states lack the political will or capacity to investigate such crimes. Ending impunity is necessary to deter future violations. Accountability strengthens press freedom globally.

Background Information

Journalists have long been present in conflict zones to provide firsthand accounts of war. Historically, war correspondents operated with limited legal protections, often relying on informal agreements. As conflicts became more complex and asymmetrical, risks to journalists increased significantly.

Modern conflicts increasingly involve non-state armed groups who may not respect international norms. Journalists are sometimes perceived as spies or political actors rather than neutral observers. This perception makes them deliberate targets of violence. Kidnappings and executions have become common in certain regions.

Technological advancements have also changed war reporting. Digital media allows rapid dissemination of information but exposes journalists to surveillance and cyber threats. Governments may use digital tools to track, harass, or censor reporters. Online disinformation further complicates the media landscape.

Despite international legal protections, enforcement remains weak. Investigations into attacks on journalists are often incomplete or nonexistent. International mechanisms lack binding authority to compel state action. This gap between law and practice remains a central challenge.

The erosion of journalistic freedom in war zones affects more than journalists themselves. Civilians, humanitarian agencies, and policymakers depend on accurate reporting. When journalists are silenced, conflicts become less transparent and more deadly. Addressing this issue is therefore essential for global security and human rights.

Key Issues

Targeted Violence Against Journalists

Journalists in war zones are increasingly subjected to targeted killings, kidnappings, and physical assaults by both state and non-state actors. Rather than being treated as civilians, reporters are often viewed as threats due to their ability to expose war crimes or challenge official narratives. This violence creates a climate of fear that discourages independent reporting. As a result, conflicts become less transparent and human rights abuses go undocumented. The lack of protection mechanisms significantly endangers press freedom.

Censorship and Government Control of Information

Many governments restrict journalistic activity during armed conflict by imposing censorship, limiting access to conflict zones, or shutting down media outlets. Surveillance and digital monitoring further intimidate journalists and compromise source confidentiality. These practices prevent the public from receiving accurate and balanced information. When information is tightly controlled, misinformation and propaganda can easily dominate. This undermines democratic accountability and international response efforts.

Impunity for Crimes Against Journalists

One of the most severe issues is the widespread impunity for attacks on journalists. Perpetrators are rarely investigated or prosecuted, especially in conflict or post-conflict environments. Weak judicial systems and political

interference often block accountability. This lack of consequences encourages repeated violations. Ending impunity is essential to protecting journalists and upholding international law.

Misinformation and Ethical Challenges

War zones are fertile ground for misinformation, disinformation, and propaganda. Journalists face pressure to publish quickly, sometimes with limited verification. In addition, embedded journalism can compromise independence by aligning reporters too closely with military actors. These challenges threaten journalistic integrity and public trust. Without credible reporting, international understanding of conflicts becomes distorted.

Major Parties Involved and Their Positions

United Nations and Its Agencies

The United Nations strongly supports the protection of journalists as civilians under international humanitarian law. Through resolutions, special rapporteurs, and the UN Plan of Action, the UN emphasizes preventing attacks, ending impunity, and safeguarding freedom of expression. It views journalist safety as essential to human rights and international peace. However, the UN largely relies on member state cooperation for enforcement.

United States

The United States publicly supports freedom of the press and has endorsed UN resolutions protecting journalists in armed conflict. It funds safety training programs and advocates for accountability when journalists are targeted. However, the U.S. often prioritizes national security concerns during military operations, including the use of embedded journalism and restricted access to active combat zones. Its position emphasizes protection without limiting military discretion.

United Kingdom

The United Kingdom supports international humanitarian law protections for journalists and frequently aligns with EU partners in condemning attacks on media workers. It advocates for legal accountability and capacity-building in conflict-affected states. At the same time, the UK supports security-based media restrictions during military operations. Its stance seeks a balance between press freedom and operational security.

Russian Federation

Russia emphasizes state sovereignty and national security when addressing journalist activity in conflict zones. It supports general civilian protection under international humanitarian law but opposes external investigations it views as politically motivated. Russia often criticizes what it sees as biased or hostile media coverage. As a result, it is cautious about binding international mechanisms targeting press freedom.

People's Republic of China

China prioritizes political stability and information control, especially during periods of conflict or unrest. It supports non-interference and state-led regulation of media activities. While China endorses broad UN language on journalist safety, it resists international monitoring and external accountability. Its position reflects a strong emphasis on sovereignty over press freedom.

Ukraine

Ukraine emphasizes the protection of journalists while highlighting the risks posed by misinformation during armed conflict. It supports international assistance and monitoring mechanisms. At the same time, Ukraine maintains security-based restrictions to counter disinformation. Its position reflects the tension between transparency and national defense.

France

France strongly advocates for the protection of journalists and has been vocal in condemning attacks against media workers. It supports international legal frameworks and accountability mechanisms. France also promotes cooperation between states and media organizations to improve journalist safety. Its stance closely aligns with broader European Union positions on human rights.

Timeline of Events

Date	Description of Event
10 December 1948	The Universal Declaration of Human Rights is adopted, with Article 19 affirming the right to freedom of opinion and expression, forming the foundation of press freedom protections.

12 August 1949	The Geneva Conventions are adopted, establishing international humanitarian law and protecting civilians during armed conflict, including journalists when not participating in hostilities.
8 June 1977	Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions formally recognizes journalists on dangerous professional missions as civilians, granting them legal protection under international law.
2012	The United Nations launches the Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity, aiming to coordinate global efforts to prevent attacks and strengthen accountability.
30 June 2016	IATI releases Version 2.01 of its reporting standard, improving the structure of aid-data fields and expanding humanitarian reporting options. This helps organisations to report clearer and more detailed information.
31 July 2017	OCHA and Transparency International publish a joint report on improving humanitarian transparency using FTS and IATI. The report identifies practical steps for reducing corruption risks.
10 June 2022	IATI adopts its Strategic Plan 2022-2025, renewing commitments to improve data quality and promote crisis-related transparency. The plan guides how aid information will be shared over the next several years.
1 March 2023	OCHA releases the Global Humanitarian Overview 2023, emphasizing that transparent reporting is essential as global funding gaps widen. It highlights the need for clearer tracking of where aid goes and who it reaches.

Relevant UN Resolutions, Treaties, and Frameworks

- *Protection of journalists in armed conflict, 27 May 2015 (S/RES/2222)*

This Security Council resolution explicitly recognizes journalists as civilians under international humanitarian law and condemns attacks against them in conflict zones. It urges all parties to armed conflicts to respect the professional independence of journalists and to ensure their safety. The resolution also calls on states to end impunity by conducting impartial investigations into violations against media

workers. By placing journalist protection on the Security Council agenda, S/RES/2222 highlights the issue as a matter of international peace and security.

- *Safety of journalists and the issue of impunity, 18 Dec. 2013 (A/RES/68/163)*

This General Assembly resolution focuses on the widespread lack of accountability for crimes committed against journalists. It encourages states to take concrete measures such as strengthening legal frameworks, improving investigative capacity, and protecting witnesses. The resolution also emphasizes the importance of creating a safe environment for journalists to operate freely. A/RES/68/163 reinforces the idea that press freedom is inseparable from the rule of law and human rights.

- *Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions (Protocol I), 8 June 1977*

Protocol I extends protections under international humanitarian law by formally recognizing journalists engaged in dangerous professional missions as civilians. This means journalists must not be targeted or treated as combatants as long as they do not take part in hostilities. The protocol establishes legal obligations for both state and non-state actors in armed conflicts. Its significance lies in providing a clear legal basis for prosecuting violations against journalists.

- *UN Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity, 2012*

The UN Plan of Action serves as a comprehensive framework coordinating efforts across UN agencies, governments, and civil society organizations. It aims to prevent attacks on journalists, protect media workers in high-risk environments, and strengthen accountability mechanisms. The plan promotes early warning systems, safety training, and capacity building for national institutions. By emphasizing coordination and prevention, the framework moves beyond condemnation toward practical implementation.

Previously Attempted Solutions

UN Condemnations and Resolutions Addressing Violence Against Journalists

The United Nations has repeatedly condemned violence against journalists through General Assembly and Security Council resolutions. These resolutions reaffirm that journalists are civilians under international humanitarian law and call on states to prevent attacks and prosecute perpetrators. They also emphasize the importance of ending impunity and ensuring accountability at the national level. While these resolutions raise

international awareness and establish global norms, their non-binding nature often limits enforcement. As a result, violations against journalists continue in many conflict zones.

Safety Training Programs for Journalists in Conflict Zones

Safety training programs have been developed by international organizations, media outlets, and NGOs to prepare journalists for the risks of war reporting. These programs focus on physical safety, first aid, digital security, and situational awareness. Training helps journalists better assess threats and respond to emergencies in hostile environments. However, access to such programs is uneven, particularly for freelance and local journalists. Without institutional support, many reporters remain vulnerable despite these initiatives.

Voluntary Guidelines for States and Media Organizations

Voluntary guidelines encourage governments and media organizations to adopt best practices for protecting journalists and upholding ethical reporting standards. These guidelines often address issues such as press freedom, safe working conditions, and responsible conflict reporting. Because they are voluntary, implementation depends heavily on political will and institutional commitment. In some cases, states may ignore these guidelines during times of heightened security concerns. Despite their limitations, voluntary guidelines help establish shared expectations and professional norms.

Potential Solutions

Establishing Stronger International Monitoring and Accountability Mechanisms

One potential solution is the creation or strengthening of international mechanisms to monitor violations against journalists in conflict zones. These mechanisms could systematically document attacks, collect evidence, and report findings to the United Nations. Public reporting increases international pressure on states and armed groups to comply with international law. When linked to sanctions or international judicial processes, monitoring can help deter future violations. Strong accountability mechanisms address the root problem of impunity.

Strengthening Domestic Legal Protections for Journalists

Another solution is encouraging states to adopt and enforce national laws that specifically protect journalists, especially during armed conflict. This includes recognizing attacks on journalists as serious criminal offenses and ensuring independent investigations. Judicial and law enforcement training on press freedom standards

would improve implementation. Domestic accountability complements international efforts by providing direct legal consequences. Effective national protection reduces reliance on external enforcement.

Expanding Safety, Support, and Emergency Assistance Programs

Expanding safety and support programs for journalists operating in conflict zones offers immediate and practical protection. These programs can include hostile-environment training, access to protective equipment, emergency evacuation procedures, and mental health support. Special attention should be given to freelance and local journalists who often lack institutional backing. While these measures do not replace legal protections, they significantly reduce risk. Supporting journalists on the ground helps preserve independent reporting during conflicts.

Bibliography

Committee to Protect Journalists. *Attacks on the Press in 2023*. CPJ, 2024,

cpj.org/reports/2024/attacks-on-the-press-2023. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

International Committee of the Red Cross. *Journalists in Armed Conflict*. ICRC, 2020,

www.icrc.org/en/document/journalists-armed-conflict. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

Reporters Without Borders. *World Press Freedom Index 2024*. RSF, 2024, rsf.org/en/index. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. *UN Plan of Action on the Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity*. UNESCO, 2012, unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000210629. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

United Nations General Assembly. *Safety of Journalists and the Issue of Impunity*. A/RES/68/163, 18 Dec. 2013, undocs.org/A/RES/68/163. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

United Nations General Assembly. *The Right to Freedom of Opinion and Expression*. A/RES/71/198, 12 Dec. 2016, undocs.org/A/RES/71/198. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

United Nations Security Council. *Protection of Journalists in Armed Conflict*. S/RES/2222, 27 May 2015, [undocs.org/S/RES/2222\(2015\)](https://undocs.org/S/RES/2222(2015)). Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

United Nations Security Council. *Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict*. S/RES/2474, 29 May 2019, [undocs.org/S/RES/2474\(2019\)](https://undocs.org/S/RES/2474(2019)). Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. *Human Rights and Armed Conflict*. OHCHR, 2018, www.ohchr.org/en/conflict. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights. *Protection of Journalists*. Geneva Academy, 2021, www.geneva-academy.ch/research/publications/detail/409-protection-of-journalists. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

International Federation of Journalists. *Journalists and Media Staff Killed in 2023*. IFJ, 2024, www.ifj.org/media-centre/news/detail/category/press-releases/article/journalists-killed-2023.html. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

United Nations. *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. United Nations, 10 Dec. 1948, www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.