

[Return to Advance CAMP wiki](#)

Advance CAMP Wednesday, Sept. 28, 2016

2:10pm-3:00pm

Tuttle Room

Discovery service 2.0

CONVENER: Thomas Lenggenhager - SWITCH

MAIN SCRIBE: Chris Phillips

ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTORS:

Scott Cantor

Mads Freek Petersen

of ATTENDEES: 14

DISCUSSION:

Metadata changing from an aggregate to MDQ style challenges significantly challenges Embedded discovery interfaces. How

Mads F from WAYF.dk discusses how the various implementation

When dealing with a JSON feed(used for rendering discovery), the security of the feed is important to contemplate..malicious actors can inject things unless actions are taken to mitigate.

Q: do entity attributes flow in the WAYF format.

A: No.

Maybe MDQ can be used with specific parameters to subsearch.

Q(Scott C) What are the paths available to the opportunity here in 2.0

The OpenID relying party discovery model has it's challenges from the perspective of the fedops
-- can we ask the user for their email?

---aaaand will you get the right domain? That's not google that is.

Asking for institution with providing a hint in the entry box like 'www.example.edu' might provide usable results.

Scott C: Now Ajax queries to a backend server better suited than pre-loading the browser with the global list of IdPs.

Q(Chris): what are the qualities of a good 2.0 experience --

- Good discovery is NO discovery and the client to 'know' what to do (Scott C) .. browser plugins are easy to do these days

There are centralized and everything else..

Is the right thing to do to begin thinking about domain based lookup?

If so, we should explore that element.

The conversation with different technology is how they use their approach and if it is on par.

Email is a red herring -- users.

Embedded

Observation: there are very few relying

Filtered lists are valuable to have.

Be able to have specific entity id's

<please edit these, it could be better!>

What about OpenID Connect??

Web is not the only problem to solve -- mobile APPS are important too and how will that work?
This is a hard one, but a very important use case.

ACTIVITIES GOING FORWARD / NEXT STEPS:

Suggestion for REFEDS do a consultation in this area rather than inCommon TAC and that a draft charter be created for this for the workplan 2017. Thomas L. will draft one.